KYBERNETIKA — VOLUME *51* (2015), NUMBER 6, PAGES 1068–1083

SYNCHRONIZATION OF FRACTIONAL-ORDER CHAOTIC SYSTEMS WITH MULTIPLE DELAYS BY A NEW APPROACH

Jianbing Hu, Hua Wei and Lingdong Zhao

In this paper, we propose a new approach of designing a controller and an update rule of unknown parameters for synchronizing fractional-order system with multiple delays and prove the correctness of the approach according to the fractional Lyapunov stable theorem. Based on the proposed approach, synchronizing fractional delayed chaotic system with and without unknown parameters is realized. Numerical simulations are carried out to confirm the effectiveness of the approach.

Keywords: fractional-order, multiple delays, Lyapunov stable theorem, synchronization, unknown parameters

Classification: 34H10, 34C15, 34D06

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fractional-order calculus has been known since the contribution of Leibniz and Hospital in 1695 [7, 16], but its applications to engineering, physics and mathematical biology are just recent topics of interest [4, 6]. In fact, many systems can be described by fractional differential equations, for example, dielectric polarization, electrode-electrolyte polarization, electromagnetic waves, visco-elastic systems, quantitative finance, bioengineering, diffusion wave and nuclear magnetic resonance [5, 10, 13, 14, 19, 30, 31, 32].

Chaotic synchronization has been applied in different fields, including biological and physical systems, structural engineering, and ecological models [9]. The synchronization of fractional-order chaotic systems has also attracted considerable research attention [29]. In the recent years, a variety of approaches have been proposed for the synchronization of fractional chaotic systems with known and unknown parameters such as lag-synchronization [33], projective synchronization [3], sliding synchronization [22], generalized synchronization (GS) [1, 11, 27], etc.

From the viewpoint of engineering applications and characteristics of channel, time delays are inherent due to the finite propagation velocity of information [8, 20], from the latency of feedback loops, the finite switching times, etc. In the real world there

DOI: 10.14736/kyb-2015-6-1068

could be several channels of information exchange, several switching mechanism, two or more feedbacks, etc. [12]. In other words, in comparison with single time-delay systems, multiple time-delays systems are often more realistic models of interacting complex systems. The systems with time-delays are difficult to achieve satisfying performance. The stability issue of time-delay systems is of practical importance [15, 17, 23, 24, 28].

Although some progresses have been made in analyzing the stability of fractional-order time-delay systems, it is very difficult to design a controller to control a fractional-order time-delay system based on these fruits. For the most readers, they would pay more attention to mastering the approach of designing a controller to realize controlling a system. Aimed at this problem, we propose a novel stability theorem for fractional delayed system and extend a simple approach based on a special matrix for designing controller to synchronize fractional chaotic system with multiple delays. Synchronizing fractional multi-time delayed chaotic system with known and unknown parameters is realized based on the proposed approach.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sections 2, Some definitions, Lemmas, and properties about fractional calculus are introduced; In Sections 3, we introduce the new approach and prove it; In Section 4, we show the synchronizing of fractional multi-time delayed chaotic system with and without unknown parameters as examples to explain how to use the proposed approach; Finally, a conclusion is made in Section 5.

2. FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

There are some definitions for fractional derivatives. The commonly used definitions are Grunwald–Letnikov(GL), Riemann–Liouville(RL) and Caputo(C) definitions. The advantage of Caputo approach is that the initial conditions for fractional differential equations take on the same form as those for integer-order differentiation, which have well understood physical meanings. In this paper, we adopt the Caputo definition for fractional derivative. The Caputo definition can be expressed as [18]:

$$ {}_0^C D_t^{\alpha} x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \times \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha+n-1} x^{(n)}(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{1} $$

where n is the first integer which is not less than α, i.e. $n-1 \le \alpha \le n$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is gamma function.

Property 1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then

$$ {}_0^C D_t^{\alpha} x(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \times \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} x(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{x(0)t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \tag{2} $$

Lemma 1. (Fractional Comparison Principle 1) (Slotine and Li [19]) Let $x(0) = y(0)$ and ${}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} x(t) \ge_a^C D_t^{\alpha} y(t)$, where $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Then $x(t) \ge y(t)$.

