KYBERNETIKA -- VOLUME 21 (1985), NUMBER 3

ON THE NUMBER OF MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS FROM TWO-VALUED LOGIC TO *k*-VALUED LOGIC

JURAJ HROMKOVIČ

We deal with the generalized Dedekind's problem, i.e. with the determination of the number $-\varphi(n)$ of monotonic functions of *n* variables from two-valued logic to *k*-valued logic in this paper. Improving the lower and upper bounds of $\varphi(n)$ we obtain an asymptotic estimate of $\log_2 \varphi(n)$.

0. INTRODUCTION

The problem of number determination of monotonic functions of *n* variables- $\psi(n)$ was formulated and solved for n = 4 by Dedekind [3] in 1897. For n = 5 and n = 6, this problem was solved in Church [2] and in Ward [12] respectively. The further authors bringing the essential improvement of the estimates of $\psi(n)$ were Gilbert [4], Korobkov [8, 9, 10], Hansel [5], and Kleitman [7] who gave an asymptotic estimate of $\log_2 \psi(n)$. The best known result obtained is Korshunov's asymptotic estimate (*) of $\psi(n)$ (of [11])

(*)
$$\psi(n) \simeq 2^{\binom{n}{n/2}} \exp\left\{\binom{n}{n/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+5}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right\}$$
 for *n* even,
 $\psi(n) \simeq 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left\{\binom{n}{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+3)/2}} - \frac{n^2}{2^{n+6}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+3}} \right) + \binom{n}{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right\}$ for *n* odd.

Besides the classical Dedekind's problem a more general problem – the problem of the determination of the number of n variables monotonic functions from mvalued logic to k-valued logic has been formulated. The best known results concerning the solution of this generalized task can be found in Alexejev [1]. Since we shall deal with a special cases of the task introduced, with the number determination of *n*-variables monotonic functions from two-valued logic to k-valued logic (denoted

by $\varphi(n)$, we state Alexejev's results (1') and (2') for $\varphi(n)$ only

(1')
$$2^{\frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}}2^{n(1+\varepsilon_1'(n))}} \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2^{\frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}}2^{n(1+\varepsilon_2'(n))}}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_2'(n) = \frac{c \cdot \log_2(2n+1)}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_1'(n) = 0,$$

(2')
$$\log_2 \varphi(n) = \frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}} 2^n (1 + \varepsilon'(n)), \text{ where } \varepsilon'(n) = \frac{c \cdot \log_2 (2n+1)}{n^{1/4}}.$$

The results of this paper are lower bound (Theorem 2) and an upper bound (Theorem 5) on $\varphi(n)$ which does not contain the additional member in the exponent of 2. Using these bounds we obtain in Section 4 the underlying asymptotic estimate of $\varphi(n)$ which is more precise than (2'):

$$\log_2 \varphi(n) = (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + \varepsilon(n)), \text{ where } |\varepsilon(n)| \leq \frac{k^2}{n}.$$

The paper consists of four sections. In Section 1 the basic definitions and notations used are given. The lower bound and the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ are obtained in Section 2 and 3 respectively. The above stated estimate of $\log_2 \varphi(n)$ is given in Section 4.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

In this section we define some basic notions which we shall use in this paper.

The set $B^n = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n) \mid \alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ is called *n*-dimensional cube. The vectors $\tilde{\alpha}^n = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ [or simply $\tilde{\alpha}$] in B^n are called the vertices of the *n*-dimensional cube B^n .

The norm of a vertex $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ is defined as the number of coordinates which are equal to one, i.e.

$$\left\|\tilde{\alpha}^n\right\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i .$$

The set of all vertices of B^n having the norm k is called the k-th sphere of B^n , and denoted by B_k^n .

The *distance* between $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ in B^n is the number

$$\varrho(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_{i} - \beta_{i}|,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ and $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_n)$. The vertices $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ of B^n are called *adjacent* iff $\varrho(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = 1$. An unordered pair of adjacent vertices is called the *edge* of B^n .

We say that the vertex $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ precedes the vertex $\tilde{\beta}^n$ (we denote $\tilde{\alpha}^n \leq \tilde{\beta}^n$) iff $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n. If $\tilde{\alpha}^n \leq \tilde{\beta}^n$ or $\tilde{\beta}^n \leq \tilde{\alpha}^n$ holds then $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ and $\tilde{\beta}^n$ are called *comparable*. In the opposite case $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ and $\tilde{\beta}^n$ are called *incomparable*.

The set $A \subseteq B^n$ is called *independent* iff, for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in $A, \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable. We shall denote the class of all independent sets of B^n by \mathbb{A}^n . Clearly $\mathbb{A}^n \subseteq 2^{B^n}$.

