KYBERNETIKA -- VOLUME 13 (1977), NUMBER 1

## On a Problem of Evasion

Milan Medveď

A strategy of evasion for a class of nonlinear differential game is constructed.

B. N. Pchenitchny [1] has solved a differential game described by the system of differential equations

(1)  $\dot{z} = f(z, u, v),$ 

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $v \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . He suppose that the function f has continuous derivatives with respect to z of sufficiently high orders, satisfying the Lipschitz condition with respect to all their arguments on arbitrary compact set. Furthermore, the function f is assumed to be of the form  $f(z, u, v) = f_0(z, u) + f_1(z, u) v$ , where  $f_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f_1$  is an  $n \times s$  matrix, i.e. the function f is convex in the variable v.

We shall construct a strategy of evasion for a class of nonlinear games described by the system (1), where

$$f(z, u, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{j+1}),$$

where  $g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{j+1}) = f_{1j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) + f_{2j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) v_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ ,  $g_0(z, u, v_1) = f_{10}(z, u) + f_{20}(z, u) v_1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)$ ,  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ,  $f_{1j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f_{2j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$  are  $n \times q_j$  matrices. The function f(z, u, v) need not be convex in v, but it is convex in  $v_m$  only. We shall construct a strategy of evasion  $v(t) = (v_1(t), v_2(t), \ldots, v_m(t))$  in such way that first we shall construct  $v_1(t)$  and then one after the other  $v_i(t)$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, m$ , where for the construction of each  $v_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$  we shall use the method of Pchenitchny. For m = 1 we get the result of Pchenitchny [1].

We shall suppose that the terminal set M is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $\leq n - 2$ .

**Definition.** A mapping  $E: \mathbb{R}^n \times U \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^s$  is said to be a strategy, if for every absolutely continuous function x(t),  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , and for every measurable function  $u(t) \in U$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , the function E(x(t), u(t), t) is a measurable function with values in V. This strategy is called a strategy of evasion, if for arbitrary  $z_0 \notin M$ and for arbitrary measurable function u(t),  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , the solution z(t),  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , of the equation

$$\dot{z}(t) = f(z(t), u(t), E(z(t), u(t), t))$$

with initial condition  $z(0) = z_0$  does not intersect the subspace M for any  $t \ge 0$ . We shall assume that

- U is a compact set and V = V<sub>1</sub> × V<sub>2</sub> × ... × V<sub>m</sub>, where V<sub>i</sub> ⊂ R<sup>q<sub>i</sub></sup> are compact convex sets, ∑<sup>m</sup><sub>i=1</sub> q<sub>i</sub> = s, int V<sub>i</sub> ≠ Ø in R<sup>q<sub>i</sub></sup>.
- (2) We suppose that the function f(z, u, v) has the above form where the functions  $g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{j+1}), j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ , have continuous derivatives with respect to z of sufficiently high orders, satisfying the Lipschitz condition with respect to all their arguments on arbitrary compact set.
- (3) There exists a constant C > 0 such that  $|(z, f(z, u, v))| \leq C(1 + ||z||^2)$  for all  $(z, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times U \times V$ , where we denote by (x, y) the scalar product of the vectors x and y and ||z|| is the euclidean norm of the vector z.
- (4) Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  function. Denote

$$\nabla_z \, \varphi(z) = D \, \varphi(z) f(z, u, v) \, ,$$

where  $D \ \varphi(z)$  is the matrix of the first derivatives of  $\varphi(z)$  at z. We shall suppose that

- (A) there is a subspace  $W \subset L(L)$  is the orthogonal complement of M in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) of dimension  $q \ge 2$  and an ineger k such that all functions  $\varphi^0(z) = \pi z$ ,  $\varphi^i(z) = \nabla_z \varphi^{i-1}(z), i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1$  do not depend on u and v, where  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \to W$  is the orthogonal projection.
- (B) The function  $f^k(z, u, v) = \nabla_z \varphi^{k-1}(z)$  depends on u and v. The assumption (2) implies that  $f^k(z, u, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{x} g_j^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1})$ , where  $g_j^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1}) = f_1^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) + f_2^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . It is clear that  $f^k(z, u, v) \in W$ .
- (C) Denote

(2)

$$F_0(z) = \bigcap_{u \in U} g_0^k(z, u, V_1),$$
  

$$F_j(z) = \bigcap_{\substack{(u,v_1,\dots,v_j) \in \\ v \in V \times u, \times w \neq V}} g_j^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, V_{j+1})$$

j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Let there exist continuous functions  $\varphi_i^k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 59 and  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$  such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \varepsilon(z) > 0$  and

$$\varphi_j^k(z) + \varepsilon(z) \, \pi S \subset F_j(z) \,, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1 \,,$$

where S is the unit sphere in  $R^n$ .

