

# ON THE STABILIZABILITY OF SOME CLASSES OF BILINEAR SYSTEMS IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

HAMADI JERBI

In this paper, we consider some classes of bilinear systems. We give sufficient condition for the asymptotic stabilization by using a positive and a negative feedbacks.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Stabilizability of bilinear systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx \tag{1}$$

(where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A, B$  are constant real matrices ( $n \times n$ )) has widely studied in the past years by many authors (see e.g. [1–13]). In [4], the authors give a necessary and sufficient condition, algebraically computable, for the global stabilization of the planar bilinear systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z \\ z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in M(2, \mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

It turns out that the stabilizability by homogeneous feedback is equivalent to the asymptotic controllability to the origin which is equivalent to the stabilizability. Moreover, they show that there exists a large class of planar bilinear systems that are not  $C^1$  stabilizable but stabilizable by means of homogeneous feedback of the form  $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$ , where  $Q_1$  is a quadratic form and  $Q_2$  is a positive-definite quadratic form.

For the three dimensional case, in [3] the authors deal with a particular class of bilinear systems of form (1) with  $A$  diagonal and  $B$  skew symmetric. For these systems a necessary and sufficient condition for global asymptotic stabilization by constant feedback and a sufficient condition for stabilization by a family of linear feedbacks are given. Another interesting problem is considered in the literature. The question is: does the local asymptotic stabilizability of (1) imply the global asymptotic stabilizability? More precisely let us assume that there exists a feedback law (locally defined)  $u : x \mapsto u(x)$  such that the closed system  $\dot{x} = Ax + u(x)Bx$  ( $\Sigma$ )

is locally asymptotically stable about the origin. Does there exist a feedback law (globally defined)  $\bar{u}(x)$  which makes the origin of (1) globally asymptotically stable?

To the closed-loop system  $(\Sigma)$  a positive-definite function  $V$  (locally defined) is associated, such that  $\dot{V}(x)$  (the derivative of  $V$  along the trajectories of system  $(\Sigma)$ ) is negative definite.

Hammouri and Marques [8], proved that local asymptotic stabilizability implies global asymptotic stabilizability under some assumption on the level surfaces of the Lyapunov function related to system  $(\Sigma)$ . Andriano [1] assert, that the answer to the above question is yes without any assumption on the level surfaces of the Lyapunov function. In [5] the authors clarify the result of Andriano given in [1].

This work is a contribution to the study of stabilization of bilinear systems by homogeneous feedback. The results concern single-input bilinear systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx \quad (3)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  ${}^TA$ ,  ${}^TB$  two matrices supposed have a same eigenvector ( ${}^TA$  denotes the transpose of matrix  $A$ ). In a suitable basis matrices  $A$  and  $B$  can be written as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{(2.1)} & a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(3.1)} & a_{(3.2)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{(2.1)} & b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(3.1)} & b_{(3.2)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define matrices  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  as

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(3.2)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(3.2)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We suppose more that system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback and  $\tilde{B}$  is not diagonalizable.

In this paper we show how to compute the homogeneous feedback of the system (3) when the planar bilinear system (2) is stabilizable by a positive and negative feedback.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, for the convenience of the reader we recall two results of constant use in the sequel.

Section 3: In the case where the eigenvalues of  $B$  associate to the common eigenvector of  ${}^TA$  and  ${}^TB$  is zero we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the stabilizability of system (3), the feedback is given explicitly. Next we prove that if the planar bilinear system is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) by a feedback  $v(z)$  such that  $b_{1.1}v(z) < 0$  then system (2) is GAS.

In Section 4, we suppose that the system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback and  $\tilde{B}$  is not diagonalizable. As an application of the last result of the Section 2, we give a necessary and sufficient condition, algebraically computable, for the global stabilization of the planar bilinear systems by a positive and negative feedback.

## 2. TWO RESULTS ON STABILIZATION

We recall the following theorem, because we need these results to prove that we can stabilize some bilinear system by a positive and negative feedback (see Theorems 5, 6, 7 and 8).