Lemma 2. (Integer Comparison Principle) Let $x(0) = y(0)$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \ge \frac{\mathrm{d}y(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}$. Then $x(t) \ge y(t)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &x(t)-y(t)-(x(0)-y(0)) \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\mathrm{d}y(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\mathrm{d}t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

As $x(0)=y(0)$, the conclusion

$$x(t)-y(t) \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

can be drawn. □

Lemma 3. (Duarte-Mermoud et al. [2]) For any positive definite matrix P,

$$2x^T(t)P{}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t) \geq {}_a^C D_t^\alpha (x^T(t)Px(t)). \tag{5}$$

Lemma 4. (Slotine and Li [19]) Fractional system (1) if there is a positive definition function V satisfying that ${}_a^C D_t^\alpha V$ is negative definite, that is ${}_a^C D_t^\alpha V < 0$ for all time $t \geq 0$ and ${}_a^C D_t^\alpha V = 0$ if and only if $x(t)=0$, fractional system (1) is asymptotically stable.

3. MAIN RESULT

3.1. Stability theorem about fractional delayed system

A common fractional nonlinear delayed system can be usually depicted as:

$${}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = f(x(t), x(t-\tau)) \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha \in R$ is fractional order, $x(t) \in R^n$ is state variable, and $f(\cdot)$ is a nonlinear function and satisfying Lipschiz condition.

Theorem 1. When fractional order $\alpha \in (0,1]$, if there is a positive matrix P and a semi positive matrix Q satisfying:

$$x^T(t)P{}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq 0 \tag{7}$$

fractional delayed system (6) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. According to Lemma 3 and formula (7), we can get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}{}_0^C D_t^\alpha (x^T(t)Px(t)) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &\leq x^T(t)P{}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

According to Caputo fractional definition in function (1) and Property 1:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\times\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi+\frac{\mathrm{d}\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t}\\&\le\frac{(x^T(0)Px(0))t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

It obviously:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\lim_{t\to0}\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi+\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\\&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\lim_{t\to0}\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi+0\\&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\lim_{t\to0}\int_0^t(x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

According to Lemma 2, calculate integer integral of function (9) and get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\times\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi+\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\\&\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

As $\int_{t-\tau}^t x^T(\xi)Qx(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\ge0$, then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\times\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi.\tag{12}$$

There must exist a nonnegative function $m(t)$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\times\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi+\int_0^t m(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\\&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Take integer order differential of function (13) and get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\times\int_0^t(t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi-\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\Big)\\&=-\frac{\mathrm{d}\int_0^t m(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t}.\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

As $m(t) \geq 0$ for any time t, $\frac{\mathrm{d}\int_0^t m(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}xi}{\mathrm{d}t} = m(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, where $(\varepsilon) \in [0, t]$. Then we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_0^C D_t^\alpha (x^T(t)Px(t)) \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \times \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{-\alpha}(x^T(\xi)Px(\xi))\,\mathrm{d}\xi - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t (x^T(0)Px(0))\xi^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\Big) \\
&= -\frac{\mathrm{d}\int_0^t m(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

According to Lemma 4, fractional delayed system (6) is asymptotically stable. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. □

3.2. A novel approach controlling fractional delayed system

A nonlinear system with multiple time delays consisting of unknown parameters can generally be described as follows:

$${}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = f(x(t)) + \eta(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i(x(t-\tau_i)) \tag{16}$$

where $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t))^T \in R^n$ are the state variables of the nonlinear system at time t, the positive constants $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k (\tau_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ are the time delays, and function $f(x(t)), \eta(x(t)), g_1(x(t-\tau_1)), g_2(x(t-\tau_2)), \ldots, g_k(x(t-\tau_k))$ are real-valued continuous functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition and function $\eta(x(t))$ is a function includes unknown parameters.

We can transform system (16) as:

$${}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha (t) = A(x(t))x(t) + \eta(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i x(t-\tau_i) \tag{17}$$

where $A(x(t)) \in R^{n\times n}$, $G \in R^{n\times n}$, $f(x(t)) = A(x(t))x(t), g(x(t-\tau)) = Gx(t-\tau)$. Define the unknown parameter vector as $p(t) = [p_1(t), p_2(t), \ldots, p_k(t)]^T$ and rewrite $\eta(x(t))$ as:

$$\eta(x(t)) = \Psi(x(t))p(t) \tag{18}$$

where $\Psi(x(t)) \in R^{n\times k}$. The key problem is how to design the controller $u(t)$ and the update rules of unknown parameters to make system (16) asymptotically stable.

