## ON PSEUDOPARABOLIC OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS

IGOR BOCK AND JAN LOVÍŠEK

An optimal control problem for a pseudoparabolic equation is considered. Control parameters appear in coefficients of operators of a state equation. The existence theorem, the conditions for the uniqueness and the sensitivity analysis are presented.

## 1. OPTIMIZATION IN COEFFICIENTS FOR PSEUDOPARABOLIC EQUATIONS

We start with some functions spaces. Let T>0, X be a Banach space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . We denote by C(0,T;X) the space of all continuous and by  $C^1(0,T;X)$  the space of all continuously differentiable functions  $f:[0,T]\to X$ .  $L_2(0,T;X)$  denotes the space of all measurable functions  $f:[0,T]\to X$ , such that  $f(\cdot)\in L_2(0,T;X)$ . Further, we denote by  $W_2(0,T;X)$  the space of all  $f\in L_2(0,T;X)$  with a distributive derivative  $f'\in L_2(0,T;X)$ . If X is a Hilbert space with the inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)_X$ , then  $W_2^1(0,T;X)$  is the Hilbert space with the inner product  $(f,g)_{1,2}=\int_0^T [(f(t),g(t))_X+(f'(t),g'(t))_X]\,\mathrm{d}t$ . Let V be the Hilbert space with the inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|,v^*$ 

Let V be the Hilbert space with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm  $||\cdot||, v^*$  its dual space with the duality pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and with the norm  $||\cdot||_*$ ,  $L(V, V^*)$  the Banach space of all linear bounded operators from V into  $V^*$ . Let U be a reflexive Banach space of control with a norm  $||\cdot||_U$  and  $U_{ad} \subset U$  be a convex closed and bounded set of admissible controls. We assume the families of operators  $A_i(t,u): V \to V^*$ ,  $t \in [0,T]$ ,  $u \in U$ , i=0,1; fulfilling the assumptions

$$A_{0}(\cdot, u) \in C(0, T; L(V, V^{*}))$$

$$A_{1}(\cdot, u) \in C^{1}(0, T; L(V, V^{*}))$$

$$(A_{i}(t, u)y, z) = \langle A_{i}(t, u)z, y \rangle, \quad i = 0, 1$$

$$(A_{1}(t, u)y, y) \geq c_{1}||y||^{2}, \quad c_{1} > 0$$

$$([2A_{0}(t, u) - A'_{1}(t, u)]y, y) \geq c_{2}||y||^{2}, \quad c_{2} > 0$$

$$for all \ t \in [0, T], \ u \in U; \ y, \ z \in V$$

$$u_{n} \rightarrow u \text{ in } U \text{ weakly } \Rightarrow A_{i}(\cdot, u_{n}) \rightarrow A_{i}(\cdot, u)$$

$$in \ C(0, T; L(V, V^{*}), \ i = 0, 1$$

$$(1)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(6)$$

Let  $f \in C(0,T;V^*), \ f_0 \in V^*.$  We shall deal with a following optimal control problem:

$$A_1(t, u) y_t'(t, u) + A_0(t, u) y(t, u) = f(t)$$
(7)

$$A_1(0,u) y(0,u) = f_0 (8)$$

$$J(\overline{u}) = \min_{u \in U_{ad}} J(u), \tag{9}$$

with

$$J(u) = ||Dy(T, u) - z_d||_X^2 + j(u), \quad u \in U_{ad},$$
(10)

where X is a Hilbert space,  $z_d \in X$ ,  $D \in L(V, X)$  and  $j: U \to R$  is a weakly lower semicontinuous functional.

The state initial value problem (7), (8) can be due to the assumption (4) expressed as the initial value problem for the first order ordinary differential equation in the Hilbert space V

$$y' + B(t, u) = g(t, u), \quad y(0) = g_0$$

with

$$B(t, u) = A_1^{-1}(t, u) A_0(t, u), g(t) = A_1^{-1}(t, u) f(t), \quad g_0 = A_1^{-1}(0, u) f(0).$$

Using the theory of the ordinary differential equations in Hilbert spaces (see [3]) we obtain the existence and uniqueness of a solution  $y \in C^1(0,T;V)$ . The function  $y := y(\cdot,u) \in C^1(0,T;V)$  is simultaneously a unique solution of the state initial value problem (7), (8). Hence, the cost functional  $u \to J(u)$  is correctly defined.

The main result of this part is the existence theorem for the control problem (7)-(10).