**Theorem 1.** Consider the two-dimensional system,

$${}^T[\dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2] = {}^T[f_1(z_1, z_2), f_2(z_1, z_2)]$$

where  ${}^T[f_1, f_2]$  is Lipschitz continuous and is homogeneous of degree  $p$ . Then the system is asymptotically stable if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

- (i) The system does not have any one-dimensional invariant subspaces and

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos \theta f_1(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) + \sin \theta f_2(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)}{\cos \theta f_2(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) - \sin \theta f_1(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)} d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f_1(1, s)}{f_2(1, s) - s f_1(1, s)} ds < 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

- (ii) The restriction of the system to each of its one-dimensional invariant subspaces is asymptotically stable.

For a proof see [2, 7].

In the sequel we use constantly a result of asymptotic stability using positive-semi-definite function. The theorem can be found in [9] or [10], we use the formulation of [10]. Consider the differential equation

$$(\Gamma) \begin{cases} \dot{x} = X(x) \\ X(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $X$  is a smooth vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a differentiable function  $V$ , we denote the action of  $X$ , considered as a differential operator, on  $V$  by  $XV$ , which is defined by

$$XV(x) = \frac{d}{dt} V(X_t(x))_{t=0}$$

$X_t(x_0)$  is the solution of  $(\Gamma)$  starting at  $x_0$ , i. e.  $\frac{d}{dt} X_t(x_0) = X(X_t(x_0))$  and  $X_0(x_0) = x_0$ .

**Theorem 2.** We suppose that there exists a function  $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(1) \quad V(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } V(0) = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \dot{V}(x) = XV(x) \leq 0.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the largest positively invariant set of  $X$  contained in  $M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \dot{V}(x) = 0\}$

If the origin is asymptotically stable with respect to the system  $(\Gamma)$  restricted to  $\mathcal{L}$ , then the origin is asymptotically stable.

### 3. MAIN RESULT

Consider a single input bilinear system (3), we suppose that the  ${}^TB$  and  ${}^TA$  have a same eigenvector

we recall that, in a suitable basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the matrices  $A, B$  take the following forms

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{(2.1)} & a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(3.1)} & a_{(3.2)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{(2.1)} & b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(3.1)} & b_{(3.2)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  as follows

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(2.3)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(2.3)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the sake of clarity, we set  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, z)$  where  $z = (x_2, x_3)$ . We denotes  $a_{(1.1)} = \alpha$  and  $\beta = b_{(1.1)}$

**Theorem 3.** In the case when  $\beta = 0$  we can assume that:

The bilinear system (3) is GAS if and only if  $\alpha < 0$  and the planar bilinear system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$ , (2) is GAS.

If system (2) is GAS by the feedback law  $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$  (where  $Q_1$  is a quadratic form and  $Q_2$  is a positive-definite quadratic form), then the feedback  $u(x) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$  stabilizes the system (3).

**Proof.** The system (3) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= \alpha x_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} &= x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{(2.1)} \\ a_{(3.1)} \end{pmatrix} + ux_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_{(2.1)} \\ b_{(3.1)} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} + u\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is clear that it is necessary for the stabilizability of (4) that  $\alpha < 0$  and the system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$  is stabilizable.

We suppose now that these two conditions are satisfied. Let  $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$  be a stabilizing homogeneous feedback for  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$ , where  $Q_1$  is a quadratic form

and  $Q_2$  is a positive-definite quadratic form. We define  $u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This feedback is homogeneous and  $C^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ . We denote by  $X(x) = A(x) + u(x)B(x)$  the vectors field of the closed-loop system. We shall prove, by using Theorem 2, that this system is asymptotically stable. It is clear that the vectors field  $X$  and  $Y$  where  $Y(x) = (Q_2(z) + \|x_1\|^2)X(x)$  have the same orbits. We choose  $V(x) = x_1^2$ ,  $V$  is clearly positive-semi-definite on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Since  $\alpha < 0$ , then

$$YV(x) = \dot{V}(x) = \alpha(Q_2(z) + \|x_1\|^2)\|x_1\|^2 \leq 0.$$

Let  $M$  be the set  $M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \dot{V}(x) = 0\}$ . It is clear that  $M$  is  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the vectors field  $Y$  is reduced on  $M$  to  $\dot{z} = Q_2(z)(\tilde{A}z + u(0, z)\tilde{B}z)$ .

