

# An Axiomatic Characterization of Generalized Directed-divergence

PL. KANAPPAN, P. N. RATHIE

A characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence defined in (1.1) is proved by assuming a set of five postulates (2.1)–(2.5).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ ,  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ,  $p_i, q_i, r_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = 1$  be three finite discrete probability distributions. Then we define the *generalized directed-divergence* by the expression, (refer [1]),

$$(1.1) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log(q_i | r_i).$$

Here the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$  is followed and logarithms will be to the base 2. Also whenever  $q_i$  or  $r_i$  is zero then the corresponding  $p_i$  is also zero and  $\log(q_i | r_i)$  is to be taken as  $(\log q_i - \log r_i)$ .

For  $n = 2$ , (1.1) takes the following form:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2(p, 1-p; q, 1-q; r, 1-r) &= \\ &= p \log(q/r) + (1-p) \log\{(1-q)/(1-r)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $p, q, r \in K$ , where  $K = ]0, 1[ \times ]0, 1[ \times ]0, 1[ \cup \{(0, y, z)\} \cup \{(1, y', z')\}$ , with  $y, z \in [0, 1)$  and  $y', z' \in (0, 1]$ .

For  $P \equiv Q$ , (1.1) reduces to the ordinary measure of directed-divergence ([5], [7]) as given below:

$$(1.3) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log(p_i/r_i).$$

An axiomatic characterization of (1.3) was given earlier in [2] and that its theorem lacks mathematical rigour was pointed out by us in [6]. 331

In this paper, we will prove a characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence defined in (1.1) by assuming a set of five postulates.

A more general measure, called the generalized directed-divergence of type  $\beta$ , was discussed and characterized through axioms by us in [4]. The characterization theorem in [4] was proved entirely on different lines than those of the present paper.

## 2. POSTULATES

In this section we give a set of five postulates which will be used in the next section to establish a characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence.

**Postulate 1** (Recursivity).

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \\ = I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n; r_1 + r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) + \\ + (p_1 + p_2) I_2[p_1/(p_1 + p_2), p_2/(p_1 + p_2); q_1/(q_1 + q_2), q_2/(q_1 + q_2); \\ r_1/(r_1 + r_2), r_2/(r_1 + r_2)], \end{aligned}$$

for  $p_1 + p_2, q_1 + q_2, r_1 + r_2 > 0$ .

**Postulate 2** (Symmetry).

$$(2.2) \quad I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3; r_1, r_2, r_3) = I_3(p_a, p_b, p_c; q_a, q_b, q_c; r_a, r_b, r_c)$$

where  $\{a, b, c\}$  is an arbitrary permutation of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Postulate 3** (Derivative). Let

$$(2.3) \quad f(p, q, r) = I_2(p, 1 - p; q, 1 - q; r, 1 - r),$$

for all  $(p, q, r) \in K$  where  $K$  is as given in (1.2). Also let  $f$  have continuous first partial derivatives with respect to all the three variables  $p, q, r \in (0, 1)$ .

**Postulate 4** (Nullity).

$$(2.4) \quad f(p, p, p) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in (0, 1).$$

**Postulate 5** (Normalization).

$$(2.5) \quad f\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad f\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = 0.$$

In this section we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** *The only function  $I_n$  satisfying the postulates 1 to 5 is the generalized directed-divergence given by (1.1).*

Proof. The proof of the theorem depends on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.**  $I_2$  is symmetric.

Proof. The postulate 1 for  $n = 3$ ,  $p_1 + p_2, q_1 + q_2, r_1 + r_2 > 0$ , give

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3; r_1, r_2, r_3) &= \\ &= I_2(p_1 + p_2, p_3; q_1 + q_2, q_3; r_1 + r_2, r_3) + \\ &+ (p_1 + p_2) I_2 \left[ p_1/(p_1 + p_2), p_2/(p_1 + p_2); \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2}; \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2}, \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3(p_2, p_1, p_3; q_2, q_1, q_3; r_2, r_1, r_3) &= \\ &= I_2(p_2 + p_1, p_3; q_2 + q_1, q_3; r_2 + r_1, r_3) + \\ &+ (p_2 + p_1) I_2 \left[ p_2/(p_2 + p_1), p_1/(p_2 + p_1); \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}; \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2}, \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus postulate 2, (3.1) and (3.2) prove lemma 1, which is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad f(p, q, r) = f(1 - p, 1 - q, 1 - r),$$

for  $(p, q, r) \in K$ .