**Theorem 1.** There exists at least one solution  $\overline{u} \in U_{ad}$  of the Optimal control problem (7)–(10).

Proof. Let  $y(\cdot, u) \in C^1(0, T; V)$  be a solution to the state problem (7), (8). Using the assumptions (2), (3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle A_1(t,u) \, y(t,u), \, y(t,u) \rangle + \langle [2A_0(t,u) - A_1'(t,u)] y(t,u), \, y(t,u) \rangle = \\ = 2 \langle f(t), \, y(t,u) \rangle \tag{11}$$

We introduce the function  $\varphi \in C^1(0,T;R)$  by

$$\varphi(t) = \langle A_1(t, u) y(t, u), y(t, u) \rangle, \quad t \in [0, T], \ u \in U_{ad}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

Further we set

$$c_3 = \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times U_{ad}} ||A_1(t,u)||_{L(V,V^*)}$$
(13)

Using the assumptions (4), (5) we arrive from (11) at the inequality

$$\varphi'(t) + c_2 c_3^{-1} \varphi(t) \le 2||f(t)||_* c_1^{-1/2} \varphi(t)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\varphi'(t) + \frac{1}{2}c_2 c_3^{-1} \varphi(t) \le 2c_1^{-1} c_2^{-1} c_3 ||f(t)||_*^2$$
for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $u \in U_{ad}$ . (14)

The estimate (14) and the initial condition (8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_1(t, u) \, y(t, u), \, y(t, u) \rangle &= \varphi(t) \le \\ &\le c_1^{-1} \|f_0\|_*^2 e^{-\alpha t} + 2c_1^{-1} c_2^{-1} c_3 \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_*^2 e^{-\alpha (t-s)} \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}c_2c_3^{-1} > 0.$$

The assumption (4) then implies the estimate

$$||y(t,u)|| \le c_1^{-1} ||f_0||_{\bullet} + 2T^{1/2}c_1^{-1}c_2^{-1/2}c_3^{1/2}||f||_{C(0,T;V^{\bullet})}$$
 (16) for all  $t \in [0,T], \ u \in U_{ad}$ 

If we denote

$$c_4 = \sup_{(t,u)\in[0,T]\times U_{ad}} ||A_0(t,u)||_{L(V,V^*)},$$

then it follows directly from the equation (7) that

$$||y'(t,u)|| \le c_1^{-2}c_4||f_0||_* + \left(c_1^{-1} + 2T^{1/2}c_1^{-2}c_2^{-1/2}c_3^{1/2}c_4\right)||f||_{C(0,T;V^*)}$$
 (17) for all  $t \in [0,T]$ ,  $u \in U_{ad}$ 

The estimates (16), (17) imply that the set of functions  $y(\cdot,u):[0,T]\to V$  is bounded both in  $C^1(0,T;V)$  and in  $W^1_2(0,T;V)$ , which is a Hilbert space. Using the standard compactness method in  $U_{ad}$  and in  $W^1_2(0,T;V)$  we obtain due to (6) and the weak lower semicontinuity of the cost functional J the existence of an optimal control  $\overline{u}\in U_{ad}$ , what concludes the proof.

Remark 1. The existence of an optimal control can be verified also for other types of cost functionals (see [1]) and even for the pseudoparabolic variational inequality. ([2]).

## 2. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO TIME

In order to perform the sensitivity analysis for the control problem (7)–(10) we add some differentiability assumptions. We assume that the operators

$$A_i(t,\cdot): U_{ad} \to L(V,V^*), \quad i = 0,1;$$

are twice differentiable in the sense of Fréchet and their derivatives are estimated by

$$\left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} A_i(t, u) \right\|_{L(U, V, V^*)} \le \beta_i \tag{18}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} A_i(t, u) \right\|_{L(U, L(V, V^*))} \le \beta_i \tag{18}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}u^2} A_i(t, u) \right\|_{L(U \times U, L(V, V^*))} \le \gamma_i \tag{19}$$
for all  $t \in [0, T], \ u \in U_{ad}; \ i = 0, 1.$ 

In order to simplify our considerations we introduce the operators

$$A(u) \in L(C^1(0,T;V), C(0,T,V^*) \times V)$$

by

$$A(u) y = [A_1(t, u) y' + A_0(t, u) y, A_1(0, u) y(0)].$$

We define the norm in  $C(0,T;V^*) \times V$  by

$$||[f(\cdot),g]|| = ||f||_{C(0,T;V^{\bullet})} + ||g||$$

The operator

$$A: U_{ad} \to L(C^1(0,T;V), C(0,T;V) \times V^*)$$

is then twice differentiable in the sense of Fréchet and

$$\|\mathcal{A}'(u)\| \le 2\beta_1 + \beta_0 \tag{20}$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}''(u)\| \le 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_0 \tag{21}$$

for every  $u \in U_{ad}$ .

