

## CHI-SQUARED GOODNESS-OF-FIT TEST FOR THE FAMILY OF LOGISTIC DISTRIBUTIONS

NEIGE AGUIRRE AND MIKHAIL NIKULIN

Chi-squared goodness-of-fit test for the family of logistic distributions is proposed. Different methods of estimation of the unknown parameters  $\theta$  of the family are compared. The problem of homogeneity is considered.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent identically distributed random variables and suppose that according to the hypothesis  $H_0$

$$P\{X_i \leq x\} = F(x; \theta), \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)^T \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Theta$  is an open set. We divide the real line into  $k$  intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k$ :

$$I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k = \mathbb{R}^1, \quad I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j.$$

We shall suppose that

$$p_i(\theta) = P\{X_1 \in I_i \mid H_0\} > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)^T$  be the vector of frequencies arising as a result of grouping the random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  into the classes  $I_1, \dots, I_k$ . We denote

$$\mathbf{X}_n^2(\theta) = \mathbf{X}_n^T(\theta)\mathbf{X}_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\nu_i - np_i(\theta))^2}{np_i(\theta)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_n = \left( \frac{\nu_1 - np_1(\theta)}{\sqrt{np_1(\theta)}}, \dots, \frac{\nu_k - np_k(\theta)}{\sqrt{np_k(\theta)}} \right)^T. \quad (4)$$

Following Cramer [5] we suppose that

1.  $p_i(\theta) > c > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  ( $k \geq s + 2$ );

2.  $\frac{\partial^2 p_i(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_l}$  are continuous functions;

3. the information matrix of Fisher

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}(\theta) = \left[ \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{p_l(\theta)} \frac{\partial p_l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial p_l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right]_{s \times s} = \mathbf{B}^T(\theta) \mathbf{B}(\theta) \tag{5}$$

exists, and  $\text{rank } \mathbf{J} = s$ , where

$$\mathbf{B}(\theta) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_l(\theta)}} \frac{\partial p_l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right]_{k \times s} \tag{6}$$

In this case  $n\mathbf{J}$  is the information matrix of Fisher of the statistic  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)^T$ .

Let  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  be the minimum chi-squared estimator for  $\theta$ ,

$$\mathbf{X}_n^2(\tilde{\theta}_n) = \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{X}_n^2(\theta), \tag{7}$$

or an estimator asymptotically equivalent to it.

**Theorem** (Fisher [11], Cramer [5]). If the regularity conditions of Cramer hold then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{X}_n^2(\tilde{\theta}_n) \geq x \mid H_0\} = \mathbf{P}\{\chi_{k-s-1}^2 \geq x\}. \tag{8}$$

The limit distribution  $\chi_{k-s-1}^2$  can only be used if  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is the minimum chi-squared estimator or an asymptotically equivalent estimator. Thus, see Cramer [5], one can use the root of the system:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\nu_i}{np_i(\theta)} \frac{\partial p_i(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, s. \tag{9}$$

The problem of finding the root of (9) is usually difficult, so as an approximation to the value of  $\theta$  one often uses the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , calculated to the non-grouped data  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . It is important to remark that when  $\theta$  is unknown and we have to estimate it, the limit distribution of the Pearson's statistic  $\mathbf{X}_n^2(\theta)_n^*$  changes in accordance with asymptotical properties of an estimator  $\theta_n^*$ .

For example, if  $\theta_n^* = \hat{\theta}_n$ , then under certain regularity conditions we have the next

**Theorem** (Chernoff and Lehmann [4]).

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{X}_n^2(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq x \mid H_0\} = \mathbf{P}\{\chi_{k-s-1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \xi_i^2 \geq x\},$$

where  $\chi^2_{r-s-1}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$  are independent,  $\xi_i \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\theta), 0 < \lambda_i(\theta) < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , are the roots of the equation

$$|(1 - \lambda)\mathbf{I}(\theta) - \mathbf{J}(\theta)| = 0,$$

$\mathbf{I}(\theta)$  – the information matrix of Fisher, corresponding to one observation  $X_i$ .

**Remark 1.** We note here that in continuous case  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)^T$  is not sufficient statistic, and hence the matrix  $\mathbf{I}(\theta) - \mathbf{J}(\theta)$  is positively definite.