Since  $Q_2(z)$  is positive-definite and  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v(z)\tilde{B}z$  is asymptotically stable, then  $Y$  and hence  $X$ , is asymptotically stable.

In the case when  $\beta \neq 0$  and without loss of generality we can suppose that  $a_{(1,1)} = \alpha < 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** If the planar bilinear system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$  (2) is globally asymptotically stabilizable by a feedback law of the form  $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$  such that  $\beta v(z) \leq 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$  then the feedback  $u(x) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$  stabilizes the system (3).

**Proof.** It is straightforward that the the closed-loop system (3) with the feedback  $u(x) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= \alpha x_1 + u\beta x_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} &= x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{(2,1)} \\ a_{(3,1)} \end{pmatrix} + ux_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_{(2,1)} \\ b_{(3,1)} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} + u\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is GAS.  $\square$

The proof is organized as the proof of the preceding theorem. Since this system is in triangular forms (see [10]), and  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + u(0, z)\tilde{B}z$  is GAS, then the equation (5) is GAS.

#### 4. STABILIZABILITY OF PLANAR BILINEAR SYSTEM BY A POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FEEDBACK

In this section we consider the planar bilinear system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$  (2) which it not be stabilizable by a constant feedback. We suppose that matrix  $\tilde{B}$  is not diagonalizable.

As an application of Theorem 4, in this section we construct a positive and negative feedbacks who's stabilize the planar bilinear systems (2).

#### 4.1. $\tilde{B}$ have no real eigenvalues

In a suitable basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the matrix  $\tilde{B}$  takes the following form

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \mu \\ -\mu & \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the sake of clarity, we set  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ ,  $\tilde{z}_1 = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2$  and  $\tilde{z}_2 = -e_2 z_1 + e_1 z_2$ , where  $e_1 = (a - d) - \sqrt{(b + c)^2 + (a - d)^2}$  and  $e_2 = (b + c)$ .

According to the assumption that system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback, it is the classification of planar bilinear systems we are speaking of [4], we have

$$(i) \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) \geq 0, \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0 \text{ and } (b + c)^2 - 4ad > 0.$$

**Theorem 5.** If the condition (i) is satisfied then for  $t_1 > 0$  and  $t_2 > 0$  large enough and  $\tilde{d}\sqrt{\frac{t_1}{t_2}} + \tilde{a} > 0$  the positive feedback law

$$v_1(z_1, z_2) = \frac{t_1 \tilde{z}_1^2 + (\tilde{d} - \tilde{a}) \tilde{z}_1 \tilde{z}_2 + t_2 \tilde{z}_2^2}{\mu(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)} + \frac{c - b}{2\mu} \text{ and the negative feedback law}$$

$$v_2(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{t_1 \tilde{z}_1^2 + (\tilde{a} - \tilde{d}) \tilde{z}_1 \tilde{z}_2 + t_2 \tilde{z}_2^2}{\mu(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)} + \frac{c - b}{2\mu} \text{ where}$$

$$\tilde{a} = (ae_1^2 + (c + b)e_1 e_2 + de_2^2)/(e_1^2 + e_2^2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tilde{d} = (ae_2^2 - (c + b)e_1 e_2 + de_1^2)/(e_1^2 + e_2^2)$$

stabilize the system (2).

**Proof.** We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback  $v_1(z_1, z_2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(z_1, z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix} = (\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2) \left[ \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + v_1(z_1, z_2) \tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Since the function  $(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)$  is positive-definite then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$  and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function  $F$  as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1, z_2) = -(t_1 \tilde{z}_1^2 + t_2 \tilde{z}_2^2)(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2).$$

From Theorem 1 one can deduce that the vector field  $Z$  is GAS if and only if

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1, s)}{F(1, s)} ds < 0.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{d} < 0 \quad I = -\frac{\pi}{t_2} \frac{\tilde{d}t + \tilde{a}}{t(t+1)} \quad \text{where} \quad t = \sqrt{\frac{t_1}{t_2}}.$$

Since  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  have been chosen large enough such that  $v_1(z_1, z_2) > 0$  and  $\tilde{d}t + \tilde{a} > 0$ , then  $I < 0$ .