In particular, (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad f(0, 0, 0) = f(1, 1, 1).$$

**Lemma 2.**  $f$  defined by (2.3) satisfies the functional equation

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x, y, z) + (1 - x)f\left(\frac{x}{1 - u}, \frac{y}{1 - v}, \frac{z}{1 - w}\right) &= \\ &= f(u, v, w) + (1 - u)f\left(\frac{u}{1 - x}, \frac{v}{1 - y}, \frac{w}{1 - z}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1[$  with  $x + u, y + v, z + w \in ]0, 1]$  and that

$$(3.6) \quad f(x, y, z) = x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1 - x) \log \frac{1 - y}{1 - z},$$

for  $(x, y, z) \in K$ .

**Proof.** The postulate 2 gives

$$(3.7) \quad I_3(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3; z_1, z_2, z_3) = \\ = I_3(x_2, x_3, x_1; y_2, y_3, y_1; z_2, z_3, z_1) = I_3(x_3, x_1, x_2; y_3, y_1, y_2; z_3, z_2, z_1).$$

The equations (3.7), (2.3) (3.3) and the postulate 1 yield,

$$(3.8) \quad f(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + (x_1 + x_2) f\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right) = \\ = f(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - x_1) f\left(\frac{x_2}{1 - x_1}, \frac{y_2}{1 - y_1}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1}\right) = \\ = f(x_2, y_2, z_2) + (1 - x_2) f\left(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_2}, \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2}\right),$$

for  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$  and with the convention of section 1.

From the second and third equation pairs in (3.8), we see that  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (3.5).

Let  $f_1$  denote the partial derivative of  $f$  with respect to the first variable. Then differentiating partially the first and third equation pairs in (3.8) with respect to  $x_1$ , we get

$$(3.9) \quad f_1(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + \\ + f\left[\frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right] + \{x_2/(x_1 + x_2)\} = \\ = f_1\left[\frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right] = f_1\left[\frac{x_1}{1 - x_2}, \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2}\right],$$

for  $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in [0, 1)$  and  $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$ .

Now differentiating partially with respect to  $x_2$  the first and second equation pairs in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & f_1(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + \\
 & + f \left[ x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] - \{x_1/(x_1 + x_2)\} = \\
 & = f_1 \left[ x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] = f_1 \left[ x_2/(1 - x_1), \frac{y_2}{1 - y_1}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$ .

Thus subtracting (3.10) from (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & f_1 \left[ x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] + f_1 \left[ x_2/(1 - x_1), \frac{y_2}{1 - y_1}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1} \right] = \\
 & = f_1 \left[ x_1/(1 - x_2), \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in (0, 1)$  with  $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$ .

Substituting  $x_1 = xu/(1 + x + xu)$ ,  $x_2 = x/(1 + x + xu)$ ,  $y_1 = yv/(1 + y + yv)$ ,  $x_2 = y/(1 + y + yv)$ ,  $z_1 = zw/(1 + z + zw)$ , and  $z_2 = z/(1 + z + zw)$  in (3.11), the equation (3.11) takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & f_1 \left[ u/(1 + u), \frac{v}{1 + v}, \frac{w}{1 + w} \right] + f_1 \left[ x/(1 + x), \frac{y}{1 + y}, \frac{z}{1 + z} \right] = \\
 & = f_1 \left[ ux/(1 + ux), \frac{vy}{1 + vy}, \frac{wz}{1 + wz} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y, z, u, v, w \in (0, \infty)$ .

Define

$$(3.13) \quad F(x, y, z) = f_1 \left[ x/(1 + x), \frac{y}{1 + y}, \frac{z}{1 + z} \right], \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in (0, \infty),$$

so that (3.12) reduces to

$$(3.14) \quad F(u, v, w) + F(x, y, z) = F(ux, yv, zw), \quad \text{for } x, y, z, u, v, w \in (0, \infty).$$

Since  $f_1$  is continuous due to postulate 3,  $F$  is also continuous. By letting  $y = z = v = w = 1$ , we get from (3.14), that

$$F(u, 1, 1) = a \log u,$$

so that, this in (3.14) for  $y = z = 1$  gives

$$F(u, v, w) + a \log x = F(xu, v, w) = F(x, v, w) + a \log u,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v, w) - a \log u &= F(x, v, w) - a \log x = \\ &= \text{a function of } v \text{ and } w \text{ alone} = A(v, w) \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned}$$

This in (3.14) gives

$$A(v, w) + A(y, z) = A(yv, zw).$$

Repeating the above argument, it is easy to see that  $A(v, w) = b \log v + c \log w$ , so that the continuous solution of (3.14) is given by

$$(3.15) \quad F(x, y, z) = a \log x + b \log y + c \log z,$$

for  $x, y, z \in (0, \infty)$ , where  $a, b, c$  are arbitrary constants.

Hence (3.15) with the help of (3.13) gives

$$(3.17) \quad f_1(x, y, z) = a \log \{x/(1-x)\} + b \log \{y/(1-y)\} + c \log \{z/(1-z)\}$$

for  $x, y, z \in (0, 1)$ .