**Remark 2.** Let us consider the density family

$$f(x; \theta) = h(x) \exp\left\{ \sum_{m=1}^s \theta_m x^m + v(\theta) \right\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1,$$

$\mathcal{X}$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^1, \mathcal{X} = \{x : f(x; \theta) > 0\}, \theta \in \Theta$ .

This family is very rich: it contains Poisson, normal distributions etc. It is evident that

$$\mathbf{U}_n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^s \right)^T$$

is the complete minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

We suppose that

1. the support  $\mathcal{X}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ ;
2. the matrix of Hessen

$$\mathbf{H}_v(\theta) = - \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} v(\theta) \right]_{s \times s}$$

of the function  $v(\theta)$  is positively definite;

3. the moments  $a_s(\theta) = E_{\theta} X_1^s$  exist.

In this case, using the results of Zacks [22], it is not difficult to show (see, for example, [7]–[10]) that the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = \hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{U}_n)$  and the method of moments estimator  $\bar{\theta}_n = \bar{\theta}_n(\mathbf{U}_n)$  of  $\theta$  coincide, i.e.  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{\theta}_n$ . Let

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta) = (a_1(\theta), \dots, a_s(\theta))^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{U}_n.$$

One can verify that

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} v(\theta),$$

and hence the likelihood equation is  $\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{a}(\theta)$ , i.e.  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is a root of this equation. On the other hand we have  $E_{\theta} \mathbf{T}_n \equiv \mathbf{a}(\theta)$ , and hence from the properties of the statistic  $\mathbf{U}_n$  it follows that  $\mathbf{T}_n$  is the MVUE of  $\mathbf{a}(\theta)$ , and  $\bar{\theta}_n$  is the root of the same equation  $\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{a}(\theta)$ , which we used to find  $\hat{\theta}_n$ . Hence  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{\theta}_n$ . We remark that in general an estimator based on the method of moments is not asymptotically efficient,

and hence does not satisfy the Chernoff–Lehmann theorem. In “Handbook of the Logistic Distribution” (edited by N. Balakrishnan [2]), Chap. 13, it is reported that the Dahiya–Gurland [6] extension of the Chernoff–Lehmann theorem is applied by Massaro and d’Agostino to construct the chi-squared test of Pearson with random intervals for the family of the logistic distributions using  $\bar{\theta}_n = (\bar{X}_n, s_n^2)^T$  (the moment method estimator of  $\theta = (EX_1, \text{Var}X_1)^T$ ), as it was done by Dahiya and Gurland [6] for testing the normality (we note that in the normal case the method of moments and the maximum likelihood method are equivalent). But  $\bar{\theta}_n$  is not efficient and even not asymptotically efficient for the logistic family, since this family does not belong to the exponential family and  $(\bar{X}_n, s_n^2)^T$  is not sufficient statistic in this situation. Hence, the tables of critical points, proposed by Massaro et d’Agostino in Section 13.9 are not valid. For this reason it is necessary to have a statistic which limit distribution is well known when we apply the maximum likelihood estimator or anyone BAN estimator. In the papers of Nikulin [15, 16, 17] (see also, for example, Rao and Robson [20], Moore and Spruill [14]), is exposed how to construct a chi-squared test for a continuous distribution, based on the statistic  $Y_n^2(\theta_n^*)$ , we shall define it in Section 3. We note that the technique of chi-squared tests for the exponential family of distributions of rank one,  $s = 1$ , and some applications of MVUE’s were exposed by Nikulin and Voinov [18], Voinov and Nikulin [21].

## 2. LOGISTIC DISTRIBUTION AND THE CHI-SQUARED GOODNESS-OF-FIT TEST

Let  $\mathbb{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  be a random sample, i.e.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent identically distributed random variables. In this section we consider the problem of testing the hypothesis  $H_0$  that the distribution function of  $X_1$  belongs to the family of logistic distributions  $G\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$  depending on the shift parameter  $\mu$  and the scale parameter  $\sigma$ :

$$P\{X_1 \leq x \mid H_0\} = G\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (10)$$

$$\mu = E\{X_1 \mid H_0\}, \quad |\mu| < \infty, \quad \sigma^2 = \text{Var}X_1, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Under  $H_0$  the density function of  $X_i$  is

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}g\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = G'\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}\sigma} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)}{\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (11)$$

We point out that “Handbook of the Logistic Distribution” [1], was published recently about the theory, the methodology and some applications of the family of logistic distributions.