Define the estimate value of unknown parameter vector as: $\tilde{p}(t) = [\tilde{p_1}(t), \tilde{p_2}(t), \ldots, \tilde{p_k}(t)]^T$ and the parameter error as $e_p = \tilde{p}(t) - p(t)$. We can express $\eta(x(t))$ as:

$$\eta(x(t)) = \Psi(x(t))(\tilde{p}(t) - e_p) \tag{19}$$

where $\Psi(x(t)) \in R^{n\times k}$.

We define the update rule of unknown parameter ${}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha \tilde{p}(t)$ as:

$${}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha \tilde{p}(t) ={}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha (\tilde{p}(t) - p(t)) ={}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha e_p(t) \tag{20}$$

and

$$\Psi(x(t))\tilde{p}(t) = M(\tilde{p}(t))x(t) \tag{21}$$

where $M(\tilde{p}(t)) \in R^{n\times n}$.

Design a controller $u(t)$ and get:

$$ {}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}x(t) = A(x(t))x(t) + M(\tilde{p}(t))x(t) - \Psi(x(t))e_p + \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i(x(t-\tau_i)) + u(t). \tag{22}$$

The key problem is how to design the controller $u(t)$ and the update rules of the unknown parameters. We suppose the controller as $u(t) = D(x(t))x(t)$ and the update rules as ${}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}e_p = \Theta x(t)$, where $D(x(t)) \in R^{n\times n}$ and $\Theta \in R^{k\times n}$. If we can design the matrix $D(x(t))$ and the matrix Θ, the controller $u(t)$ and the update rule ${}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}e_p$ can also be realized.

Define $C(x(t)) = A(x(t)) + M(\tilde{p}(t)) + D(x(t))$ and get:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} {}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}x(t) \\ {}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}e_p \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} C(x(t)) & | & -\Psi(x(t)) \\ -- & & -- \\ \Theta & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e_p \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-\tau_1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} G_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-\tau_2) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} G_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-\tau_k) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where:

$$C(x(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered} g_i(x(t-\tau_i)) = G_i x(t-\tau_i) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{i,11} & g_{i,12} & \cdots & g_{i,1n} \\ g_{i,21} & g_{i,22} & \cdots & g_{i,2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{i,n1} & g_{i,n2} & \cdots & g_{i,nn} \end{bmatrix} x(t-\tau_i), \\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, k. \end{gathered} \tag{25}$$

We study how to design the matrix $C(x(t))$ and the matrix Θ to solve the key problem of designing the controller $u(t)$ and the update rule ${}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}e_p$. For this purpose, we propose an approach based on a special matrix as follows:

Theorem 2. If the matrix $C(x(t))$ and the matrix Θ in formula (24) satisfy:

(1) $\Theta = \Psi^T(x(t))$

(2) $c_{mj} = -c_{jm} \quad (m \neq j)$

(3) $c_{mm} + \frac{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{k}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}(|g_{l,mj}|+|g_{l,jm}|)}{2} \le 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

(Not all $c_{ii} + \frac{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{k}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}(|g_{l,mj}|+|g_{l,jm}|)}{2}$ equal to zero.)

The controlled system (16) is stable to zero and the unknown parameter can be recognized.

Proof. Define positive matrix $P = I$ and semi positive matrix Q_i as:

$$Q_i = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^{n}|g_{i,1m}| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{m=1}^{n}|g_{i,2m}| & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sum_{m=1}^{n}|g_{i,nm}| \end{bmatrix} \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \tag{26}$$