From the fact that the vector field  $Z$  is GAS, we can assume that the differential equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} - \left( \frac{t_1 \bar{\xi}_1^2 + (\tilde{d} - \tilde{a}) \bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_2 + t_2 \bar{\xi}_2^2}{\mu(\bar{\xi}_1^2 + \bar{\xi}_2^2)} + \frac{b-c}{2\mu} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu \\ \mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$\bar{\xi}_1 = e_1 \xi_1 - e_2 \xi_2$  and  $\bar{\xi}_2 = e_2 \xi_1 + e_1 \xi_2$  is GAS. Under a linear change in the state space of the form  $z_1 = \xi_1$  and  $z_2 = -\xi_2$  the differential equation (6) becomes  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v_2(z_1, z_2)\tilde{B}z$  which is GAS.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Case where the eigenvalues of $B$ are real without $B$ being diagonalizable

In a suitable basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , matrices  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  take the following forms

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the assumption that the system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback, it is the classification of planar bilinear systems we are speaking of [4], we have

$$(i) \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) > 0, \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c \neq 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c \neq 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c > 0$ .

##### 4.2.1. Case when $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) > 0$

We will treat separately the two subcases:  $4bc + (a-d)^2 < 0$  and  $4bc + (a-d)^2 \geq 0$ .

**The sub case when  $4bc + (a-d)^2 \geq 0$ .**

**Theorem 6.** If the condition (i) is satisfied then the negative feedback

$$v(z) = -b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} - \frac{(d+a)^2 P(z)}{4cQ(z)}$$

where

$$Q(z) = (cz_1 - (d+2a)z_2)^2 + \frac{29}{4}(d+a)^2 z_2^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$P(z) = 26c^2 z_1^2 + (88ac + 140cd)z_1 z_2 + \left(\frac{849}{2}d^2 + 709ad + \frac{621}{2}a^2\right) z_2^2$$

stabilizes the system (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the Lyapunov function,

$$\begin{aligned} V(z) = & \left( c^2 z_1^2 - \frac{dc + 5ac}{2} z_1 z_2 - \left( \frac{17}{2} a^2 + \frac{39}{2} da + 10d^2 \right) z_2^2 \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{133}{4} \left( \frac{d^2 - a^2}{2} z_2^2 + c(d+a)z_1 z_2 \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for the system (2), and the feedback law

$$v(z) = -b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} - \frac{(d+a)^2 P(z)}{4cQ(z)}.$$

One can verify that,  $V$  is positive-definite and the feedback law is homogeneous of degree zero. A simple computation gives

$$\dot{V}(z) = \frac{-(a+d)D(z)R(z)}{Q(z)} < 0 \quad \forall z \neq 0$$

where  $R(z) = \left( cz_1 + \frac{d-a}{2} z_2 \right)^2 + \frac{19}{2}(a+d)^2 z_2^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) = & \left( c^2 z_1^2 - (cd + 3ac)z_1 z_2 - \left( \frac{33}{4} a^2 + \frac{39}{2} ad + \frac{41}{4} d^2 \right) z_2^2 \right)^2 \\ & + 33 \left( \frac{d^2 - a^2}{2} z_2^2 + c(d+a)z_1 z_2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This prove that the feedback  $v(z)$  stabilizes the system (2).

Since  $P(z) - Q(z) = 25 \left( cz_1 + \frac{(71d+46a)}{25} z_2 \right)^2 + \frac{21461}{100}(a+d)^2 z_2^2$ , is positive-definite then

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} > 1, \quad \forall z \neq 0.$$

Tacking into account the fact that  $4bc + (a-d)^2 \geq 0$  and  $\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} > 1$  then

$$v(z) < -\frac{(d+a)^2}{4c} - b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} < 0. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 1.** The system (2) is not stabilizable by a positive feedback.

**Proof.** Consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{d-a}{2c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\tilde{B}$  keep its initial form and the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a+d}{2} & b + \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} \\ c & \frac{a+d}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the new basis it is easy to verify that the set  $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that } z_1 \geq 0, z_2 = 2\}$  is invariant by the open loop system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$  where  $v$  lie in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  $\square$

**The sub case where  $4bc + (a-d)^2 < 0$ .** Under a change in input state of the form  $\tilde{v} = (\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-4bc-(a-d)^2}})v$  and if we consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{d-a}{2c} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{-4bc-(a-d)^2}}{2c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\tilde{B}$  keep its initial form and the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a+d}{2} & -\tilde{c} \\ \tilde{c} & \frac{a+d}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{c} = \frac{\sqrt{-4bc-(a-d)^2}}{2}$ .