This on integration with respect to  $x$  gives  $f(x, y, z) = a[x \log x + (1-x) \cdot \log(1-x)] + bx \log \{y/(1-y)\} + cx \log \{z/(1-z)\} + g(y, z)$ , for  $x, y, z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $g$  is a function of  $y$  and  $z$  only, that is,

$$(3.17) \quad f(x, y, z) = a S(x) + bx \log \frac{y}{1-y} + cx \log \frac{z}{1-z} + g(y, z),$$

for  $x, y, z \in ]0, 1[$ , where  $S(x)$  is the Shannon function,

$$(3.18) \quad S(x) = -x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x).$$

For  $x = y$ , the postulates 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 give due to [3] that,

$$f(x, y, z) = x \log \frac{x}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-x}{1-z},$$

whereas (3.17) gives,

$$f(x, x, z) = -a S(x) + bx \log \frac{x}{1-x} + cx \log \frac{z}{1-z} + g(x, z),$$

336 so that, these with (3.17) yield,

$$(3.19) \quad f(x, y, z) = a[-S(x) + S(y)] + \\ + b(x-y) \log \frac{y}{1-y} + c(x-y) \log \frac{z}{1-z} + y \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-y) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z},$$

for  $x, y, z \in ]0, 1[$ .

For  $u = v = w = t$ , the equation (3.5), with (3.19) becomes

$$(3.20) \quad (a+b)[t \log t + (1-y-t) \log(1-y-t) - (1-y) \log(1-y)] + \\ + c[t \log t + (1-y-t) \log(1-z-t) - (1-y) \log(1-z)] + \\ + (1-y) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z} - (1-y-t) \log \frac{1-y-t}{1-z-t} = 0,$$

provided  $x - y \neq 0$ , which can very well be chosen like that.

For  $t = 1 - y$ , (3.20) gives with the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$ , that  $c = -1$ . For  $y = 0 = z$ , (3.20) gives  $a + b = 0$ , provided  $S(t) \neq 0$ , which can be had for proper  $t$ . Thus

$$f(x, y, z) = a \left[ -S(x) + S(y) - (x-y) \log \frac{y}{1-y} \right] + \\ + x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z},$$

for  $x, y, z \in ]0, 1[$ , that is,

$$(3.21) \quad f(x, y, z) = a \left[ x \log \frac{x}{y} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-x}{1-y} \right] + \\ + x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z}$$

for  $x, y, z \in ]0, 1[$ .

By postulate 5, taking  $x = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $y = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $z = \frac{1}{3}$  in (3.21), we get  $a = 0$ , so that  $f$  has the form given by (3.6) for  $x, y, z \in ]0, 1[$ .

With little manipulation and the use of (3.5) and (3.21), it can be shown that,  $f$  indeed has the form (3.6) for  $(x, y, z) \in K$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 is now complete.

**Proof of the Theorem.** Applying successively the postulate 1, we have

$$(3.22) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=2}^n P_i f(p_i/P_i, q_i/Q_i, r_i/R_i),$$

where  $P_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$ ,  $Q_i = q_1 + \dots + q_i$ ,  $R_i = r_1 + \dots + r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $P_n = Q_n = R_n = 1$ .

Hence (3.22) and (3.6) give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.23) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) &= \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n P_i \left[ \frac{p_i}{P_i} \log \left( \frac{q_i R_i}{Q_i r_i} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{p_i}{P_i} \right) \log \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{q_i}{Q_i} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{r_i}{R_i} \right) \right\} \right] = \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left( \frac{q_i}{r_i} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left( \frac{R_i}{Q_i} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n P_{i-1} \log \left( \frac{Q_{i-1}}{R_{i-1}} \right) = \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left( \frac{q_i}{r_i} \right) + P_1 \log \left( \frac{Q_1}{R_1} \right) = \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left( \frac{q_i}{r_i} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

(Received May 17, 1972.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczel, P. Nath: Axiomatic characterizations of some measures of divergence in information. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* 21 (1972), 215–224.
- [2] A. Hobson: A new theorem on Information Theory. *J. Statist. Physics* 1 (1969), 383–391.
- [3] Pl. Kannappan, P. N. Rathie: On a characterizations of Directed-divergence. (Submitted.)
- [4] Pl. Kannappan, P. N. Rathie: An application of a functional equation to Information Theory. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 26 (1972).
- [5] S. Kullback: *Information Theory and Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1959.
- [6] P. N. Rathie, Pl. Kannappan: On a new characterization of directed-divergence in Information Theory. In: *Trans. of the sixth Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions and Random Processes*, Prague, 1971. Academia, Prague 1973.
- [7] A. Renyi: On measures of entropy and information. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symposium Math. Statist. and Probability* 1 (1961), 547–561.

Pl. Kannappan; *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.*  
 P. N. Rathie; *Department of Mathematics, M. R. Engineering College, Jaipur, India.*