We can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^T(t)P{}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t) + e^T(t)P{}_0^C D_t^\alpha e(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\mathrm{d}\int_{t-\tau_i}^{t} x^T(\xi)Q_i x(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \\
&= x^T(t)C(x(t))x(t) - x^T(t)\Psi(x(t))e_p(t) + e_p^T(t)\Psi(x(t))x(t) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t)G_i x(t-\tau_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t)Q_i x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t-\tau_i)Q_i x(t-\tau_i) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jj}x_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} g_{i,mj}(x_m(t)x_j(t-\tau_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t)Q_i x(t) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t-\tau_i)Q_i x(t-\tau_i) \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jj}x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} |g_{i,mj}|(x_m^2(t) + x_j^2(t-\tau_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t)Q_i x(t) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^T(t-\tau_i)Q_i x(t-\tau_i) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jj}x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{n} |g_{i,mj}|(x_m^2(t) + x_j^2(t-\tau_i)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{n} |g_{i,jm}|x_j^2(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{n} |g_{i,jm}|x_j^2(t-\tau_i) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n}((c_{jj} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{n}(|g_{i,mj}| + |g_{i,jm}|))x_j^2(t)) \le 0
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

when $(c_{jj} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum\limits_{m=1}^{n}(|g_{i,mj}| + |g_{i,jm}|))x_j^2(t)) \le 0$ where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

According to the Theorem 1, the fractional multi-time delays system (16) is asymptotically stable. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. □

4. SYNCHRONIZING FRACTIONAL MULTI-TIME DELAYS CHAOTIC SYSTEM WITHOUT AND WITH UNKNOWN PARAMETERS

4.1. Synchronizing fractional multi-time delays hyperchaotic Lorenz system without unknown parameters

The fractional hyperchaotic Lorenz system with time delays can be described as [25]:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} x_1(t) &= 9x_2(t) - 10x_1(t) + x_2(t-\tau_1)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} x_2(t) &= 28x_1(t) - x_2(t) - x_1(t)x_3(t) + 0.3x_2(t-\tau_2)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} x_3(t) &= x_1(t)x_2(t) - \frac{8}{3}x_3(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} x_4(t) &= x_2(t)x_3(t) - x_4(t) + 0.5x_2(t-\tau_3).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 > 0$ are the delay times. When $\tau_1 = 0, \tau_2 = 0, \tau_3 = 0$ and fractional order $\alpha = 0.97$, system (29) has chaotic attractor shown as Figure 1. For convenience, we call it fractional hyperchaotic Lorenz system.



Fig. 1. The chaotic attractor of hyperchaotic Lorenz system in system (29).

The hyperchaotic Lorenz delayed system (28) is chosen as the drive system, and the response system is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} y_1(t) &= 9y_2(t) - 10y_1(t) + y_2(t-\tau_1) + u_1(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} y_2(t) &= 28y_1(t) - y_2(t) - y_1(t)y_3(t) + 0.3y_2(t-\tau_2) + u_2(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} y_3(t) &= y_1(t)y_2(t) - \frac{8}{3}y_3(t) + u_3(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^{\alpha} y_4(t) &= y_2(t)y_3(t) - y_4(t) + 0.5y_2(t-\tau_3) + u_4(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $u(t) = [u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t)]^T$ is the controller to be constructed. Subtract the drive system (28) from the response system (29) and get:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_1(t) &= 9e_2(t) - 10e_1(t) + e_2(t-\tau_1) + u_1(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_2(t) &= 28e_1(t) - e_2(t) - e_1(t)y_3(t) - e_3(t)x_1(t) + 0.3e_2(t-\tau_2) + u_2(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_3(t) &= e_1(t)y_2(t) + e_2(t)x_1(t) - \frac{8}{3}e_3(t) + u_3(t)\\
{}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_4(t) &= e_2(t)y_3(t) + e_3(t)x_2(t) - e_4(t) + 0.5e_2(t-\tau_3) + u_4(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $e_1(t) = y_1(t) - x_1(t), e_2(t) = y_2(t) - x_2(t), e_3(t) = y_3(t) - x_3(t), e_4(t) = y_4(t) - x_4(t)$. We can transform the error system (30) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_2(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_3(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_4(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 28-y_3 & -1 & -x_1(t) & 0 \\ y_2(t) & x_1(t) & -\frac{8}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & y_3(t) & x_2(t) & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \\ e_4(t) \end{bmatrix}\\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_1) \\ e_2(t-\tau_1) \\ e_3(t-\tau_1) \\ e_4(t-\tau_1) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_2) \\ e_2(t-\tau_2) \\ e_3(t-\tau_2) \\ e_4(t-\tau_2) \end{bmatrix} \qquad (31)\\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_3) \\ e_2(t-\tau_3) \\ e_3(t-\tau_3) \\ e_4(t-\tau_3) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \\ u_3(t) \\ u_4(t) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We design the $C(e(t))$ according to Theorem 2:

$$C(e(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} -10+k_1 & y_3(t) & -y_2(t) & 0 \\ -y_3(t) & -1+k_2 & -x_1(t) & -y_3(t) \\ y_2(t) & x_1(t) & -\frac{8}{3}+k_3 & -x_2(t) \\ 0 & y_3(t) & x_2(t) & -1+k_4 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

