

BILLINGSLEY-TYPE TIGHTNESS CRITERIA FOR MULTIPARAMETER STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

PETR LACHOUT

This paper gives an extension of the tightness criterion from processes on $C(0, 1)$ or $D(0, 1)$ (see Billingsley [2], Theorems 12.3 and 15.6) to processes on $C_k(0, 1)$ or $D_k(0, 1)$ for $k > 1$. The proposed criteria coincide with those of Billingsley if $k = 1$. Theorem 2 provides a generalization of the criterion for processes on $D_k(0, 1)$ proved by Bickel and Wichura [1], in the sense that our criterion is not restricted to processes vanishing along the lower boundary of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we shall speak on the stochastic process $(X(t), t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k)$ if there is a given nonempty set Ω and $P: \exp \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$ with the following properties:

- (1) if $A \subset B \subset \Omega$ then $P(A) \leq P(B)$,
- (2) if $A_n \subset \Omega, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(A_n)$ and $X(t): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k$.

We shall use the following notation:

- (3) Φ_k is the set of all permutations of coordinates at $\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k$,
- (4) Ψ_k is the set of permutations that reverse only the j th and the last coordinates at $\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k$ for some j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$),
- (5) $\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(A) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\delta_i=a_i, b_i} (-1)^{\sum_{p \neq a_p} \delta_p} X \circ \varphi(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-d-j}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_j)$ is the increment of $X \circ \varphi(\dots, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$ around A , where $\varphi \in \Phi_k$, $d = 1, \dots, k, j = 0, \dots, k - d, A = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i \rangle \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$,
- (6) $\|X\| = \sup \{ |X(t)| \mid t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k \}$.

We shall distinguish two different continuity moduls of a function.

1. UNIFORM CONTINUITY MODUL

The usual continuity modul is defined as

$$c(x, \varepsilon, k) = \max_{\varphi \in \Psi_k} \tilde{c}(x \circ \varphi, \varepsilon, k) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k}$$

where

$$\tilde{c}(x, \varepsilon, k) = \sup \{ |x(t) - x(s)| \mid 0 \leq t_k < s_k \leq 1, s_k - t_k < \varepsilon \text{ and } 0 \leq t_i = s_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k-1 \}$$

The following theorem gives an extension of Theorem 12.3 of Billingsley [2].

Theorem 1. Let $(X(t) \ t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k)$ be a stochastic process right-continuous at every coordinate. Let there exist $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and bounded measures $\mu_{\varphi, d}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d)$, depending on $\varphi \in \Phi_k$ and $d = 1, \dots, k$, such that:

- (7) the measures $\mu_{\varphi, d}$ have continuous marginals,
- (8) $P(|\Delta X(\varphi, d, 0)(A)| > y) \leq y^{-2} \mu_{\varphi, d}(A)^{1+\beta}$ for every $\varphi \in \Phi_k, d = 1, \dots, k,$
 $A = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i \rangle \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$ and $y > 0.$

Then

- (9) $P(\|X\| > y) \leq P(|X(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_k)| > y/2) + 2^2 k^2 Q y^{-\alpha},$ and
- (10) $P(c(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq Q y^{-2} R(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon, y > 0,$ where $0 \leq R(\varepsilon) \leq 1,$
 $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} R(\varepsilon) = 0$ and Q, R depend only on α, β, k and the measures $\mu_{\varphi, d}.$

Proof. Let μ_d be a bounded measure on $\mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d)$ with continuous and increasing marginals, $d = 1, \dots, k,$ possessing the following properties:

- (11) $\mu_{\varphi, d}(A) \leq \mu_d(A)$ for every $\varphi \in \Phi_k, A \in \mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d),$
- (12) $\mu_{d+1}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times A) \leq \mu_d$ for $d = 1, \dots, k-1, A \in \mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d).$

There exists a positive integer $n(\varepsilon)$ to every $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

- (13) $\mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) 2^{-n(\varepsilon)} < \sup \{ \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle) \mid b - a \leq \varepsilon \} \leq \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) 2^{1-n(\varepsilon)}.$