The function $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ [$f: B^n \to \{0, 1\}$] defined on B^n and gaining the values from $\{0, 1\}$ is called the Boolean function of n variables. The monotonic Boolean function f is each Boolean function f satisfying condition $f(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq f(\tilde{\beta})$ for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in B" such that $\tilde{\alpha} \leq \tilde{\beta}$. $\psi(n)$ will denote the number of all monotonic Boolean functions of n variables.

The function $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ [$f: B^n \to \{0, 1, ..., k-1\}$ is called the function from two-valued logic to k-valued logic, or simply the (2, k) function. The (2, k) function f is called *monotonic* (2, k) function if, for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in B^n such that $\tilde{\alpha} \leq \tilde{\beta}, f(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq f(\tilde{\beta})$ holds. The number of all monotonic (2, k) functions of n variables is denoted by $\varphi(n)$. Obviously, the notions (2, 2) function and Boolean function are equivalent.

A set $A_{\{\bar{\varepsilon}\}} = \{ \tilde{\alpha} \text{ in } B^n \mid \tilde{\alpha} \geq \tilde{\varepsilon} \}$, for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in B^n$, is said to be the *interval* of B^n . Using the notation of interval we introduce the following notation. Let $C \subseteq B^n$. Then $A_C = \bigcup_{\tilde{\imath} \in C} A_{\{\tilde{\imath}\}}.$

For each (2, k) function f, we shall consider the set system $N_f = \{N_f^0, N_f^1, \dots, N_f^{k-1}\}$, where $N_f^i = \{\tilde{\alpha} \text{ in } B^n \mid f(\tilde{\alpha}) = i\}$. Clearly, $B^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} N_f^i \text{ and } N_f^j \cap N_f^k = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$.

Concluding this section we give some notations. Let L be a set. Then |L| denotes the number of elements in L. Let m be a real number |m|([m]) is the floor (ceiling) of m.

2. THE LOWER BOUND OF $\varphi(n)$

We shall obtain the lower bound (1) of the number of all monotonic functions from two-valued logic to k-valued logic in this section. We shall use a similar idea as in Alexejev [1] but our proof technique utilizing the nice properties of the n-dimensional cube helps to obtain the finer estimate of $\varphi(n)$ than (1').

Theorem 1. Let $\{S_1, ..., S_{k-1}\} \subseteq 2^{B^n}$ be a set system, where S_i are independent for all i = 1, ..., k - 1, and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Let $1 \leq r < s \leq k - 1$. and for no two $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S_r and $\tilde{\beta}$ in $S_s \tilde{\alpha} \geq \tilde{\beta}$ holds. Then $\varphi(n) \geq 2^d$, where $d = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_i|$.

Proof. We show using the set system $\{S_1, S_2, ..., S_{k-1}\}$ that 2^d different, monotonic functions from 2-valued logic to k-valued logic can be constructed. Let $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ be a set system, where $S'_i \subseteq S_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Clearly, for $1 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$ and all $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S_i , all $\tilde{\beta}$ in S_j the negation of $\tilde{\beta} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ holds (i.e. $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\beta}$ or $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable). It can be easy seen that there exists exactly

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{|S_i|} = 2^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_i|} = 2^{\alpha}$$

different set systems $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ chosen from the basic set system $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, \dots, S_{k-1}\}$.

In what follows we shall show that a monotonic (2, k) function can be assigned to each set system $\{S'_1, \ldots, S'_{k-1}\}$ in such a way that two different, monotonic (2, k) functions are assigned to different set systems $\mathscr{S}_1, \mathscr{S}_2$. Obviously, this will prove our assertion.

We define a decomposition of B^n to k disjoint sets $D_0, D_1, ..., D_{k-1}$ according to a set system $\{S'_1, ..., S'_{k-1}\}$ in the following way.

$$D_{k-1} = A_{S'_{k-1}}, \quad D_{k-2} = A_{S'_{k-2}} - D_{k-1}, \dots, D_i = A_{S_i} - \bigcup_{\substack{j=i+1\\j=i+1}}^{k-1} D_j, \dots$$
$$\dots, D_1 = A_{S_1}, -\bigcup_{\substack{j=2\\i=2}}^{k-1} D_j, \quad D_0 = B^n - \bigcup_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{k-1} D_j.$$

Then putting $N_i^i = D_i$, for all i = 0, ..., k - 1, the set system N_j determines unambiguously a (2, k) function.