Then, we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \\ u_3(t) \\ u_4(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -10+k_1 & y_3(t) & -y_2(t) & 0 \\ -y_3(t) & -1+k_2 & -x_1(t) & -y_3(t) \\ y_2(t) & x_1(t) & -\frac{8}{3}+k_3 & -x_2(t) \\ 0 & y_3(t) & x_2(t) & -1+k_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \\ e_4(t) \end{bmatrix}\\
&- \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 28-y_3(t) & -1 & -x_1(t) & 0 \\ y_2(t) & x_1(t) & -\frac{8}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & y_3(t) & x_2(t) & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \\ e_4(t) \end{bmatrix} \qquad (33)\\
&= \begin{bmatrix} (y_3(t)-9)e_2(t) - y_2(t)e_3(t) + k_1e_1(t) \\ -28e_1(t) - 2e_2(t) - y_3(t)e_4(t) + k_2e_2(t) \\ -x_2e_4(t) + k_3e_3(t) \\ +k_4e_4(t) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2, $-10 + k_1 + \frac{\tau_1}{2} \leq 0$, $-1 + k_2 + \frac{\tau_1}{2} + \frac{0.3\tau_2}{2} + \frac{0.3\tau_2}{2} + \frac{0.5\tau_3}{2} \leq 0$, $-\frac{8}{3} + k_3 \leq 0$,$-1 + k_4 + \frac{0.5\tau_3}{2} \leq 0$, the synchronizing error system (30) is asymptotically stable. In numerical simulations, the time delays are chosen as $\tau_1 = 0.1, \tau_2 = 0.13, \tau_3 = 0.21$, and $k_1 = 0$,$k_2 = 0.2$,$k_3 = 0$,$k_4 = 0$. The initial states of the drive system and the response system are $x_1(0) = 0.13, x_2(0) = 0.11, x_3(0) = 0.13, x_4(0) = 0.12, y_1(0) = 0.38, y_2(0) = 0.55, y_3(0) = 0.46, y_4(0) = 0.32$. The simulation results of synchronizing errors $e_1(t), e_2(t)$ are shown in Figure 2. As expected, all the errors exponentially converge to zero with time t.



Fig. 2. The synchronizing error signals $e_1(t)$ and $e_2(t)$ in the error system (31) with time t.

4.2. Synchronizing fractional multi-time delays chaotic system with unknown parameters



Fig. 3. The chaotic attractor of fractional-order Newton–Leipnik chaotic system.

The fractional-order Newton–Leipnik chaotic system is proposed in [26], which can be depicted as:

$$\begin{cases} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha x_1(t) = -ax_1(t) + y_1(t) + 10y_1(t)z_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha y_1(t) = -x_1(t) - 0.4y_1(t) + 5x_1(t)z_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha z_1(t) = bz_1(t) - 5x_1(t)y_1(t) \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

where α is fractional order. It has been shown that the system will exhibit chaotic behavior when $0.94 \le \alpha < 1$ and $a = 0.4, b = 0.175$. The attractor is shown as Figure 3. The multiple delayed fractional-order Newton–Leipnik system can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha x_1(t) = -ax_1(t) + 0.5y_1(t) + 10y_1(t)z_1(t) + 0.5y_1(t-\tau_1) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha y_1(t) = -x_1(t) - 0.4y_1(t-\tau_2) + 5x_1(t)z_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha z_1(t) = bz_1(t) - 5x_1(t)y_1(t) \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2 > 0$ are the delay time, a and b are the parameters of system (35).