(3)

**Theorem.** Under the assumptions (1)-(4) there exists a strategy of evasion. Before proving this theorem consider the following equations

(4) 
$$f_{1j}^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) + f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1} =$$
$$= \varphi_j^k(z_0) + \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon(z_0) \xi_0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

in a neighbourhood of a point  $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times U \times V_1 \times \ldots \times V_j \times V_j$  $\begin{array}{l} \pi \text{ an balance and the defined of the point } (z_{0j}, a_{0j}, c_{1j}, \ldots, a_{jj}, c_{0j}, c_{0j}) < 1 \\ \times \pi S \text{ in } v_{j+1} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1. \text{ The assumption } (C) \text{ implies that for arbitrary such point, there exists a point } v_{j+1}^{i} \in V_{j+1} \text{ that } f_{1j}^{i}(z_{0}, u_{0}, v_{1}^{0}, \ldots, v_{j}^{0}) + f_{2j}^{i}(z_{0}, u_{0}, v_{1}^{0}, \ldots, v_{j}^{0}) v_{j+1}^{i} = \varphi_{j}^{i}(z_{0}) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z_{0}) \xi_{0}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1. \end{array}$ 

**Lemma 1.** Let X be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1$  there exists a number  $\varepsilon_X^j > 0$  such that for arbitrary  $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in X \times U \times V_1 \times \ldots$ a number  $e_X > 0$  such that for albituary  $(z_0, u_0, v_1, ..., v_j, \zeta_0) \in X \times U \times V_1 \times ...$   $\dots \times V_j \times \pi S$  there exists a continuous function  $v_j(z, u, v_1, ..., v_j, \xi|z_0, u_0, v_1^0, ...$   $\dots, v_j^0, \zeta_0)$  with values in  $V_{j+1}$ , which is the solution of the equation (4) for all  $(z, u, v_1, ..., v_j, \xi) \in \{(\bar{z}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}_1, ..., \bar{v}_j, \bar{\xi}) \mid \max(\|\bar{z} - z_0\|, \|\bar{u} - u_0\|, \|\bar{v}_1 - v_1^0\|, ...$   $\dots, \|\bar{v}_j - v_j^0\|, \|\bar{\xi} - \zeta_0\|) \le \epsilon_X^{i}\}$ . Moreover  $v_j(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, ..., v_j^0, \zeta_0 \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, ..., v_j^0)$ ,  $\xi_0 \in \operatorname{int} V_{i+1}$ .

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof of [1, Lemma 3] and therefore we shall sketch it only. Let  $V(z, u, v_1, ..., v_j, \xi) = \{v_{j+1} \in V_{j+1} \mid g_j^k(z, u, v_1, ..., v_j, \xi)\}$  $\dots, v_{j+1} = \varphi_j^k(z) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z)$ . By the same procedure as in the proof of [1, Lemma 1] it is possible to prove that  $V(z, u, v_1, ..., v_j, \xi) \cap (int V_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$  for arbitrary  $\xi \in \pi S$ .

If  $\alpha(v_{i+1})$  is a continuous function, then by [1, Lemma 2] the function  $\beta_i(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) = \max \{ \alpha(v_{j+1}) \mid v_{j+1} \in V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) \}$  is a continuous function of the variables  $z, u \in U, v_k \in V_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, j, \zeta \in \pi S$ . Let  $\alpha(v_{j+1}) = \min\{\|\overline{v}_{j+1} - v_{j+1}\| \mid \overline{v}_{j+1} \in \partial V_{j+1}\}$ , where  $\partial V_{j+1}$  is the boundary

of the convex set  $V_{j+1}$ . Since  $V(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi) \cap (\text{int } V_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta_j(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi) > 0$ . This means that if X is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there exists a number  $r_X^j > 0$  such that for arbitrary  $z \in X$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $v_k \in V_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., j there is a point  $v_{j+1}^0 \in V(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$  which is contained in the interior of the set  $V(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$  together with the ball with center  $v_{j+1}^0$  and radius  $r_X^j$ .