In the new basis, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 7.** If the condition (i) is satisfied, then for  $-t > 0$  large enough the negative feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = \left( \frac{a+d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{-z_1^2 + (-\frac{10\tilde{c}}{a+d} + p)z_1z_2 + (t+7)z_2^2}{\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + ((\frac{7a+7d}{\tilde{c}}))z_2^2} \right)$$

where

$$p = -15\frac{(a+d)}{c} - 8\frac{(a+d)^2 + c^2}{c(a+d)^2}$$

stabilizes the system (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback  $\tilde{v}(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(z_1, z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( \frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + \left( \frac{7a+7d}{2\tilde{c}} \right)z_2^2 \right) \left[ \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + v(z_1, z_2)\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + (\frac{7a+7d}{2\tilde{c}})z_2^2)$  is positive-definite then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$  and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function  $F$  as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \left( \frac{2\tilde{c}^2}{a+d} \right) z_1^4 - 5\tilde{c}z_1^3z_2 + \left( 4a + 4d + \frac{2\tilde{c}^2}{a+d} \right) z_1^2z_2^2 - \frac{pa + pd}{2} z_1z_2^3 - \frac{ta + td}{2} z_2^4.$$

It is clear that for  $-t > 0$  large enough  $F$  is a positive-definite function. From Theorem 1 one can deduce that the vector field  $Z$  is GAS if and only if  $I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1, y)}{F(1, y)} dy < 0$ . It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1, y) - 4\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2}(1, y)}{F(1, y)} dy \\ &= \frac{a+d}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{-(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}) - (8 + 2(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d})^2)y + (\frac{28a+28d}{2\tilde{c}} + p)y^2}{F(1, y)} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p = -15\frac{(a+d)}{c} - 8\frac{(a+d)^2+c^2}{c(a+d)^2}$ , then we can verify that  $-(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}) - (8 + 2(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d})^2)y + (\frac{28a+28d}{2\tilde{c}} + p)y^2 < 0 \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently, the proof of theorem follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** If the condition (i) is satisfied, then for  $t > 0$  large enough the positive feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = \frac{(2\tilde{c}(a+d) + \tilde{c}^3(a+d)/2 + 8\tilde{c}t)z_1^2 - 8(a+d)z_1z_2 - (16(a+d)/\tilde{c} + 8\tilde{c}t)z_2^2}{(\tilde{c}^2(a+d)/2)z_1^2 + 8tz_2^2}$$

stabilizes the system (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback  $\tilde{v}(z)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(z_1, z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}^2 \\ 8(a+d)^2 \end{pmatrix} z_1^2 + tz_2^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + v(z_1, z_2)\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the function  $(\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{8(a+d)^2}z_1^2 + tz_2^2)$  is positive-definite, then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$  and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function  $F$  as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \left( \frac{a+d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1 + z_2 \right)^2 \left( \frac{-\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 + 2z_1z_2 - 2\frac{a+d}{\tilde{c}}z_2^2 \right).$$

It is easy to see that, if  $(1, \xi)$  verify  $\mathcal{F}(1, \xi) = \xi X_1(1, \xi) - X_2(1, \xi) = 0$  then there exists  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(X_1(1, \xi), X_2(1, \xi)) = \nu(1, \xi)$ .

In our case we have  $\xi = \frac{-\tilde{c}}{a+d}$  and  $\nu = \frac{\tilde{c}+(a+d)\xi/2}{\xi} = -(a+d)/2 < 0$ . Consequently, the proof of theorem follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

#### 4.2.2. Case where $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$

Under the assumptions that  $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c > 0$ , and in suitable basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the matrices  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  can be written as  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $c > 0$  consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{-a}{c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\tilde{B}$  keep its initial form and the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b + \frac{a^2}{c} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the new basis and in the case where  $b + a^2/c < 0$  there is equivalence between the stabilizability of system  $\dot{z} = (\tilde{A} + v\tilde{B})z$  by a positive feedback and the stabilizability of the system  $\dot{z} = (\tilde{B} + v\tilde{A})z$  (7) where  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover we can assume that there is equivalence between the stabilizability of system  $\dot{z} = (\tilde{A} + v\tilde{B})z$  by a negative feedback and the stabilizability of the system  $\dot{z} = (-\tilde{B} + v\tilde{A})z$  (8) where  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . The stabilizability problem of systems (7) and (8) was treated in the subsection 4.1.