In this section, we consider how to synchronize the system (35) when the parameters a and b are unknown. Define the fractional delayed system (35) as the drive system, and the response system is defined as:

$$\begin{cases} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha x_2(t) = -\hat{a}x_2(t) + 0.5y_2(t) + 10y_2(t)z_2(t) + 0.5y_2(t-\tau_1)) + u_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha y_2(t) = -x_2(t) - 0.4y_2(t-\tau_2) + 5x_2(t)z_2(t) + u_2(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha z_2(t) = \hat{b}z_2(t) - 5x_2(t)y_2(t) + u_3(t) \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

where the parameters $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are the estimate values of the unknown parameters a and b. We define the synchronizing errors and the estimate errors of unknown parameters respectively as:

$$\begin{cases} e_1(t) = x_2(t) - x_1(t) \\ e_2(t) = y_2(t) - y_1(t) \\ e_3(t) = z_2(t) - z_1(t) \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{cases} e_a(t) = \hat{a} - a \\ e_b(t) = \hat{b} - b. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

Then, we can get the synchronizing errors as:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_1(t) &= -\hat{a}e_1(t) + 0.5e_2(t) + 10y_2(t)e_3(t) + 10z_1(t)e_2(t) + 0.5e_2(t-\tau_1) - e_a x_1 + u_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_2(t) &= -e_1(t) - 0.4e_2(t-\tau_2) + 5x_1(t)e_3(t) + 5z_2(t)e_1(t) + u_2(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_3(t) &= \hat{b}e_3(t) - 5x_1(t)e_2(t) - 5y_2(t)e_1(t) + e_b z_1 + u_3(t). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

We can transform the error system (39) as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_2(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_3(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_a(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_b(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{a} & 0.5+10z_1(t) & 10y_2(t) & -x_1 & 0 \\ -1+5z_2(t) & 0 & 5x_1(t) & 0 & 0 \\ -5y_2(t) & -5x_1(t) & \hat{b} & 0 & y_1(t) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \\ e_a(t) \\ e_b(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_1) \\ e_2(t-\tau_1) \\ e_3(t-\tau_1) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_2) \\ e_2(t-\tau_2) \\ e_3(t-\tau_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \\ u_3(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

According to Theorem 1, we can design the controller update rules of unknown parameters and matrix $C(e(t))$ respectively as:

$$C(e(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} -2+k_1 & 0.5+10z_1(t) & 10y_2(t) \\ -0.5-10z_1(t) & -2+k_2 & 5x_1(t) \\ -10y_2(t) & -5x_1(t) & k_3 \end{bmatrix} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{e_a}(t) \\ \dot{e_a}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 e_1 \\ -z_1 e_3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{42}$$



Fig. 4. The synchronizing error signals $e_1(t)$, $e_2(t)$ and $e_3(t)$ in the error system (41) with time t.

Then, we can get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_1(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_2(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_3(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_a(t) \\ {}_a^C D_t^\alpha e_b(t) \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} -2+k_1 & 0.5+10z_1(t) & 10y_2(t) & -x_1(t) & 0 \\ -0.5-10z_1(t) & -2+k_2 & 5x_1(t) & 0 & 0 \\ -10y_2(t) & -5x_1(t) & k_3 & 0 & z_1(t) \\ -\,-\,-\,- & -\,-\,- & -\,-\,- & -\,-\,- & -\,-\,- \\ x_1(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z_1(t) & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \\ e_a(t) \\ e_b(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_1) \\ e_2(t-\tau_1) \\ e_3(t-\tau_1) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t-\tau_2) \\ e_2(t-\tau_2) \\ e_3(t-\tau_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \\ u_3(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -2+k_1 & 0.5+10z_1(t) & 10y_2(t) \\ -0.5-10z_1(t) & -2+k_2 & 5x_1(t) \\ -10y_2(t) & -5x_1(t) & k_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{a} & 0.5+10z_1(t) & 10y_2(t) \\ -1+5z_2(t) & 0 & 5x_1(t) \\ -5y_2(t) & -5x_1(t) & \hat{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(t) \\ e_2(t) \\ e_3(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{a}-2+k_1)e_1(t) \\ (0.5-15z_1(t))e_1(t)-(2-k_2)e_2(t) \\ -5y_2e_1(t)+(k3-\hat{b})e_3(t) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$



Fig. 5. The identification process of unknown parameters a and b.