We shall prove that

- (14) $P(\|X\| > y) \leq P(|X(0)| > y/2) + 2^2 k^2 Q(k) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}$

and

- (15) $P(c(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq Q(k) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{\gamma(1-n(\varepsilon))},$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\beta.$

$$\text{Put } D = 2 \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} p^{-2}.$$

I. Let first the process X satisfy

- (16) $P(\|X \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - X \circ \varphi(\cdot, b)\| > y) \leq W \cdot \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \cdot y^{-\alpha}$
for every $\varphi \in \Phi, 0 \leq a < b \leq 1, y > 0.$

There exist the points $c_{ip} \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $i = 0, \dots, 2^{p-1}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(17) \quad \mu_1(\langle 0, c_{ip} \rangle) = i 2^{1-p} \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) \quad \text{according to continuity of } \mu_1.$$

Let $A^* \subset \Omega$ be a random event

$$(18) \quad A^* = \{ |X \circ \varphi(t, c_{ip}) - X \circ \varphi(t, c_{i+1,p})| \leq D^{-1} P^{-2} y, \text{ for every} \\ t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^{k-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2^{p-1} - 1, \quad p = n(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon) + 1, \dots \}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in \Phi_k$.

If $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, $b - a \leq \varepsilon$ then we can put

$$a_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ c_{ip} & \text{if } c_{ip} \geq a > c_{i-1,p} \end{cases} \\ b_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = 0 \\ c_{ip} & \text{if } c_{ip} \geq b > c_{i-1,p}. \end{cases}$$

Then, due to the right-continuity of $X \circ \varphi$ in the last coordinate,

$$|X \circ \varphi(t, a) - X \circ \varphi(t, b)| \leq |X \circ \varphi(t, a_{n(\varepsilon)}) - X \circ \varphi(t, b_{n(\varepsilon)})| + \\ + \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} (|X \circ \varphi(t, a_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, a_p)| + |X \circ \varphi(t, b_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, b_p)|) \leq \\ \leq D^{-1} n(\varepsilon)^{-2} y + 2 \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)+1}^{+\infty} D^{-1} P^{-2} y < 2D^{-1} y \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{-2} = y.$$

This yields

$$P(\tilde{c}(X \circ \varphi, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq P(\Omega - A^*) \leq \\ \leq \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} P(\sup \{ |X \circ \varphi(t, c_{ip}) - X \circ \varphi(t, c_{i+1,p})| \mid t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^{k-1} \} > \\ > D^{-1} p^{-2} y) \leq \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} W D^2 p^{2\alpha} y^{-\alpha} \mu_1(\langle c_{ip}, c_{i+1,p} \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} = \\ = W D^2 y^{-\alpha} \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} p^{2\alpha} \cdot 2^{(1-p)2\gamma}.$$

Then

$$(19) \quad P(c(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq k W D^2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} p^{2\alpha} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{\gamma(1-n(\varepsilon))}$$

and

$$P(\|X\| > y) \leq P(|X(0)| > y/2) + P(c(X, 1, k) > y/(2k)) \leq \\ \leq P(|X(0)| > y/2) + k W D^2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} p^{2\alpha} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} (2k)^\alpha y^{-\alpha}.$$

II. (14 and (15) will be now proved by induction over k .

i) Let $k = 1$. Then (16) holds with $W = 1$ and by (19)

$$P(\|X\| > y) \leq P(|X(0)| > y/2) + 2^\alpha D^2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} p^{2\alpha} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}$$

and

$$P(c(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq D^\alpha \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2\alpha} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{\gamma(1-n(\varepsilon))}$$

which coincide with (14) and (15) with $Q(1) = D^\alpha \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2\alpha} 2^{(1-p)\gamma}$.