We denote  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)^T$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\mu}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n)^T$  be the maximum of likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ . Since there is no any other sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  than the trivial one  $\mathbb{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$ , the maximum likelihood equation has no explicit root. Balakrishnan and Cohen [2] proposed an approximate solution of the maximum

likelihood equations based on a "type II censored sample" of Harter and Moore [12]. They proved that this approximate solution gives an asymptotically efficient estimator, i. e. asymptotically equivalent to  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .

Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be such an estimator. The limit covariance matrix of the random vector  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$  will be  $\mathbf{I}^{-1}$ , where

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [I_{ij}]_{2 \times 2} = \frac{1}{9\sigma^2} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^2 + 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$$I_{11} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} \right]^2 g(x) dx = \frac{\pi^2}{9},$$

$$I_{22} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 \left[ \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} \right]^2 g(x) dx - 1 = \frac{\pi^2 + 3}{9},$$

and since  $g(x)$  is symmetric

$$I_{12} = I_{21} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \left[ \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} \right]^2 g(x) dx = 0.$$

Let us fix the vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)^T$  of positive probabilities such that

$$p_1 = \dots = p_k = 1/k, \quad (13)$$

and let  $y_0 = -\infty$ ,  $y_k = +\infty$ ,

$$y_i = G^{-1}(p_1 + \dots + p_i) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{i}{k-i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (14)$$

Further, let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)^T$  be the frequency vector arising from grouping  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over the intervals with random ends

$$(-\infty, z_1], (z_1, z_2], \dots, (z_{k-1}, +\infty), \quad \text{where } z_i = z_i(\hat{\theta}_n) = \hat{\mu}_n + \hat{\sigma}_n y_i, \quad (15)$$

and let

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)^T, \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)^T, \quad \mathbf{W}^T = -\frac{1}{\sigma} [\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}], \quad (16)$$

where for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$a_i = g(y_i) - g(y_{i-1}) = \frac{\pi}{k^2 \sqrt{3}} (k - 2i + 1),$$

$$b_i = y_i g(y_i) - y_{i-1} g(y_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ (i-1)(k-i+1) \ln \frac{k-i+1}{i-1} - i(k-i) \ln \frac{k-i}{i} \right],$$

$$\alpha(\nu) = k \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \nu_i = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}k} \left[ (k+1)n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i \nu_i \right], \quad (17)$$

$$\beta(\nu) = k \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \nu_i = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i) i (k-i) \ln \frac{k-i}{i}, \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda_1 = I_{11} - k \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{9k^2}, \quad \lambda_2 = I_{22} - k \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2. \quad (19)$$

Since  $g$  is symmetric we have  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_k = 0$ . Let

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^T - \mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{I}^{-1}\mathbf{W}, \tag{20}$$

where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the diagonal matrix with the elements  $1/k$  on the main diagonal. The matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , and  $\text{rank } \mathbf{B} = k - 1$ , i.e. the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is singular, while the matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ , obtained as a result of deleting the last row and column in  $\mathbf{B}$ , has an inverse

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T(\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{A}, \tag{21}$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T/p_k$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1}$  is a diagonal matrix with elements  $\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_{k-1}}$  on the main diagonal,  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{k-1}$  is the vector of dimension  $(k - 1)$ , all elements of which are equal to 1,  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$  is a matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{W}$  by deleting the last column. Since the vector  $\tilde{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1})^T$  is asymptotically normally distributed with parameters

$$E\tilde{\nu} = n\tilde{\mathbf{p}} + O(\sqrt{n}\mathbf{1}_s) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\tilde{\nu} - n\tilde{\mathbf{p}})^T(\tilde{\nu} - n\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = n\tilde{\mathbf{B}} + O(\sqrt{n}\mathbf{1}_{s \times s}), \tag{22}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = (p_1, \dots, p_{k-1})^T$ , we obtain the next result

**Theorem 1.** The statistic

$$\mathbf{Y}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n}(\tilde{\nu} - n\tilde{\mathbf{p}})^T\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}(\tilde{\nu} - n\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = \mathbf{X}_n^2 + \frac{\lambda_1\beta^2(\nu) + \lambda_2\alpha^2(\nu)}{n\lambda_1\lambda_2} \tag{23}$$

has, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , chi-squared limit distribution with  $(k - 1)$  degrees of freedom, where

$$\mathbf{X}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\nu_i - np_i)^2}{np_i} = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_i^2 - n. \tag{24}$$