According to Theorem 2, $-2+k_1+\frac{0.5\tau_1}{2}\leq 0$, $-2+k_2+\frac{0.5\tau_1}{2}+\frac{0.4\tau_2}{2}+\frac{0.4\tau_2}{2}\leq 0$, the synchronizing error system (39) is asymptotically stable. In numerical simulations, the time delays are chosen as $\tau_1 = 0.12, \tau_2 = 0.13$ $k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0$ and the unknown parameters as $a = 0.4, b = 0.175$. The simulation results of synchronizing errors $e_1(t), e_2(t)$ and the identification process of unknown parameters a, b are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. All the errors exponentially converge to zero with time t.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a new approach for synchronizing fractional chaotic systems with multiple delays that is by the integer derivative intermediate process, rather than by the result of calculating the integer derivate of function V. We design controllers and adaptive update rule of unknown parameters according to the proposed matrix configuration approach and realize synchronizing multi-delay fractional chaotic systems with and without unknown parameters. The proposed approach is a general and simple approach.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 61304062, 51167001 and the Nantong University Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 15ZY03. This work was also supported by Natural Science Project of Jiangsu Province Education Department under Grant No. 14KJB120011.

REFERENCES

[1] L. P. Chen, S. B. Wei, and Y. Chai: Adaptive projective synchronization between two different fractional-order chaotic systems with fully unknown parameters. Math. Problems Engrg. *2012* (2012), 1–16. DOI:10.1155/2012/916140

[2] M. A. Duarte-Mermoud, N. Aguila-Camacho, J. A. Gallegos, and R. Castro: Linares using general quadratic Lyapunov functions to prove Lyapunov uniform stability for fractional order systems. Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. *22* (2015), 650–659. DOI:10.1016/j.cnsns.2014.10.008

[3] F. Farivar and M. A. Shoorehdeli: Fault tolerant synchronization of chaotic heavy symmetric gyroscope systems versus external disturbances via Lyapunov rule-based fuzzy control. ISA Trans. *51* (2012), 50–64. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2011.07.002

[4] E. Goldfain: Fractional dynamics and the Standard Model for particle physics. Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. *13* (2008), 1397–1404. DOI:10.1016/j.cnsns.2006.12.007

[5] Y. B. Gong, X. Lin, and L. Wang: Chemical synaptic coupling-induced delay-dependent synchronization transitions in scale-free neuronal networks. Science China – Chemistry *54* (2011), 1498–1503. DOI:10.1007/s11426-011-4363-2

[6] R. E. Gutierrez, J. M. Rosario, and J. T. Machado: Fractional order calculus: Basic concepts and engineering applications. Math. Problems Engrg. *2010* (2010), 1–10.DOI:10.1155/2010/375858

[7] J. H. He: Approximate analytical solution for seepage flow with fractional derivatives in porous media. Computer Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. *167* (1998), 57–68. DOI:10.1016/s0045-7825(98)00108-x

[8] X. D. Li and M. Bohner: Exponential synchronization of chaotic neural networks with mixed delays and impulsive effects via output coupling with delay feedback. Math. Computer Modelling *52* (2010), 643–653. DOI:10.1016/j.mcm.2010.04.011

[9] C. P. Li, W. H. Deng, and D. Xu: Chaos synchronization of the chua system with a fractional order. Physica A – Statist. Mech. Appl. *360* (2006), 171–185. DOI:10.1016/j.physa.2005.06.078

[10] M. D. Li, D. H. Li, and J. Wang: Active disturbance rejection control for fractional-order system. ISA Trans. *52* (2013), 365–374. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2013.01.001

[11] T. C. Lin, C. H. Kuo: H-infinity synchronization of uncertain fractional order chaotic systems: Adaptive fuzzy approach. ISA Trans. *50* (2011), 548–556. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2011.06.001

[12] J. H. Lu and G. R. Chen: A time-varying complex dynamical network model and its controlled synchronization criteria. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control *50* (2005), 841–846. DOI:10.1109/tac.2005.849233

[13] J. H. Lu and G. R. Chen: Generating multiscroll chaotic attractors: Theories, methods and applications. Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos *16* (2006), 775–858. DOI:10.1142/s0218127406015179

[14] F. Merrikh-Bayat and M. Karimi-Ghartemani: An efficient numerical algorithm for stability testing of fractional-delay systems. ISA Trans. *48* (2008), 32–37. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2008.10.003

[15] Q. Y. Miao, J. A. Fang, and Y. Tang: Increasing-order projective synchronization of chaotic systems with time delay. Chinese Phys. Lett. *26* (2009), 5, 050501. DOI:10.1088/0256-307x/26/5/050501

[16] K. S. Miller and B. Ross: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1993.