ii) Let (14) and (15) hold for k and let $(X(t), t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^{k+1})$ be a process satisfying our assumptions. Then it satisfies (16). Actually, let $\varphi \in \Phi_k$, $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ and put $Y(t) = X \circ \varphi(t, a) - X \circ \varphi(t, b)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\Delta Y(\psi, d, 0)(A)| > y) &= P(|\Delta X(\varphi \circ \tilde{\psi}, d+1, 0)(A \times \langle a, b \rangle)| > y) \leq \\ &\leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_{d+1}(A \times \langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for every $\psi \in \Phi_k$, $\tilde{\psi}(t, u) = (\psi(t), u)$, $A = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$, $y > 0$.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\sup\{|X \circ \varphi(t, a) - X \circ \varphi(t, b)| \mid t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k\} > y) = \\ &= P(\|Y\| > y) \leq P(|Y(0)| > y/2) + 2^\alpha k^\alpha Q(k) \mu_2(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-d} \leq \\ &\leq 2^\alpha y^{-\alpha} \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} + 2^\alpha k^\alpha Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} = \\ &= 2^\alpha (1 + k^\alpha Q(k)) \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (14) and (15) hold with $Q(k+1) = (k+1) 2^\alpha (1 + k^\alpha Q(k)) Q(1)$ and that we wished to prove.

III. Construct the measure $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_k} \mu_{\varphi k}$,

$$\hat{\mu}_d = \mu_{d+1}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \cdot) = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_k} \mu_{\varphi d}.$$

Then $\mu_d = \hat{\mu}_d + \lambda_d$, with λ_d being the Lebesgue measure on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$, are the desired measures for steps I, II. \square

2. SKOROCHOD CONTINUITY MODUL

The Skorochod continuity modul is defined as

$$s(x, \varepsilon, k) = \max_{\varphi \in \Psi_k} \tilde{s}(x \circ \varphi, \varepsilon, k) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}(x, \varepsilon, k) &= \sup \{ \min \{ |x(t) - x(s)|, |x(s) - x(u)| \} \mid 0 \leq t_k < s_k < u_k \leq 1, \\ &u_k - t_k < \varepsilon \text{ and } 0 \leq t_i = s_i = u_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k-1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 15.6 in Billingsley [2].

Theorem 2. Let $(X(t), t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k)$ be a stochastic process right-continuous at every coordinate. Let there exist $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and bounded measures $\mu_{\varphi, d, j}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d)$, depending on $\varphi \in \Phi_k$, $d = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 0, \dots, k - d$, such that

(20) the measures $\mu_{\varphi, d, j}$ have continuous marginals,

$$(21) \quad P(|\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(A)| > y, |\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(B)| > y) \leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_{\varphi, d, j}(A \cup B)^{1+\beta}$$

for every $\varphi \in \Phi_k$, $d = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 0, \dots, k - d$, $A = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$,
 $B = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle h_i, g_i \rangle$, $A, B \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $\text{clo } A \cap \text{clo } B \neq \emptyset$ and $y > 0$.

Then

$$(22) \quad P(s(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq Q y^{-\alpha} R(\varepsilon), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(23) \quad P(\|X\| > y) \leq P(\max \{ |X(\delta)| \delta_i = 0, 1 \} > y/2) + (2k)^\alpha Q y^{-\alpha}$$

where $0 \leq R(\varepsilon) \leq 1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} R(\varepsilon) = 0$ and Q, R depend only on α, β, k and on measures $\mu_{\varphi, d, j}$.

Theorem 2 will be proved in several steps. First, define for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\langle 0, 1 \rangle^k}$

$$s(x, y, \varepsilon, k) = \max_{\varphi \in \Psi_k} s(x \circ \varphi, y \circ \varphi, \varepsilon, k, 0, 1),$$

where

$$s(x, y, \varepsilon, k, a, b) = \sup \{ \min \{ |x(t) - x(s)|, |y(t) - y(u)| \} \mid a \leq t_k < s_k < u_k \leq b, \\ u_k - t_k < \varepsilon \text{ and } 0 \leq t_i = s_i = u_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k-1 \}.$$

Moreover, denote

$$\|x, y\| = \sup \{ \min \{ |x(t)|, |y(t)| \} \mid t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k \}.$$

We shall start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $(X(t), t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k)$, $(Y(t), t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^k)$ be two stochastic processes. Let there exist $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and bounded measures μ_d on $\mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d)$ such that:

(24) the measures μ_d have continuous and increasing marginals and

$$\mu_d(A) \geq \mu_{d+1}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times A) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d),$$

$$(25) \quad P(|\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(A)| > y, |\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(B)| > y) \leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_d(A \cup B)^{1+2\gamma}$$

$$P(|\Delta Y(\varphi, d, j)(A)| > y, |\Delta Y(\varphi, d, j)(B)| > y) \leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_d(A \cup B)^{1+2\gamma}$$

$$P(|\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(A)| > y, |\Delta Y(\varphi, d, j)(B)| > y) \leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_d(A \cup B)^{1+2\gamma}$$

$$P(|\Delta X(\varphi, d, j)(A)| > y, |\Delta Y(\psi, d, j)(A)| > y) \leq y^{-\alpha} \mu_d(A)^{1+2\gamma}$$

for every $\varphi \in \Phi_k$, $d = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 0, \dots, k - d$, $A = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$,

$B = \bigtimes_{i=1}^d \langle h_i, g_i \rangle$, $A, B \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $\text{clo } A \cap \text{clo } B \neq \emptyset$ and every $y > 0$.

Then

$$(26) \quad P(\tilde{s}(X, Y, \varepsilon, k, a, b) > y) \leq Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma},$$

$$P(s(X, Y, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq k Q(k) \mu_1(\{0, 1\})^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma}$$

and

$$(27) \quad P(s(X, \varepsilon, k) > y) \leq k Q(k) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma},$$

where $Q(k)$ depends of α, γ, k only and $n(\varepsilon)$ is given by (13).

$$\text{Proof. Put } D = 4 \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{-2}.$$

I. Let the processes X, Y satisfy

$$(28) \quad P(\|Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, b), V \circ \varphi(\cdot, b) - V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c)\| > y) \leq \\ \leq W y^{-\alpha} \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma}$$

for every $Z, V \in \{X, Y\}$, and

$$P(\|X \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c), Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c)\| > y) \leq \\ \leq W y^{-\alpha} \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma}$$

for every $\varphi \in \Psi_k, 0 \leq a < b < c \leq 1$ and $y > 0$.

Fix $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, 0 \leq \tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\beta} \leq 1$ and find the points c_{ip} such that

$$i 2^{1-p} \mu_1(\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle) = \mu_1(\langle \tilde{\alpha}, c_{ip} \rangle).$$

Let $A^{**} \subset \Omega$ denote the rabnom event

$$(29) \quad A^{**} = [\|Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{ip}) - Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,p}), V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,p}) - \\ - V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+2,p})\| \leq D^{-1} p^{-2} y \text{ for } Z, V \in \{X, Y\}]$$

and

$$\|X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{in(\varepsilon)}) - X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,n(\varepsilon)}), Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{in(\varepsilon)}) - Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,n(\varepsilon)})\| \leq \\ \leq D^{-1} n(\varepsilon)^{-2} y \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 2 \text{ and } p = n(\varepsilon) + 1, n(\varepsilon) + 2, \dots]$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0, \varphi \in \Psi_k$.

If $\tilde{\alpha} \leq a = c_{iq} < b = c_{jq} < c = c_{lq} \leq \tilde{\beta}$ ans $c - a < \varepsilon$ then it is possible to find the sequences $a_p = a_{i,p} \leq b_p = c_{i,p}; h_p = c_{r,p} \leq c_p = c_{j,p}$ such that

$$a_p = a, \quad b_p = h_p = b, \quad c_p = c \text{ for } p \geq q,$$

$$|i_{p+1} - 2i_p| \leq 1, \quad |l_{p+1} - 2l_p| \leq 1, \quad |r_{p+1} - 2r_p| \leq 1, \quad |j_{p+1} - 2j_p| \leq 1$$

and

$$|X \circ \varphi(t, a_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, a_p)| \leq D^{-1}(p+1)^{-2} y,$$

$$|X \circ \varphi(t, b_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, b_p)| \leq D^{-1}(p+1)^{-2} y,$$

$$|Y \circ \varphi(t, h_{p+1}) - Y \circ \varphi(t, h_p)| \leq D^{-1}(p+1)^{-2} y,$$

$$|Y \circ \varphi(t, c_{p+1}) - Y \circ \varphi(t, c_p)| \leq D^{-1}(p+1)^{-2} y.$$