Let us shown that the (2, k) function defined in the way introduced above is monotonic. We prove it by contradiction. Let there exist $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ in B^n , such that $\tilde{\alpha} > \beta$ and $i = f(\tilde{\alpha}) < f(\tilde{\beta}) = j$ for some i, j in $\{0, 1, ..., k - 1\}$. Then

$$\tilde{\alpha} \in D_i = A_{S_i'} - \bigcup_{c=i+1}^{k-i} D_c \text{ and } \tilde{\beta} \in D_j = A_{S_j'} - \bigcup_{c=j+1}^{k-1} D_c.$$

But, considering the properties of $\{S'_1, S'_2, ..., S'_{k-1}\}$ and the construction of D_i and D_j we see that for all $\tilde{\gamma}$ in D_i and all $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ in D_j the negation of $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ holds. It means that either $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\beta}$ or $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable, what is the contradiction with the assumption $\tilde{\alpha} > \tilde{\beta}$.

Now we shall show that different (2, k) functions f' and f'' are assigned to different set systems $\mathscr{P}' = \{S'_1, S'_2, ..., S'_{k-1}\}$, and $\mathscr{P}'' = \{S''_1, S''_2, ..., S''_{k-1}\}$. Since $\mathscr{P}' \neq \mathscr{P}''$ there exists c in $\{0, 1, ..., k-1\}$ such that $S'_c \neq S'_c$. Without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S'_c such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ does not belong to S''_c . Clearly, $f'(\tilde{\alpha}) = c$. Let us assume $f' \equiv f''$ what implies $f'(\tilde{\alpha}) = c$. It follows that there exists $\tilde{\beta}$ in S''_c such that $\tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$. So, $f'(\tilde{\beta}) = c$ implies $f'(\tilde{\beta}) = c$, what can hold iff there exists $\tilde{\gamma}$ in S'_c such that $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\beta}$. But this is a contradiction with the independence of the set S'_c because $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}$ belong to S'_c .

Theorem 2. Let n, k be natural numbers, $n \ge k \ge 2$. Then

1.
$$\varphi(n) \ge 2^{\binom{n}{n/2} + 2^{\binom{n}{k}} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{(n/2) - i}}$$
 for n, k even,
2. $\varphi(n) \ge 2^{2^{\binom{k-1}{120}} \binom{n}{(n/2) - i}}$ for n, k odd,
3. $\varphi(n) \ge 2^{\binom{n}{(n/2)} + 2^{\binom{k-1}{120}} \binom{n}{(n/2) - i}}$ for n odd and k even,
4. $\varphi(n) \ge 2^{\binom{n}{n/2} + \binom{n}{(n/2) + \binom{k-1}{120} + 2^{\binom{(k-1)}{120}} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n}{2}}$ for n even and k odd.

Proof. Considering the result of theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that there exists a set system $\mathscr{S} \subseteq 2^{B^n}$ fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 1 such that the cardinality sum of sets in \mathscr{S} is equal to binary logarithm of the lower bound of $\varphi(n)$. Clearly, the spheres B_i^n are independent sets and the set system $\mathscr{S} = \{B_{a_1}^n, B_{a_2}^n, \dots, B_{a_{k-1}}^n\}$, where $a_i < a_m$ for i < m, fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 1. So, choosing the most powerful (according to the cardinality) spheres to \mathscr{S} we obtain the assertion of Theorem 2.

3. THE UPPER BOUND OF $\varphi(n)$

To obtain the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ we use a new method based on the following two theorems. We shall not prove the assertion formulated in Theorem 3 because it is well-known [6, 7].

Theorem 3. The number of monotonic Boolean functions of n variables is equal to the number of all independent sets in 2^{B^n} .

Theorem 4. Let \mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n be the set of all (k-1)-tuples $(S_1, S_2, ..., S_{k-1})$, where S_i is an independent set of B^n for i = 1, 2, ..., k-1. Then $\varphi(n) \leq |\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n|$.

Proof. We shall prove the assertion introduced showing that a (k-1)-tuple of independent sets of B^n can be unambiguously assigned to each monotonic (2, k) function in such a way that different (k-1)-tuples are assigned to different, monotonic (2, k) functions f_1, f_2 .

Let f be a monotonic (2, k) function of n variables. Let $N_f = \{N_f^0, N_f^1, ..., N_f^{k-1}\}$. Let $S_i \subseteq N_f^i$ be the set of minimal vectors of N_f^i for i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1. Then we have a (k - 1)-tuple $(S_1, S_2, ..., S_{k-1})$ for each monotonic (2, k) function. Clearly, the sets S_i are independent.