[17] M. S. Peng: Bifurcation and chaotic behavior in the euler method for a ucar prototype delay model. Chaos Solitons and Fractals *22* (2004), 483–493. DOI:10.1016/j.chaos.2004.02.038

[18] I. Podlubny: Fractional Differential Equatons: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications. Academic Press, San Diego 1999.

[19] J. J. E. Slotine and W. Li: Applied nonlinear Control. Prentice Hall, 1999.

[20] T. Sollund and H. Leib: Feedback communication with reduced delay over noisy time-dispersive channels. IEEE Transa. Commun. *60* (2012), 688–705. DOI:10.1109/tcomm.2012.12.100001

[21] S. L. Tan, J. H. Lu, and X. H. Yu: Adaptive synchronization of an uncertain complex dynamical network. Chinese Sci. Bull. *58* (2013), 28–29. DOI:10.1007/s11434-013-5984-y

[22] S. L. Tan, J. H. Lu, and D. J. Hill: Towards a theoretical framework for analysis and intervention of random drift on general networks. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control *60* (2015), 576–581. DOI:10.1109/tac.2014.2329235

[23] Y. Tang, H. Gao, W. Zou, and J. Kurths: Distributed synchronization in networks of agent systems with nonlinearities and random switchings. IEEE Trans. Cybernet. *43* (2013), 358–370. DOI:10.1109/tsmcb.2012.2207718

[24] Y. Tang and W. K. Wong: Distributed synchronization of coupled neural networks via randomly occurring control. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learning Systems *24* (2013), 435–447. DOI:10.1109/tnnls.2012.2236355

[25] X. Y. Wang and M. J. Wang: Hyperchaotic Lorenz system. Acta Physica Sinica *56* (2007), 5136–5141.

[26] X. D. Wang and L. X. Tian: Bifurcation analysis and linear control of the Newton–Leipnik system. Chaos Solitions Fractals *27* (2006), 31–38. DOI:10.1016/j.chaos.2005.04.009

[27] S. Wang and Y. G. Yu: Generalized projective synchronization of fractional order chaotic systems with different dimensions. Chinese Phys. Lett. *29* (2012), 2, 020505. DOI:10.1088/0256-307x/29/2/020505

[28] L. D. Zhao, J. B. Hu, J. A. Fang et al.: Adaptive synchronization and parameter identification of chaotic system with unknown parameters and mixed delays based on a special matrix structure. ISA Trans. *52* (2013), 738–743. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2013.07.001

[29] Y. L. Zhang and M. K. Luo: Fractional rayleigh-duffing-like system and its synchronization. Nonlinear Dynamics *70* (2012), 1173–1183. DOI:10.1007/s11071-012-0521-0

[30] B. T. Zhang, Y. G. Pi, and Y. Luo: Fractional order sliding-mode control based on parameters auto-tuning for velocity control of permanent magnet synchronous motor. ISA Trans. *51* (2012), 649–656. DOI:10.1016/j.isatra.2012.04.006

[31] J. Zhou, j. A. Lu, and J. H. Lu: Adaptive synchronization of an uncertain complex dynamical network. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control *51* (2006), 652–656. DOI:10.1109/tac.2006.872760

[32] W. Zhu, J. A. Fang, and Y. Tang: Identification of fractional-order systems via a switching differential evolution subject to noise perturbations. Physics Lett. A *376* (2012), 3113–3120. DOI:10.1016/j.physleta.2012.09.042

[33] H. Zhu, Z. S. He, and S. B. Zhou: Lag synchronization of the fractional-order system via nonlinear observer. Int. J. Modern Physics B *25* (2011), 3951–3964. DOI:10.1142/s0217979211102253

Jianbing Hu, College of Electric Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004. P. R. China and School of Electronics & Information, Nantong University, Nantong 226019. P. R. China.
e-mail: hjb2008@163.com

Hua Wei, School of Electronics & Information, Nantong University, Nantong 226019. P. R. China.
e-mail: weihuagxu@163.com

Lingdong Zhao, School of Electronics & Information, Nantong University, Nantong 226019. P. R. China.
e-mail: zhaolingdong@163.com