Consider the equation defining the set  $V(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi) : f_{1j}^k(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) + f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) v_{j+1} = \varphi_j^k(z) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z) \xi$  in a neighbourhood of  $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$ . This equation is solvable in  $v_{j+1}$  for  $z = z_0$ ,  $u = u_0$ ,  $v_k = v_k^0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, j$  for arbitrary  $\xi \in \pi S$  and therefore there exist v linearly independent columns of the matrix  $f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j)$ , where  $v = \dim W$ . Let  $J_j$  denote the set of indices of arbitrary chosen columns of the matrix  $f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j)$  and let  $f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j)$  be the corresponding matrix. Denote

$$m(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) = \max_{J_j} \det(f_{2J_j}^{**}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j) f_{2J_j}^{*}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j)),$$

where  $A^*$  means the transpose of a matrix A. Let  $J_{0j}$  be the set of such indices for which

$$\max_{J_j} \det \left( f_{2J_0}^{**}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) f_{2J_0}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) \right) = \\ = \det \left( f_{2J_0}^{**}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) f_{2J_0}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) \right).$$

Then

 $\det\left(f_{2\,J_{0\,i}}^{k*}(z,\,u,\,v_{1},\,\ldots,\,v_{j})f_{2\,J_{0\,i}}^{k}(z,\,u,\,v_{1},\,\ldots,\,v_{j})\right)>0$ 

in some neighbourhood of the point  $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0)$ . Let  $v_{J_{0j}}$  be a vector with components of the vector  $v_{j+1}^0$  with indices from  $J_{0j}$ .

Consider the following equation

(6) 
$$f_{1j}^{k}(z, u, v_{1}, \dots, v_{j}) + f_{2j}^{k}(z, u, v_{1}, \dots, v_{j}) v_{j+1}^{0} + f_{2J_{0}j}^{k}(z, u, v_{1}, \dots, v_{j}) (v_{J_{0}j} - v_{0J_{0}j}) = \varphi_{j}^{k}(z) + \frac{1}{m} v(z) \xi.$$

The condition (5) implies that the equation (6) is equivalent to the following one:

(7) 
$$f_{2J_{0j}}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) f_{2J_0j}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) (v_{J_{0j}} - v_{0J_{0j}}) =$$
$$= f_{2J_{0j}}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) \left[ \varphi_j^k(z) + \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon(z) \xi - f_{ij}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) - f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1}^k \right].$$

The equation (7) has the unique solution  $v_{J_0j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$  which is continuous in all its arguments and  $v_{J_0j}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) = v_{0J_0j}$ . It is easy to see that the vector  $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$  constructed from the components of the vector  $v_{J_0j}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$  completed with the remaining components of the vector  $v_{j+1}$  is a solution of the equation (4). We shall denote it by  $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi| z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$ . In the same way as in the proof [1, Lemma 3] it is possible to prove that there exists a number  $e_X^j > 0$  which is the same for all  $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots)$ 

 $\ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in X \times V_1 \times \ldots \times V_j \times \pi S \text{ such that the function } v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots , v_j, \xi \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \text{ is defined and continuous for all } (z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi) \text{ from the } e_X^{j-\text{neighbourhood of the point } (z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0). \text{ From the construction of the function } v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \ldots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \text{ it is clear that }$ 

$$v_{j+1}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0 \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in \text{int } V_{j+1}.$$

The proof is complete.

Denote  $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi | z_0) = v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi | z_0, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$ . In the same way as [1, Lemma 3] it is possible to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** The functions  $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \ldots, v_j, \xi)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$  are defined and continuous for all z,  $||z - z_0|| \leq \frac{1}{2}e_x^j$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $v_i \in V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$ ,  $\xi \in \pi S$ . Let  $z_0 \notin M$ . Consider the following function

$$\begin{split} \varphi(t,\,\xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \,\varphi^i(z_0) \,+\, (\varphi_0^k(z_0) \,+\, \varphi_1^k(z_0) \,+\, \ldots \,+\, \varphi_{m-1}^k(z_0)) \frac{t^k}{k!} \,+\, \\ &+\, \int_0^t \left(t \,-\, \tau\right)^{k-1}\,\xi(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,, \end{split}$$

where  $\xi(\tau)$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq t$  is a measurable function with values in  $(1/m) \epsilon(z_0) \pi S$ .

