

## On G-machines Generating Intersection and Union of Generable Languages

IVAN MEZNÍK

The article deals with the construction of G-machine generating to given two G-machines the union (if they satisfy certain necessary and sufficient conditions) and the intersection of their languages.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a G-machine was introduced in [2] as a certain generalization of machines studied in [1] and [4]. A generable language is the set of all "words" generated by a G-machine (in the mentioned references "generable set" instead of "generable language" is used). The class of generable languages is closed under intersection, but not generally under union (see [3]). We shall deal with the construction of G-machines generating to given two G-machines the intersection and the union of their languages.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Denotation.**  $T = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\bar{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $T_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\bar{T}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**2.2.** Let  $I$  be a finite set (including the empty set). Denote  $I^\infty$  the set of all nonvoid sequences of elements of  $I$ . These sequences are called *words*. For  $w \in I^\infty$ ,  $m \in T$ ,  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})$  put  $l(w) = m$ . For  $w \in I^\infty$ ,  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$  put  $l(w) = \infty$ . The symbol  $l(w)$  is called *the length of  $w$* . Instead of  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})$  and  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$  we write  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1}$  and  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots$  or  $w = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i$  and  $w = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i$ , respectively. Considering a word of finite or infinite length we use the denotation

$\prod_i s_i$ . For  $k \in T$  by the symbol  $(s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1})^k$  we understand the word  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{2m} s_{2m+1} \dots s_{km-1}$ , where  $s_{im+j} = s_j$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{k-1}$  and all  $j \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$ . Further, by the symbol  $(s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1})^\infty$  we understand the word  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{nm} s_{nm+1} \dots$  where  $s_{nm+j} = s_j$  for all  $j \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$  and all  $n \in \overline{T}$ . For  $m = 1$  we omit the brackets and write  $s_0^k, s_0^\infty$ .

**2.3. Convention.** In the relation  $C \subseteq I^\infty$  we suppose that every element from  $I$  is included in at least one sequence from  $C$ .

**2.4.** A *G-machine* is a triple  $M = (S, I, \delta)$ , where  $S$  is a nonvoid finite set,  $I \subset S(I \neq S)$ ,  $\delta$  is a mapping of  $I$  into the set of all nonvoid subsets of  $S$ . In the following,  $M$  is to be understood as G-machine  $M = (S, I, \delta)$ . Let  $m \in T$ . A word  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i$  or  $\prod_{i=0}^\infty s_i$  is called an *output word* of the length  $m$  or  $\infty$  respectively, if  $s_0 \in I$ ,  $s_{i+1} \in \delta(s_i) \cap I$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{m-2}$  or for all  $i \in \overline{T}$ . An output word  $w = \prod_i s_i$  is called a *word generated by  $M$*  if either  $l(w) = \infty$  or  $l(w) = m$  and there exists  $v \in \delta(s_{m-1}) \cap (S - I)$ . To distinguish that  $\prod_i s_i$  is an output word of G-machine  $M$  we use the denotation  $\prod_i s_i(\delta)$ . The set of all words generated by  $M$  is denoted  $L(M)$  and called the *language of  $M$* . A set  $C, C \subseteq I^\infty$  is called a *generable language* if there exists  $M$  such that  $C = L(M)$ .

**2.5.** A pair  $(s, v)$  is called *productive* if  $s \in I$  and  $v \in \delta(s) \cap I$  and *unproductive* if  $s \in I$  and  $v \in \delta(s) \cap (S - I)$ . Denote  $P_\delta$  the set of all productive pairs and  $N_\delta$  the set of all unproductive pairs. Then  $\delta = P_\delta \cup N_\delta$ . For every  $s \in I$  put  $N^s = \{(s, v) \mid (s, v) \in N_\delta\}$ . Choose from every  $N_\delta \neq \emptyset$  an arbitrary  $(s, v^s)$  (a representative) and put  $N_\delta^R = \bigcup_{s \in I, N_\delta \neq \emptyset} (s, v^s)$  and  $\delta^R = P_\delta \cup N_\delta^R$ . G-machine  $M^R = (S, I, \delta^R)$  is said to be *result of reduction of  $M$* .

**2.6.** G-machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$  and  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  are said to be *equivalent* if  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ . Then we write  $M_1 \sim M_2$ .