**Remark.** We consider the hypothesis  $H_\eta$ , according to which  $X_i$  follows  $G(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}, \eta)$ , where  $G(x, \eta)$  is continuous,  $|x| < \infty$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $G(x, 0) = G(x)$ , and  $\eta = 0$  is a limit point of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Let us assume also, that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}G(x, \eta) = g(x, \eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}g(x, \eta) |_{\eta=0} = \psi(x) \tag{25}$$

exist, where  $g(x, 0) = g(x) = G'(x)$ . In this case if  $\frac{\partial^2 g(x, \eta)}{\partial \eta^2}$  exists and is continuous for all  $x$  in the neighbourhood of the  $\eta = 0$ , then for  $z_i = y_i\sigma + \mu$  we have

$$P\{z_{i-1} < X_i \leq z_i | H_\eta\} = p_i + \eta c_i + o(\eta), \tag{26}$$

where

$$c_i = \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} \Psi(x) dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \tag{27}$$

and finally, in the limit, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the statistic  $\mathbf{Y}_n^2$  has noncentral chi-squared distribution with  $(k - 1)$  degrees of freedom and with non-centrality parameter  $\lambda$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\mathbf{Y}_n^2 \geq x \mid H_n\} = P\{\chi_{k-1}^2(\lambda) \geq x\}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i^2}{p_i} + \frac{\lambda_2 \alpha^2(\mathbf{c}) + \lambda_1 \beta^2(\mathbf{c})}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \quad \mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)^t, \tag{29}$$

$\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\alpha(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $\beta(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  are given by (13), (17), (18), (19) respectively.

### 3. HOMOGENEITY TEST

Let us consider the problem of homogeneity of two samples in the case of the family of logistic distributions, following the paper of Bolshev and Nikulin [3].

Let us suppose that  $\mathbb{X}_1 = (X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1})^T$  and  $\mathbb{X}_2 = (X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2})$  such that

$$P\{X_{1i} \leq x\} = G\left(\frac{x - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad P\{X_{2i} \leq x\} = G\left(\frac{x - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1, \tag{30}$$

where  $|\mu_i| < \infty$ ,  $\sigma_i > 0$ ,  $\mu_i$ ,  $\sigma_i$  are unknown,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $G\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$  is given by (35). We wish to test the hypothesis  $H_0$  according to which  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  and  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ , i.e. under  $H_0$

$$X_{ij} \sim G\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right),$$

for some  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ . Under  $H_0$  we can find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_N = (\hat{\mu}_N, \hat{\sigma}_N^2)^T$  of  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)^T$  obtained from all  $N = n_1 + n_2$  observations  $X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}$ .

Further, let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)^T$ ,  $p_i = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\nu_i = (\nu_{i1}, \dots, \nu_{ik})^T$  be the vector of frequencies obtained by grouping the sample  $\mathbb{X}_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) using the intervals  $[z_{j-1}(\hat{\theta}_N), z_j(\hat{\theta}_N)]$ , as in (15), where  $z_0 = -\infty$ ,  $z_k = +\infty$ ,  $z_j = \hat{\sigma}_N y_j + \hat{\mu}_N$ ,  $y_j = G^{-1}\left(\frac{j}{k}\right)$ , and let  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{I}, \alpha(\nu), \beta(\nu), \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}}$  as in (12)–(22),

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{D}} - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^T - \frac{n_i}{N} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T \mathbf{I}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}, \quad \Delta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i}} (\tilde{\nu}_i - n_i \tilde{\mathbf{p}}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

**Theorem 2.** Under  $H_0$  the vector  $\Delta = (\Delta_1^T, \Delta_2^T)^T$  is asymptotically normally distributed when  $\min(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$  with  $E\Delta = \mathbf{0}_{2s}$  and covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1 & -\frac{\sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{N} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T \mathbf{I}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{N} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T \mathbf{I}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}} & \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Under  $H_0$  the statistic

$$\mathbf{Y}_n^2 = \mathbf{\Delta}^T \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\nu_{1i} - n_1 p_i)^2}{n_1 p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\nu_{2i} - n_2 p_i)^2}{n_2 p_i} + \frac{1}{N \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \{ \lambda_1 \beta^2(\boldsymbol{\nu}) + \lambda_2 \alpha^2(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \}.$$

has, in the limit as  $\min(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$ , a chi-squared distribution with  $2(k-1)$  degrees of freedom:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{Y}_n^2 \geq x \mid H_0\} = \mathbf{P}\{\chi_{2(k-1)}^2 \geq x\}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Professors S. Kotz, A. Rukhin, C. Huber, N. Balakrishnan and J. Antoch for helpful discussion, advice and encouragement during the writing of the paper.