**Theorem 2.** The mapping  $y(\cdot): U_{ad} \to C^1(0,T;V)$  defined by (7), (8) is differentiable in the sense of Fréchet and its derivative fulfils the equation

$$\mathcal{A}(u)[y'_u(u)\,v] = -[\mathcal{A}'(u)v]\,y(u)$$
 for all  $u \in U_{ad}, \ v \in U.$  (22)

Proof. Let  $z \in C^1(0,T;V)$  be a unique solution of the equation

$$A(u) z = -[A'(u)v] y(u)$$
(23)

We shall verify that  $z = y'_u(u)v$ .

Let us denote

$$r(v) = y(u+v) - y(u) - z, \quad v \in U$$
(24)

The function  $r(v) \in C^1(0,T;V)$  is a solution of the equation

$$A(u) r(v) = \Phi(v), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\Phi(v) = -[A(u+v) - A(u) - A'(u)v]y(u+v) 
-[A'(u)v][y(u+v) - y(u)]$$
(26)

Applying the a priori estimates (16), (17) with respect to r(v) as the solution of (25) we obtain the estimate

$$||r(v)||_{C^1(0,T;V)} \le M_1 ||\Phi(v)||_{C(0,T;V^{\bullet}) \times V^{\bullet}}, \tag{27}$$

where

$$M_1 = c_1^{-1} \left( 1 + c_1^{-1} c_4 \right) \left( 1 + 2T^{1/2} c_2^{-1/2} c_3^{1/2} \right)$$

the estimates (19), (20) and the Lagrange theorem imply the estimate

$$\|\Phi(v)\|_{C(0,T;V^*)\times V^*} \le (2\beta_1 + \beta_0) \|v\|_U^2 \|y(u+v)\|_{C^1(0,T;V)} + + (2\gamma_1 + \gamma_0) \|v\|_U \|y(u+v) - y(u)\|_{C^1(0,T;V)}$$
(28)

The difference y(u+v) - y(u) fulfils the equation

$$\mathcal{A}(u)[y(u+v)-y(u)] = [\mathcal{A}(u)-\mathcal{A}(u+v)]y(u+v)$$

In the same way as above we obtain the estimate

$$||y(u+v) - y(u)||_{C^1(0,T;V)} \le M_2 ||v||_U, \tag{29}$$

where

$$M_2 = M_1^2 (2\beta_1 + \beta_0) (||f||_{C(0,T;V^{\bullet})} + ||f_0||_{V^{\bullet}})$$

Finally, we have from (26), (27), (28) the estimate

$$||r(v)||_{C^1(0,T;V)} \le M_3||v||_U^2, \tag{30}$$

where

$$M_3 = M_1^2 (1 + 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_0) (2\beta_1 + \beta_0) (||f||_{C(0,T;V^*)} + ||f_0||_{V^*})$$

The estimate (29) implies the relation

$$\begin{split} & \lim_{\|v\| \to 0} \left[ ||r(v)||_{C^1(0,T;V)} ||v||_U^{-1} \right] = \\ & \lim_{\|v\| \to 0} \left[ ||y(u+v) - y(u) - z||_{C^1(0,T;V)} ||v||_U^{-1} \right] = 0 \end{split}$$

and hence

$$z = y'_u(u)v$$

what completes the proof.

Let us assume further that the functional  $j:U\to R$  is differentiable with a strongly monotone derivative, i.e.,

$$\langle j'(u)-j'(v),\ u-v\rangle_U\geq N\|u-v\|_U^2,\ N>0$$
 (31) for all  $u,\ v\in U$ 

We shall verify that for sufficiently great N there exists a unique optimal control  $\overline{u}$ .