**2.7.** Let  $I$  be a finite set,  $C \subseteq I^\infty$  and  $I \subset S(I \neq S)$ , where  $S$  is a nonvoid finite set. Suppose  $I \neq \emptyset$  (then according to Convention 2.3  $C \neq \emptyset$ ). Denote  $c$  an element (sequence) from  $C$  and by  $s_i$  the  $(i + 1)$ -th element of  $c$ ,  $c = \prod_i s_i$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$  if  $l(c) = m \in T$  and for all  $i \in \overline{T}$  if  $l(c) = \infty$ . For  $c \in C$ ,  $c = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i (m \in T)$  put  $P(c) = \bigcup_k (s_k, s_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \overline{T}_{m-2}$  and  $N(c) = (s_{m-1}, v)$ , where  $v$  is an arbitrary element

of  $(S - I)$ . For  $c \in C$ ,  $c = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i$  put  $P(c) = \bigcup_k (s_k, s_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \bar{T}$ . Denote  $P = \bigcup_{c \in C} P(c)$ ,  $N = \bigcup_{c \in C} N(c)$ ,  $\delta[C] = P \cup N$ . If  $I = \emptyset$  put  $\delta[C] = \emptyset$ . Define G-machine  $M[C] = (S, I, \delta[C])$ .

### 3. G-MACHINES $M_p$ AND $M_U$

**3.1. Proposition.**  $M \sim M^R$ .

(See [2], Corollary 2.)

**3.2. Proposition.** Let  $I$  be a finite set,  $C \subseteq I^\infty$ .  $C$  is a generable language iff  $C = L(M[C])$ .

(See [2], Theorem 6 and Corollary 3.)

**3.3. Proposition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B) are equivalent:

(A)  $P_{\delta_1} = P_{\delta_2}$  and there exists  $(s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}$  iff there exists  $(s, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ .

(B)  $M_1 \sim M_2$ .

(See [2], Corollary 5.)

**3.4. Definition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Put

(1)  $P_1 = \{(s, v) \mid \text{there exist } \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j \text{ and } i \in \bar{T} \text{ such that}$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j(\delta_1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j(\delta_2) \text{ and } s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v\}.$$

(2)  $P_2 = \{(s, v) \mid \text{there exist indices } i, n \in \bar{T}, n > i + 1, \text{ states } v^1 \in S_1, v^2 \in S_2 \text{ and an output word } \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j \text{ with } s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_1), s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_2) \text{ where } s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v \text{ and } (s_{n-1}, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}, (s_{n-1}, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}\}$ ;

(3)  $N' = \{(s, v^i) \mid (s, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i} \text{ and there exists } (s, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j\}$ ;

(4)  $\delta_p = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup N'$ ,  $P_{\delta_p} = P_1 \cup P_2$ ,  $N_{\delta_p} = N'$ ;

(5)  $S_p \supseteq S$ , where  $S = \{s \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\} \cup \{t \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\}$ ,  $S_p$  is a nonvoid finite set;

(6)  $I_p = \{s \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\} \cup \{t \mid (s, t) \in P_{\delta_p}\}$ .

Define G-machine  $M_p = (S_p, I_p, \delta_p)$ .

**3.5. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_2) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $L(M_p) = L(M[C])$ .

Proof. Suppose  $P_{\delta_p} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta_p}$ . By Definition 3.4  $(s, v) \in P_m$  for some  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . First assume  $(s, v) \in P_1$ . From 2.4 and (1) of Definition 3.4 it follows there exists a word  $w = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j$  which belongs to  $L(M_1)$  and  $L(M_2)$ , thus  $w \in C$ . By 2.7  $(s, v) \in P(w)$  and  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Second let  $(s, v) \in P_2$ . By Definition 3.4 there exist  $v^1 \in S_1, v^2 \in S_2$  and an output word  $w$  of the form given by (2). Using 2.4  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots \dots s_{n-1} \in L(M_m)$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ , thus  $w \in C$ . By 2.7  $(s, v) \in P(w)$ ,  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Hence the inclusion