**Lemma 3.** (cf. [1, § 3]). Let  $\lambda > 0$ . There exists a measurable function  $\xi(\tau), 0 \le \tau \le \lambda$  with values in  $(1/m) \epsilon(z_0) \pi S$  such that  $\varphi(t, \xi) \neq 0$  for  $0 \le t \le \lambda$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Let  $z_0 \notin M$  and let  $u(t) \in U$ ,  $v(t) \in V$  be measurable controls. Then by the assumptions (2) and (4) the corresponding solution z(t) of the equation (1) is such that  $\pi z(t)$  is of the class  $C^k$  and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t^{i}} \pi z(t)|_{t=0} = \varphi^{i}(z_{0}), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1,$$

and by Taylor's formula

(8) 
$$\pi z(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{k-1} f^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau)) \, \mathrm{d}\tau =$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi^k_i(z_0)\right) \frac{t^k}{k!} +$$
$$+ \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{k-1} \left[ f^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau)) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi^k_i(z_0) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \, .$$

Let  $\delta_j(z_0), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$  be the diameter of the maximal sphere where the function  $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0)$  is continuous (cf. Lemma 1). Denote by  $\tau_j(z_0)$ 

the maximal time during which the solution z(t),  $z(0) = z_0$  of the system (1) does not leave this sphere. By Lemma 2  $\delta_j(z_0) \ge \frac{1}{2} e_X^j$  and by the Gronwall's lemma  $\tau_j(z_0) \ge \tau_j^j$  of  $z_0$ .  $z = \tau_X^j > 0$ . Denote  $\varepsilon_X = \min_j \varepsilon_X^j$ ,  $z_0 = \min_j \tau_j(z_0)$ ,  $\delta(z_0) = \min_j \delta_j(z_0)$ .

By Lemma 3, it is possible to choose a measurable function  $\overline{\xi}(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$  with values in  $(1/m) \varepsilon(z_0) \pi S$  such that  $\varphi(t, \overline{\xi}) \neq 0$  on  $(0, \tau(z_0)]$ .

Denote  $v(z, u, \xi \mid z_0) = (v_1(z, u, \xi \mid z_0), \dots, v_2(z, u, v_1(z, u, \xi \mid z_0), \xi \mid z_0), \dots, v_m(z, u, v_1(z, u, \xi \mid z_0), \dots, \xi \mid z_0)$ . By Lemma 1 this function is defined and continuous for all  $\xi \in \pi S$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $||z - z_0|| \leq \delta(z_0)$ . Therefore for a given measurable function  $u(t) \in U$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$  there exists a solution  $z(t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$  of the equation

(9) 
$$\dot{z} = f(z, u(t), v(z, u(t), \xi(t) | z_0)),$$
  
 $z(0) = z_0$ 

and we can choose  $v(t) = v(z(t), u(t), \xi(t) | z_0)$ . The definition of  $v(z, u, \xi | z_0)$ implies the following equalities:  $g_j^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v_1(\tau), \ldots, v_{j+1}(\tau)) - \varphi_j^k(z_0) = 1/m$ .  $\varepsilon(z_0) \xi(\tau), j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . Now using these equalities and the formula (8), we get

$$\pi z(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi^i_i(z_0)\right) \frac{t^k}{k!} + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{k-1} \bar{\xi}(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \,,$$

where  $\bar{\xi}(\tau) = (1/m) \epsilon(z_0) \xi(\tau)$  and such that  $\varphi(t, \xi) = \pi z(t) \neq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$  (cf. Lemma 3) and therefore  $z(t) \notin M$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau(z_0)]$ .

For  $t_1 = \tau(z_0)$  we can take  $z(t_1)$  instead of the initial point and we can find the strategy of evasion on the interval  $[t_1, t_1 + \tau(z_0)]$  by the same construction as before. Therefore we can extend the game for arbitrary long time. This proves the Theorem.

(Received May 6, 1976.)

REFERENCES

[1] В. Н. Пшеничный: О задаче убегания. Кибернетика 1975, 4, 120-127.

RNDr. Milan Medved, CSc., Matematický ústav SAV (Mathematical Institute – Slovak Academy of Sciences), Obrancov mieru 49, 886 25 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.