Then, for $\omega \in A^{**}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{ |X \circ \varphi(t, a) - X \circ \varphi(t, b)|, |Y \circ \varphi(t, b) - Y \circ \varphi(t, c)| \} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} (|X \circ \varphi(t, a_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, a_p)| + |X \circ \varphi(t, b_{p+1}) - X \circ \varphi(t, b_p)|) + \\ & + |Y \circ \varphi(t, h_{p+1}) - Y \circ \psi(t, h_p)| + |Y \circ \varphi(t, c_{p+1}) - Y \circ \varphi(t, c_p)|) + \\ & + \sum_{i=n(\varepsilon)}^{l_{n(\varepsilon)}-1} \sum_{j=r_{n(\varepsilon)}}^{j_{n(\varepsilon)}-1} \min \{ |X \circ \varphi(t, c_{i n(\varepsilon)}) - X \circ \varphi(t, c_{i+1, n(\varepsilon)})|, \\ & |Y \circ \varphi(t, c_{j+1, n(\varepsilon)}) - Y \circ \varphi(t, c_{j, n(\varepsilon)})| \} \leq 4D^{-1}y \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{-2} = y. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{s}(X, Y, \varepsilon, k, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) > y) & \leq P(\Omega - A^{**}) \leq \sum_{Z, V \in \{X, Y\}} \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)+1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-2} \\ P(\|Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i,p}) - Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,p}), V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1,p}) - V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+2,p})\| & > \\ > D^{-1}P^{-2}y) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} P(\|X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i n(\varepsilon)}) - X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1, n(\varepsilon)}) & \\ Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i n(\varepsilon)}) - Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c_{i+1, n(\varepsilon)})\| > D^{-1}n(\varepsilon)^{-2}y) & \leq \\ \leq 4 \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} WD^x P^{2x} y^{-x} 2^{(2-p)(1+2\gamma)} \mu_1(\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} = & \\ = 2^{2\gamma} 8WD^x \sum_{p=n(\varepsilon)}^{+\infty} P^{2x} 2^{(1-p)2\gamma} \mu_1(\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-x}. & \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \quad P(\tilde{s}(X, Y, \varepsilon, k, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) > y) & \leq 8 \cdot 4^\gamma WD^x \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2x} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \cdot \\ & \cdot y^{-x} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma}, \\ P(s, X, Y, \varepsilon, k) > y & \leq k \cdot 8 \cdot 4^\gamma WD^x \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2x} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \cdot \\ & \cdot y^{-x} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Quite analogously we prove

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad P(s, (X, \varepsilon, k) > y) & \leq k \cdot 8 \cdot 4^\gamma WD^x \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2x} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right) \mu_1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} \cdot \\ & \cdot y^{-x} 2^{(1-n(\varepsilon))\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

because there exist sequences a_p, b_p, h_p, c_p such that $b_p = h_p$.

II. Using the induction over k , we shall prove (26).

i) Let $k = 1$ then (28) holds with $W = 1$, and by (30)

$$Q(1) = 8 \cdot 4^\gamma D^x \left(\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} P^{2x} 2^{(1-p)\gamma} \right).$$

ii) Let the lemma hold for k and we have a pair of stochastic processes X, Y satisfying our assumptions for $k + 1$. We shall prove that it satisfies (28). Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_k$,

$0 \leq a < b < c \leq 1$, $Z, V \in \{X, Y\}$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0(t, u) &= Z \circ \varphi(t, 0, u), & Z_1(t, u) &= Z \circ \varphi(t, 1, u), \\ V_0(t, u) &= V \circ \varphi(t, 0, u), & V_1(t, u) &= V \circ \varphi(t, 1, u), \\ Z_2(t, u) &= Z \circ \varphi(t, u, a) - Z \circ \varphi(t, u, b) \\ V_2(t, u) &= V \circ \varphi(t, u, b) - V \circ \varphi(t, u, c). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is possible to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, b), V \circ \varphi(\cdot, b) - V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c)\| \leq \\ & \leq \|Z_0(\cdot, a) - Z_0(\cdot, b), V_0(\cdot, b) - V_0(\cdot, c)\| + \|Z_1(\cdot, a) - Z_1(\cdot, b), V_1(\cdot, b) - \\ & \quad - V_1(\cdot, c)\| + \\ & + s(Z_2, 1, k) + s(V_2, 1, k) + s(Z_2, V_2, 1, k) + s(V_2, Z_2, 1, k) \leq \\ & \leq \tilde{s}(Z_0, V_0, 1, k, a, c) + \tilde{s}(Z_1, V_1, k, a, c) + s(Z_2, 1, k) + s(V_2, 1, k) + \\ & \quad + s(Z_2, V_2, 1, k) + s(V_2, Z_2, 1, k) \end{aligned}$$