$$(7) \quad P_{\delta_p} \subseteq P_{\delta[C]}$$

holds true. Now suppose  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.7 there exist  $c \in C$  and  $i \in \bar{T}$  such that  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P(c)$ ,  $s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  then there holds  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_m}$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . First consider  $c = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j$ . Then from (2) and (4) of Definition 3.4 it follows immediately  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_1$ ,  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_p}$ . Second let  $c = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j (n \in T)$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  from 2.4 it follows  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots \dots s_{n-1} (\delta_1) = s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1} (\delta_2)$  and there exist  $(s_{n-1}, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(s_{n-1}, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ . By Definition 3.4  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_2$ ,  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_p}$  and therefore  $P_{\delta[C]} \subseteq P_{\delta_p}$ . Using (7) we obtain

$$(8) \quad P_{\delta_p} = P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Further, suppose  $N_{\delta_p} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(s, v) \in N_{\delta_p}$ . By 2.4 and (3) there exists a word  $w = s_0 \in L(M_j)$ , where  $s_0 = s$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . From here  $c = s_0 \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  and by 2.7 there exists  $v' \in N_{\delta[C]}$  such that for  $s = s_0$   $(s, v') \in N(c)$  holds, thus  $(s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . Hence the implication

$$(9) \quad \text{if } (s, v) \in N_{\delta_p} \text{ then there exists } (s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$$

holds true. Now suppose  $(s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.4 and 2.7 there exist a word  $c = s_0 \in C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ , where  $s_0 = s$  and  $v^1 \in (S_1 - I_1)$ ,  $v^2 \in (S_2 - I_2)$  such that  $(s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(s, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ . From (3) it follows  $(s, v^1) \in N'$ ,  $(s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_p}$  and therefore the implication

$$(10) \quad \text{if } (s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]} \text{ then there exists } (s, v) \in N_{\delta_p},$$

where  $v = v^1$  holds. By (8), (9), (10) and (A) of Proposition 3.3 we obtain  $L(M_p) = L(M[C])$ .

**3.6. Corollary.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be  $G$ -machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $M_p \sim M[C] \sim M_p^R$ .

**3.7. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $C = L(M[C]) = L(M_P) = L(M_P^R)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is a generable language (see [3]) then by Proposition 3.2  $C = L(M[C])$  and the proof is completed.

**3.8. Example.** Using Definition 3.4 we shall construct to given G-machines  $M_1, M_2$  the G-machine  $M_P$ , for which  $L(M_P) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . G--machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  are given as follows:  $S_1 = \{a, b, c, x\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\delta_1 : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}, c \rightarrow \{c, x\}]$ ,  $S_2 = \{a, b, y\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\delta_2 : [a \rightarrow \{y\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}, c \rightarrow \{b\}]$ . Since  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_1) = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_2)$ , where  $s_j = b$  for every  $n \in (T - \{1\})$  and  $j \in \overline{T}_{n-1}$  then by (1)  $(b, b) \in P_1$ . Further,  $ba(\delta_1) = ba(\delta_2)$ ,  $(a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}$ , thus by (2)  $(b, a) \in P_2$ . The pairs  $(a, a)$ ,  $(c, c)$ ,  $(c, b)$  obviously do not belong to  $P_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Further,  $(a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}$  and by (3)  $(a, x) \in N'$ ,  $(a, y) \in N'$ . Hence  $\delta_P : [a \rightarrow \{x, y\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}]$ ,  $S_P = \{a, b, x, y\}$ ,  $I_P = \{a, b\}$ ,  $M_P = (S_P, I_P, \delta_P)$ . By 2.5  $M_P^R = (S_P, I_P, \delta_P^R)$ , where  $\delta_P^R : [a \rightarrow \{x\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}]$ . Apparently  $L(M_P^R) = \{b^* a, a | k \in T\} = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ .

**3.9. Definition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Define G-machine  $M_U = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U)$ , where  $S_U = S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $I_U = I_1 \cup I_2$ ,  $\delta_U = \delta_1 \cup \delta_2$ .

**3.10. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ . Then  $L(M_U) = L(M[C])$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_U}$ . Obviously  $(s, t) \in (P_{\delta_1} \cup P_{\delta_2})$ . There exists a word  $w \in L(M_U)$  beginning with the output word  $s_0 s_1(\delta_U)$ , where  $s_0 = s$ ,  $s_1 = t$  (see [2], Corollary 1). By 2.7  $(s_0, s_1) \in P(w)$ , thus  $(s_0, s_1) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ ,  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Herefrom it follows

$$(11) \quad P_{\delta_U} \subseteq P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Now assume  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.7 there exists a word  $c \in C$  such that  $(s, t) \in P(c)$ . Since  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  it must hold  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_j}$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , therefore  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_U}$  and  $P_{\delta[C]} \subseteq P_{\delta_U}$ . Using (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad P_{\delta_U} = P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Let  $(s, t) \in N_{\delta_U}$ . By 2.4  $w = s_0 = s \in L(M_U)$ . Apparently  $(s, t) \in N_{\delta_j}$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . From 2.7 it follows there exists  $(s, t') \in N(w)$ , thus  $(s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]}$  and the implication

$$(13) \quad \text{if } (s, t) \in N_{\delta_U} \text{ then there exists } (s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]}$$