In the case when  $b + a^2/c > 0$  we consider the change of feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = v(z) + \frac{a^2 + bc}{c} + c$$

the system (2) becomes

$$\dot{z} = (\bar{A} + \tilde{v}\tilde{B})z \text{ where } \bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of matrix  $\bar{A}$  is equal to  $X^2 + c^2$ , so matrix  $\bar{A}$  admits a first integral, namely the positive-definite function

$$V(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2).$$

Moreover, the rank of the family  $\{\tilde{B}z, \text{ad}\tilde{A}\tilde{B}z, \dots\}$  is equal to two on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , hence for any positive constant  $\delta$  the feedback law

$$\tilde{v}(z) = -\frac{L_{\tilde{B}}V(z)}{\delta V(z_1, z_2)} = -\frac{z_1 z_2}{\delta(z_1^2 + z_2^2)}$$

stabilizes the system (2) (the proof is a modification of the result of [6] with the feedback rendered homogeneous; the proof is exactly the same). It follows for  $\delta > 0$  large enough the negative feedback

$$v(z) = -\frac{z_1 z_2}{\delta(z_1^2 + z_2^2)} - \frac{a^2 + bc}{c} - c.$$

**Proposition 2.** The system (2) is not stabilizable by a positive feedback.

**Proof.** In the new basis it is easy to verify that the set  $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that } z_1 \geq 2, z_2 \geq 2\}$  is invariant by the open loop system  $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$  where  $v$  lie in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  $\square$

(Received January 30, 2001.)

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Andriano: Some results on global and semi global stabilization of affines systems. *Systems Control Lett.* 33 (1998), 259–263.
- [2] A. Cima and J. Llibre: Algebraic and topological classification of the homogeneous cubic vector field in the plane. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 14 (1990), 420–448.
- [3] S. Čelikovský: On the stabilization of the homogeneous bilinear systems. *Systems Control Lett.* 21 (1993), 503–510.
- [4] R. Chabour, G. Sallet, and J. C. Vivalda: Stabilization of nonlinear two dimensional system: a bilinear approach. *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems* (1996), 224–246.
- [5] O. Chabour and J. C. Vivalda: Remark on local and global stabilization of homogeneous bilinear systems. *Systems Control Lett.* 41 (2000), 141–143.
- [6] J. P. Gauthier and I. Kupka: A separation principle for bilinear systems with dissipative drift. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control AC-37* (1992), 12, 1970–1974.
- [7] W. Hahn: *Stability of Motion*. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1967.
- [8] H. Hammouri and J. C. Marques: Stabilization of homogeneous bilinear systems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 7 (1994), 1, 23–28.
- [9] A. Iggider, B. Kalitine, and R. Outbib: Semidefinite Lyapunov Functions Stability and Stabilization. (*Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems 9.*) Springer-Verlag, London 1996, pp. 95–106.
- [10] A. Iggider, B. Kalitine, and G. Sallet: Lyapunov theorem with semidefinite functions proceedings. In: *Proc. 14th Triennial IFAC World Congress IFAC 99, Beijing 1999*, pp. 231–236.
- [11] H. Jerbi, M. A. Hammami, and J. C. Vivalda: On the stabilization of homogeneous affine systems. In: *Proc. 2nd IEEE Mediterranean Symposium on New Directions in Control & Automation T2.3.4, 1994*, pp. 319–326.
- [12] V. Jurdjevic and J. P. Quinn: Controllability and stability. *J. of Differentials* 28 (1978), 381–389.
- [13] E. P. Ryan and N. J. Buckingham: On asymptotically stabilizing feedback control of bilinear systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control AC-28* (1983), 8, 863–864.

*Dr. Hamadi Jerbi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Sfax. Tunisia.*  
*e-mail: hjerbi@voila.fr*