Now, we shall show that two different (k-1)-tuples $(S_1, S_2, ..., S_{k-1})$ and $(S'_1, S'_2, ..., S'_{k-1})$ are assigned to different monotonic (2, k) functions f and f'. Let us consider two set systems N_f and $N_{f'}$ for two different monotonic (2, k) functions f and f' respectively. Then there exists i in $\{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ such that $N_f^i + N_{f'}^i$. We can assume without the loss of generality that there exists $\tilde{\alpha}$ in N_f^i such that $\tilde{\alpha} \notin N_{f'}^i$. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be such vector in S_i that $\tilde{\beta} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ (obviously, such a vector must exist). If $\tilde{\beta}$ does not belong to S'_i the proof is completed. Let us consider the possibility that $\tilde{\beta} \in S'_i$. Realizing that $\tilde{\alpha} \notin N_{f'}^i$ and f' is monotonic we obtain $\tilde{\alpha} \in N_{f'}^j$. for j > i. So, there exists $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $N_{f'}^j$ such that $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in S'_j$. Obviously $\tilde{\gamma}$ cannot belong to S_j because $\tilde{\gamma} \in S_j$ implies $j = f(\tilde{\gamma}) > f(\tilde{\alpha}) = i$, what is a contradiction with the fact $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$.

Before formulating the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ in the following Theorem 5 we note that the equality between $\varphi(n)$ and $|\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n|$ does not hold.

٦.

Theorem 5.

$$\begin{split} \varphi(n) &\leq 2^{(k-1)\binom{n}{n/2}} \exp\left\{ (k-1)\binom{n}{n/2-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+5}} - \frac{n}{2^{n-4}} \right) \right\} \\ & (1+\gamma_1(n)) \text{ for } n \text{ even, where } \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_1(n) = 0, \\ \varphi(n) &\leq 2^{k-1} 2^{(k-1)\binom{n}{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left\{ (k-1) \left[\binom{n}{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+3)/2}} - \frac{n^2}{2^{n+6}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+3}} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \binom{n}{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right] \right\} (1+\gamma_2(n)) \\ & \text{ for } n \text{ odd, where } \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_2(n) = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof. Considering the result of Theorems 3 and 4 we obtain

$$\varphi(n) \leq \left|\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^{n}\right| = \left[\psi(n)\right]^{k-1}$$

Using Korshunov's estimate of $\psi(n)$, and a simple arrangement we obtain the assertion of Theorem 5.

4. THE ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATE OF BINARY LOGARITHM OF $\varphi(n)$

In this section we give an asymptotic estimate of binary logarithm of the number of monotonic (2, k) functions which is more precise than the estimate of Alexejev [1]. We obtain it in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1.
$$\log_2 \varphi(n) \ge (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1-k^2/n)$$

Proof. It is no hard technical problem to show that

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - i} \ge \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 - i/n),$$

where *i* as a constant. Using this fact and the assertion of Theorem 2 we have

$$\varphi(n) \geq 2^{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(1-k^2/n)}.$$

Lemma 2. $\log_2 \varphi(n) \leq (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{1+\frac{c}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$, for a constant c.

Proof. Taking logarithms of the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ and doing some simple arrangements the result of Lemma 2 can be obtained.

Theorem 6. $\varphi(n) = (k - 1) {n \choose \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + O(1/n)).$

Proof. It is the direct consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Eduard Toman for many interesting and helpful discussions and for some comments concerning this work.

REFERENCES

(Received February 20, 1984.)

- V. B. Alexejev: O cisle monotonnych k-znacznych funkcij. Problemy kibernetiky 28 (1974), 5-24.
- [2] R. Church: Numerical analysis of certain free distributive structures. Duke Math. J. 6 (1940), 732-734.
- [3] R. Dedekind: Über Zerlegungen von Zahlen durch ihre grössten gemeinsammen Teiler. Festschrift Hoch. Braunschweig u. ges. Werke II (1897), 103-148.
- [4] E. N. Gilbert: Lattice theoretic properties of frontal switching functions. J. Math. Phys. 33 (1954), 57-67.
- [5] G. Hansel: Sur le nombre des fonctions booleennes monotones de n variables. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 262 (1966), 1088-1090.
- [6] J. Hromkovič: On the number of monotonic Boolean functions. Computers and Artificial Intelligence 3 (1984), 4, 319-329.
- [7] D. Kleitman: On Dedekind's problem: the number of monotone Boolean functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 3, 677-682.
- [8] V. K. Korobkov: O monotonnych funkciach algebri logiki. Problemy kibernetiki 13 (1965), 5-28.
- [9] V. K. Korobkov: K voprosu o čisle monotonnych funkcij algebri logiki. Diskretnyj analiz 1 (1963).
- [10] V. K. Korobkov: Ocenka čisla monotonnych funkcij algebri logiki i složnosti algoritma otyskania razrašajuščevo množestva dla proizvolnoj monotonnoj funkcii algebri logiki. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 150 (1963), 4, 744-747.
- [11] A. D. Korshunov: O čisle monotonnych Bulevych funkcij. Problemy ki
bernetiki 38 (1981), 5-109.
- [12] M. Ward: Note on the order of free distributive lattices, Abstract 135. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 423.
- RNDr. Juraj Hromkovič, Katedra teoretickej kybernetiky MFF Univerzity Komenského (Department of Theoretical Cybernetics – Comenius University), 842 15 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.