396 holds true. Now suppose  $(s, z) \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . Then there exists  $c \in L(M[C])$  such that  $(s, z) \in N(c)$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ , then  $c \in L(M_j)$  and there exists  $(s, z^j)$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Hence the implication

$$(14) \quad \text{if } (s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]} \text{ then there exists } (s, t) \in N_{\delta_U},$$

where  $t' = z, t = z^j$  is satisfied. From (12), (13), (14) it follows the condition (A) of Proposition 3.3 is fulfilled, hence  $M_U \sim M[C]$  and  $L(M_U) = L(M[C])$ .

**3.11. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines and let  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  be a generable language. Then  $C = L(M[C]) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^g)$ .

Pro of. The statement is the consequence of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.10.

**3.12. Proposition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B) are equivalent:

- (A) For every  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $i \neq j$  and for every  $n \in T$
- (A') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_j}$  and
- (A'') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or there exists  $(s_{n-1}, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j}$ .
- (B)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language.  
(See [3]).

**3.13. Corollary.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B), (C) are equivalent:

- (A) For every  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $i \neq j$  and for every  $n \in T$
- (A') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_j}$  and
- (A'') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or there exists  $(s_{n-1}, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j}$ .
- (B)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language.
- (C)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^g) = L(M[L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)])$ .

**3.14. Example.** Let G-machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be given as follows:  $S_1 = \{a, b, c, x\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\delta_1 : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}]$ ,

$S_2 = \{a, c, d, y\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{a, c, d\}$ ,  $\delta_2 : [a \rightarrow \{a, y\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ . First, we shall examine the condition (A) of Corollary 3.13. Let  $k, m \in T$ . Then the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & a^k(\delta_1), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, a^k(\delta_2); a^k(\delta_1), (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}, (a, x) \in N_{\beta_1}; \\ & b^k c(\delta_1), (c, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, (c, a) \in P_{\delta_1}; b^k c a^m(\delta_1), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}; \\ & b^k c a^m(\delta_1), (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}, (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}; a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, a^k(\delta_1); \\ & a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}; c a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}; \\ & d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}; d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}; \\ & d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above G-machines  $M_1, M_2$  satisfy the condition (A) of Corollary 3.13, therefore  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language and  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^R)$  holds true. By 2.4  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = \{a^\infty, a^k, b^\infty, b^k, c a^k, b^k c a^\infty, b^k c a^m, c a^\infty, d c a^\infty, d c a^k, d^\infty\}$ . By Definition 3.9  $S_U = S_1 \cup S_2 = \{a, b, c, d, x, y\}$ ,  $I_U = I_1 \cup I_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\delta_U = (\delta_1 \cup \delta_2) : [a \rightarrow \{a, x, y\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ ,  $M_U = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U)$ . By 2.5  $\delta_U^R : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ ,  $M_U^R = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U^R)$ . It is easy to verify that  $L(M_U) = L(M_U^R) = \{a^\infty, a^k, b^\infty, b^k, c a^k, b^k c a^\infty, b^k c a^m, c a^\infty, d c a^\infty, d c a^k, d^\infty\} = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ .

(Received January 3, 1973.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Kwasowicz: Generable Sets. *Information and Control* 17 (1970), 257–264.
- [2] I. Mezník: G.-Machines and Generable Sets. *Information and Control* 5 (1972), 499–509.
- [3] I. Mezník: On Some Closure Properties of Generable Languages. *CMUC 14* (1973), 3, 541–552.
- [4] Z. Pawlak: Stored Program Computers. *Algoritmy* 10 (1969), 7–22.

RNDr. Ivan Mezník, CSc.; katedra matematiky FE VUT v Brně (Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Brno), Hlilého 6, 602 00 Brno. Czechoslovakia.