$\{Z_0, V_0\}, \{Z_1, V_1\}, \{Z_2, V_2\}$ clearly satisfy the conditions of the lemma. Then, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{s}(Z_0, V_0, 1, k, a, c) > y) &\leq Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}, \\ P(\tilde{s}(Z_1, V_1, 1, k, a, c) > y) &\leq Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}, \\ P(s(Z_2, 1, k) > y) &\leq k Q(k) \mu_2(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle a, b \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}, \\ P(s(V_2, 1, k) > y) &\leq k Q(k) \mu_2(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle b, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} \\ P(s(Z_2, V_2, 1, k) > y) &\leq k Q(k) \mu_2(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}, \\ P(s(V_2, Z_2, 1, k) > y) &\leq k Q(k) \mu_2(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|Z \circ \psi(\cdot, a) - Z \circ \varphi(\cdot, b), V \circ \varphi(\cdot, b) - V \circ \varphi(\cdot, c)\| > y) &\leq \\ &\leq 6^{1+\alpha} k Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - X \circ \varphi(\cdot, c), Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, a) - Y \circ \varphi(\cdot, c)\| > y) &\leq \\ &\leq 6^{1+\alpha} k Q(k) \mu_1(\langle a, c \rangle)^{1+2\gamma} y^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (28) holds with $W = 6^{1+\alpha} k Q(k)$ and by (30) and (31) $Q(k+1) = 6^{1+\alpha} Q(k) \cdot k \cdot Q(1)$. This completes the induction procedure and hence also the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Put $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_k} \mu_{\varphi, k, 0}$ and $\hat{\mu}_d = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-d} \mu_{\varphi, d, j} + \hat{\mu}_{d+1}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \cdot)$ for $d = k-1, k-2, \dots, 1$. Then the measures $\mu_d = \hat{\mu}_d + \lambda_d$, with λ_d being the Lebesgue measure on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle^d$, satisfy (24). Then (22) follows by applying Lemma 1 to the pair X, Y with $Y \equiv 0$.

Noticing that

$$\|X\| \leq \max \{ |X(\delta)| \mid \delta_i = 0, 1 \} + ks(X, 1, k)$$

we arrive at (23).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Remark. Let X be a random element of $C_k(0, 1)$; then the relation (10) provides a tightness criterion for X ; analogously (22) represents a tightness criterion for a random element of $D_k(0, 1)$. Hence, Theorems 1 and 2 enable to verify the convergence in distribution of a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of random elements of $C_k(0, 1)$ and $D_k(0, 1)$, respectively, and that under a more general setup than in Bickel and Wichura [1]. For definition of weak convergence of measures on $D_k(0, 1)$, see Straf [4] and Neuhäus [3].

(Received October 23, 1987.)

REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Bickel and M. J. Wichura: Convergence criteria for multiparameter stochastic processes and some applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** (1971), 1656—1670.
- [2] P. Billingsley: *Convergence of Probability Measures*. John Wiley, New York 1968.
- [3] G. Neuhäus: On weak convergence of stochastic processes with multidimensional time parameter. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** (1971), 1285—1295.
- [4] M. L. Straf: *A General Skorochod Space and its Applications to the Weak Convergence of Stochastic Processes with Several Parameters*. Ph. D. Dissertation, Univ. of Chicago, 1969.

RNDr. Petr Lachout, *Ústav teorie informace a automatizace ČSAV (Institut of Information Theory and Automation — Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences), Pod vodárenskou věží 4, 182 08 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia.*