The functional  $J:U_{ad}\to R$  is due to Theorem 2 differentiable in the sense of Fréchet and its derivative has the form

$$\langle J'(u), v \rangle_U = (Dy(T, u) - z_d, D[y'_u(u)v](T))_X + \langle j'(u), v \rangle_U$$
 for all  $u \in U_{ad}, v \in U$ 

An optimal control  $\overline{u}$  fulfils then the variational inequality

$$\langle J'(\overline{u}), v - \overline{u} \rangle_U \ge 0$$
 for all  $v \in U_{ad}$ ,

or

$$(Dy(T, \overline{u}) - z_d, D[y'(u)(v - u)(T)])_X + \langle j'(\overline{u}), v - \overline{u} \rangle_U \ge 0$$
 (32) for all  $v \in U_{ad}$ .

Let  $\overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2$  be two optimal controls. Then the inequality (32) implies the inequality

$$\langle J'(\overline{u}_1) - J'(\overline{u}_2), \overline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_2 \rangle_U \leq 0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} & \langle j'(\overline{u}_1) - j'(\overline{u}_2), \, \overline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_2 \rangle_U \leq \\ & \leq \; \; (Dy(T, \overline{u}_1) - z_d, \, D[y'(\overline{u}_1)(\overline{u}_2 - \overline{u}_1)(T)])_X + \\ & + \; \; (Dy(T, \overline{u}_2) - z_d, \, D[y'(\overline{u}_2)(\overline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_2)(T)])_X = \\ & - \; \; (Dy(T, \overline{u}_1) - z_d, \, D[y(T, \overline{u}_2) - y(T, \overline{u}_1) - y'(\overline{u}_1)(\overline{u}_2 - \overline{u}_1)(T)])_X - \\ & - \; \; (Dy(T, \overline{u}_2) - z_d, \, D[y(T, \overline{u}_1) - y(T, \overline{u}_2) - y'(\overline{u}_2)(\overline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_1)(T)])_X - \\ & - \; \; \|D[y(T, \overline{u}_1) - y(T, \overline{u}_2)]\|_X^2 \end{split}$$

The estimates (16), (29) and the strong monotonicity (31) then imply the inequality

$$(N - M_4) ||\overline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_2||_U^2 \le 0,$$

where

$$M_4 = 2M_3 ||D||_{L(V,X)} \left[ c_1^{-1} ||f_0||_* + 2T^{1/2} c_1^{-1} c_2^{-1/2} c_3^{1/2} ||f||_{C(0,T,V^*)} + ||z_d||_X \right]$$

The inequality (32) implies

**Theorem 3.** If  $N > M_4$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\overline{u}$  to the optimal control problem (7)-(10).

We proceed with the sensitivity analysis with respect to T.

Let  $N > M_4$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \le T$ . We denote by  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  solution to the control problems

$$J_i(u_i) = \min_{v \in U_{ad}} J_i(v), \tag{33}$$

where

$$J_i(v) = ||Dy(t_i, v) - z_d||_X^2 + j(v), \quad i = 1, 2$$

Optimal controls  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  fulfil the variational inequalities

$$(Dy(t_i,u_i)-z_d,\,D[z'(u_i)(v-u_i)\,(t_i)])_X+\langle j'(u_i),\,v-u_i\rangle_U\geq 0$$
 for all  $v\in U_{ad},\,\,i=1,2$ 

We obtain in the same way as in (32) the estimate

$$\begin{split} &(N-M_4)\,\|u_1-u_2\|_U^2 \leq \\ &\leq \ \|z_d\,\|_X\|D\|_{L(V,X)} \left(\|y(t_2,u_1)-y(t_1,u_1)\|+\|y(t_2,u_2)-y(t_1,u_2)\|\right) + \\ &+ \ \frac{1}{2} \left(\|Dy(t_2,u_1)\|_X^2 - \|Dy(t_1,u_1)\|_X^2 + \|Dy(t_1,u_2)\|_X^2 - \|Dy(t_2,u_2)\|_X^2\right) \end{split}$$

and with respect to the estimate (15)

$$||u_1 - u_2||_U^2 \le M_5 [||y(t_2, u_1) - y(t_1, u_1)|| + ||y(t_2, u_2) - y(t_1, u_2)||],$$
 (34)

where

$$M_5 = (N - M_4)^{-1} ||D||_{L(V,X)} \left[ (1 - ||D||_{L(V,X)} ||z_d||_X + \frac{1}{2} M_3^{-1} M_4 \right]$$

Using the estimate

$$||y(t_2, u) - y(t_1, u)|| \le \sup_{t \in [0, T]} ||y'_t(t, u)||(t_2 - t_1), \quad u \in U_{ad}$$

we obtain, considering (17), the estimate

$$||u_2-u_1||_U^2 \leq 2M_5M_1 \left[||f_0||_* + ||f||_{C(0,T;V^*)}\right] (t_2-t_1)$$

Hence we have verified the following results on the sensitivity analysis.

