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A relation between Shannon entropy and Kerridge inaccuracy, which is known as Shannon inequality, is well known in information theory. In this communication, Shannon inequality is generalized for Mittal's entropy using a method of Campbell and its application in coding theory is given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Gamma_{n}=\left\{P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) ; p_{k} \geqq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}=1\right\}, n \geqq 2$ be a set of $n$-complete probability distributions.

For $P \in \Gamma_{n}$, Shannon's measure of information [4] is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P)=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \log _{D} p_{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The measure (1.1) has been generalized by various authors and has found applications in various disciplines such as economics, accounting, crime, physics, etc.

Sharma and Mittal [5] generalized (1.1) in the following form:

$$
H(P ; \alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}-1\right], \quad \begin{align*}
& \alpha, \beta>0  \tag{1.2}\\
& \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha \neq 1 \neq \beta
\end{align*}
$$

For $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, Kerridge [2] introduced a quantity known as inaccuracy defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P, Q)=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \log _{D} q_{k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is well known relation between $H(P)$ and $H(P, Q)$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P) \leqq H(P, Q) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (1.4) is known as Shannon inequality and its importance is well known in coding theory.

In the literature of information theory, there are two approaches to extend the relation (1.4) for other measures. Nath and Mittal [3] extended the relation (1.4) in the case of entropy of type $\beta$.
Using the method of Nath and Mittal [3], Lubbe [6] generalized (1.4) in the case of Renyi's entropy. On the other hand, using the method of Campbell, Lubbe [6] generalized (1.4) for the case of entropy of type $\beta$. Using these generalizations, coding theorems are proved by these authors for these measures.
The objective of this communication is to generalize (1.4) using the method of Campbell for (1.2) and give its application in coding theory.

## 2. PSEUDO-GENERALIZATION OF SHANNON INEQUALITY

For $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, define a measure of inaccuracy, denoted by $H(P, Q ; \alpha, \beta)$ as

$$
H(P, Q ; \alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} q_{k}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1} \alpha}-1\right], \quad \begin{align*}
& \alpha, \beta>0,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha \neq 1 \neq \beta .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H(P, P ; \alpha, \beta) \neq H(P ; \alpha, \beta)$, we will not interpret (2.1) as a measure of inaccuracy. But $H(P, Q ; \alpha, \beta)$ is a generalization of the measure of inaccuracy defined in (1.2). In spite of the fact that $H(P, Q ; \alpha, \beta)$ is not a measure of inaccuracy in its usual sense, its study is justified because it leads to meaningful new measures of length. In the following theorem, we will determine a relation between (1.2) and (2.1) of the type (1.4).

Since (2.1) is not a measure of inaccuracy in its usual sense, we will call the generalized relation as pseudo-generalization of the Shannon inequality.

Theorem 1. If $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, then it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P ; \alpha, \beta) \leqq H(P, Q ; \alpha, \beta) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holds if

$$
q_{k}=\frac{p_{k}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof. (a) $0<\alpha<1<\beta$.
Using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} q_{k}^{\alpha-1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leqq 1, \quad \alpha>0, \quad \alpha \neq 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha<1$, (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha} \leqq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} q_{k}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Raising both sides of $(2.4)$ with $(\beta-1) /(\alpha-1)(<0)$ we get.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}} \geqq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} q_{k}^{\alpha-1}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.5) and the fact that $\beta>1$, we get (2.2).
(b) $\alpha>1, \beta>1 ; 0<\alpha<1, \beta>1(\alpha<\beta$ or $\beta<\alpha) ; 0<\beta<1<\alpha$.

The proof follows on the similar lines.
We will now give an application of Theorem 1 in coding theory. Let a finite set of $n$-input symbols with probabilities $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be encoded in terms of symbols taken from the alphabet $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{D}\right\}$.
Then it is known [1] that there always exist a uniquely decipherable code with lengths $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} D^{-N_{k}} \leqq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} N_{k}$ is the average codeword length, then for a code which satisfies (2.6), it has been shown that [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \geqq H(P) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality iff $N_{k}=-\log _{D} p_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$.
We define the measure of length $L(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} D^{N_{k} \frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma}}\right)^{\alpha \frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}-1\right],  \tag{2.8}\\
\alpha, \beta>0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \alpha \neq 1 \neq \beta
\end{gather*}
$$

We prove the following theorem in respect to the relation between $L(\alpha, \beta)$ and $H(P ; \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2. If $N_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$ are the lengths of codewords satisfying (2.6), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P ; \alpha, \beta) \leqq L(\alpha, \beta)<D^{1-\beta} H(P ; \alpha, \beta)+\frac{1-D^{1-\beta}}{1-2^{1-\beta}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In (2.2), choose $Q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}=\frac{D^{-N_{k}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} D^{-N_{k}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with choice of $Q,(2,2)$ becomes

$$
H(P ; \alpha, \beta) \leqq \frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(\frac{D^{-N_{k}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} D^{-N_{k}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha \frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}-1\right]=
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \frac{D^{-N_{k} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} D^{-N_{k}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}-1\right]= \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{1-\beta}-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} D^{-N_{k} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha \frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} D^{-N_{k}}\right)^{\beta-1}-1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relation (2.6), we get

$$
H(P ; \alpha, \beta) \leqq L(P ; \alpha, \beta) \text { which proves the first part of (2.9). }
$$

The equality holds iff $D^{-N_{k}}=\frac{p_{k}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=-\log _{D} p_{k}^{\alpha}+\log _{D}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}\right], \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose all $N_{k}$ such that

$$
-\log _{D} \frac{p_{k}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}} \leqq N_{k}<-\log _{D} \frac{p_{k}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha}}+1
$$

Using the above relation, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-N_{k}} \geqq \frac{p_{k}^{x}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha} D} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have two possibilites:

1) If $\alpha>1$, (2.12) gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} D^{-N_{k} \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}\right]^{\alpha} \geqq \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha} D^{1-\alpha} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider two cases:
(a) Let $0<\beta<1$

Raising both sides of $(2.13)$ with $\beta-1 /(\alpha-1)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} D^{-N_{k} \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}\right]\right]^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}} \leqq\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}^{\alpha} D^{1-\alpha}\right]^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2^{1-\beta}-1>0$ for $\beta<1$, we get from (2.14) the right hand side in (2.9).
(b) Let $\beta>1$. The proof follows similarly.
2) $0<\alpha<1$, the proof follows on the same lines.

## Remarks.

1) Since $D \geqq 2$, we have

$$
\frac{1-D^{1-\beta}}{1-2^{1-\beta}} \geqq 1
$$

It follows then the upper bound of $L(\alpha, \beta)$ in $(2.9)$ is greater than unity.
2) If $\beta=\alpha$, (2.9) reduces to the relation proved in [3] for entropy of type $\beta$.
(Received September 6, 1982.)
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