(Received March 3, 1994.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Balakrishnan (ed.): Handbook of the Logistic Distribution. Marcel Dekker, New York 1992.
- [2] N. Balakrishnan and A. C. Cohen: Order Statistics and Inference: Estimation Methods. Academic Press, Boston 1990.
- [3] L. N. Bolshev and M. S. Nikulin: One solution of the problem of homogeneity. *Serdica 1* (1975), 104–109.
- [4] H. Chernoff and E. L. Lehmann: The use of maximum likelihood estimates in  $\chi^2$  tests for goodness of fit. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 25 (1954), 579–586.
- [5] H. Cramer: Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. 1946.
- [6] R. C. Dahiya and J. Gurland: Pearson chi-square test of fit with random intervals. *Biometrika* 59 (1972), 1, 147–153.
- [7] F. C. Drost: Asymptotics for generalised chi-square goodness-of-fit tests. CWI Tract 48, Centre for Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Amsterdam 1988.
- [8] R. M. Dudley: Probabilities and metrics-convergence of laws on metric spaces with a view to statistical testing. Lecture Notes 45, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus 1976.
- [9] K. O. Dzhaparidze and M. S. Nikulin: On a modification of the standard statistic of Pearson. *Theory Prob. Appl.* 19 (1974), 4, 851–852.
- [10] K. O. Dzhaparidze and M. S. Nikulin: On evaluation of statistics of chi-square type tests. In: Problems of the Theory of Probability Distributions 12 (1992), Nauka, St. Petersburg, 59–90.
- [11] R. A. Fisher: On a property connecting the  $\chi^2$  measure of discrepancy with the method of maximum likelihood. *Atti de Congresso Internazionale di Matematici*, Bologna, 6 (1928), 94–100.
- [12] H. L. Harter and A. H. Moore: Maximum-likelihood estimation, from censored samples, of the parameters of a logistic distribution. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 62 (1967), 675–684.
- [13] L. Le Cam, C. Mahan and A. Singh: An extension of a theorem of H. Chernoff and E. L. Lehmann. In: Recent Advances in Statistics. Academic Press, New York 1983, pp. 303–332.



- [14] D.S. Moore and M.C. Spruill: Unified large-sample theory of general chi-squared statistics for tests of fit. *Ann. of Statist.* 3 (1975), 599–616.
- [15] M.S. Nikulin: Chi-square test for normality. In: *Proceedings of International Vilnius Conference on Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics Vol. 2, 1973*, pp. 119–122.
- [16] M.S. Nikulin: Chi-square test for continuous distributions with shift and scale parameters. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 18 (1973), 3, 559–568.
- [17] M.S. Nikulin: Chi-square test for continuous distributions. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 18 (1973), 3, 638–639.
- [18] M.S. Nikulin and V.G. Voinov: A chi-square goodness-of-fit test for exponential distribution of the first order. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1312, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989, pp. 239–258.
- [19] C.R. Rao: *Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications*. J. Wiley, New York 1965.
- [20] K.C. Rao and D.S. Robson: A chi-squared statistic for goodness-of-fit tests within the exponential family. *Commun. Statist.* 3 (1974), 1139–1153.
- [21] V.G. Voinov and M.S. Nikulin: *Unbiased Estimators and their Applications. Part I: Univariate case*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht 1993.
- [22] S. Zacks: *The Theory of Statistical Inference*. Wiley, New York 1979.

*Dr. Neige Aguirre, Mathématiques Stochastiques, Université Bordeaux 2, 33076 Bordeaux, France.*

*Dr. Mikhail Nikulin, Mathématiques Stochastiques, Université Bordeaux 2, 33076 Bordeaux, France, and V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia.*