Theorem 4. Let  $N > M_4$  and  $u_\tau$  be the unique optimal control with respect to the cost functional

$$J_{\tau}(v) = ||Dy(\tau, v) - z_d||_Y^2 + j(v), \quad v \in U_{ad}, \ 0 < \tau < T.$$

Then the mapping  $au o u_{ au}$  is Hölder continuous and it holds

$$||u_s - u_t||_U \le M[s-t]^{1/2}, \quad s, t \in (0,T];$$

where

$$M = \left[2M_1M_5(||f_0||_* + ||f||_{C(0,T;V^*)})\right]^{1/2}.$$

Remark 2. Using the previous method it is possible to investigate the behaviour of the mapping  $\tau \to u_{\tau}$  for  $\tau \to \infty$ .

Let the assumptions (1)-(6) hold for every T>0 with constants  $c_1,\,c_2$  not depending on T and moreover

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|A_0(t,u) - A_0(\infty,u)\|_{L(V,V^{\bullet})} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} A_1(t,u) \right\|_{L(V,V^{\bullet})} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \|f(t) - f(\infty)\|_{\bullet} = 0.$$

Using the a priori estimate (16) we can verify the relation

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}||y(t,u)-y(\infty,u)||=0,$$

where  $y(\infty, u)$  fulfils the elliptic equation

$$A_0(\infty, u) y(\infty, u) = f_\infty$$

If we define the corresponding control problem

$$J_{\infty}(u_{\infty}) = \min_{v \in U_{ad}} J_{\infty}(v), J_{\infty}(v) = ||Dy(\infty, v) - z_d||_X^2 + j(v);$$

then it can be verified in the same way as above the relation analogous to (34)

$$||u_{\tau} - u_{\infty}||_{U}^{2} \le M_{5} [||y(\infty, u_{\tau}) - y(\tau, u_{\tau})|| + ||y(\infty, u_{\infty}) - y(\tau, u_{\infty})||], \quad \tau > 0;$$

and with respect to (34) we have

$$\lim_{\tau} ||u_{\tau} - u_{\infty}||_{U} = 0$$

It means that the optimal control  $u_{\tau}$  tends as a function of  $\tau$  to the solution of the corresponding optimal control problem with the elliptic equation as the state problem.

**Remark 3.** The whole theory can be applied to the optimal design of a viscoelastic plate with respect to its variable thickness. The operators  $A_r(t, u): V \to V^*$  have the form ([1], [2])

$$\begin{split} \langle A_r(t,u)\,y,z\rangle &= \int \int_{\Omega} u^3(x)\,A_{ij\,kl}^{(r)}(t)\,y_{,ij}\,z_{,kl}\,\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2,\,r=0,1;\\ V &\subset W_2^2(\Omega),\,\,y_{,ij} = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x_i\partial x_j} \end{split}$$

Remark 4. J. Sokolowski ([4], [5]) investigated the differentiability of the mapping  $\tau \to u_{\tau}$  for the case of a parabolic state problem with control parameters in the right-hand side.

(Received May 7, 1992.)

## REFERENCES

- I. Bock and J. Lovíšek: Optimal control of a viscoelastic plate bending. Math. Nachr. 125 (1968), 135-151.
- [2] I. Bock and J. Lovíšek: An optimal control problem for a pseudoparabolic variational inequality. Appl. Math. 37 (1992), 1, 62-80.
- [3] H. Gajewski, K. Gröger and K. Zacharias: Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974.
- [4] J. Sokolowski: Differential stability of solutions to constrained optimal control problems. Appl. Math. Optim. 13 (1985), 97-115.
- [5] J. Sokolowski: Sensitivity analysis of control constrained optimal control problems for distributed parameter systems. SIAM J. Control Optim. 25 (1987), 1542-1556.

Doc. RNDr. Igor Bock, CSc., Elektrotechnická fakulta STU (Faculty of Electrical Engineering – Slovak Technical University), Ilkovičova 3, 812 19 Bratislava. Slovak Republic.

Doc. RNDr. Ing. Ján Lovíšek, DrSc., Stavebná fakulta STU (Faculty of Constructions – Slovak Technical University), Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava. Slovak Republic.