

## A Note on One-Sided Context-Sensitive Grammars

IVAN HAVEL

In this note it is proved that so called one-sided context-sensitive grammars can generate languages which cannot be generated by any context-free grammar.

This fact is not quite new. It has been proved in [3], [4] and [5] (as far as the author knows). In [3] it is proved that a special one-sided context-sensitive grammar suggested by Dr. Friš ([1]) generates a language

$$\{a^m b^n c^m; 1 \leq n \leq m\}$$

which is not context-free.

In [5] an example of a one-sided context-sensitive grammar is given and in [4] there is proved, concerning this grammar, that it generates a well-known language

$$\{a^n b^n c^n; n \geq 1\}.$$

The proofs given in [3] and [4] are rather complicated though the grammars in question contain about 20 rules only.

The aim of the present note is to give a simple proof of the above-mentioned statement.

Let us define a one-sided context-sensitive grammar  $G = \langle V_T, V_N, R, S \rangle$  as follows:

$$V_T = \{a, b, c\},$$

$$V_N = \{A, B, C, D, E\},$$

R:

1.  $S \rightarrow a a A B B c c,$
2.  $A \rightarrow a A B,$
3.  $A \rightarrow a b,$
4.  $b B \rightarrow b C,$
5.  $C B \rightarrow C C,$
6.  $b C \rightarrow b D,$

7.  $b D \rightarrow b b$ ,
8.  $D C \rightarrow D B$ ,
9.  $B C \rightarrow B B$ ,
10.  $B C \rightarrow B B c$ .

We shall prove

$$(1) \quad L(G) = \{a^m b^n c^n; 1 < n < m\},$$

$L(G)$  not being context-free. (It may be easily proved directly or derived from general theorems in [2].) In what follows  $\xrightarrow{*}$  (resp.  $\Rightarrow$ ) denotes derivability (resp. immediate derivability) in  $G$ .

**Assertion 1.** For any  $m, n, 1 < n < m$

$$S \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^n c^n.$$

Proof. For  $m > 3$  and  $2 \leq i \leq m - 2$  we have

$$(2) \quad a^m b^{i-1} B^{m-i+1} c^i \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^i B^{m-i} c^{i+1},$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} a^m b^{i-1} B^{m-i+1} c^i &\Rightarrow a^m b^{i-1} C B^{m-i} c^i \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^{i-1} C^{m-i+1} c^i \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow a^m b^{i-1} D C^{m-i} c^i \Rightarrow a^m b^{i-1} D B C^{m-i-1} c^i \xrightarrow{*} \\ &\xrightarrow{*} a^m b^{i-1} D B^{m-i-1} C c^i \Rightarrow a^m b^i B^{m-i-1} C c^i \Rightarrow a^m b^i B^{m-i} c^{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $1 < n < m$ . Using (2) several times we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow a^2 A B^2 c^2 \xrightarrow{*} a^m b B^{m-1} c^2 \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^2 B^{m-2} c^3 \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^{n-1} B^{m-n+1} c^n \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow a^m b^{n-1} C B^{m-n} c^n \Rightarrow a^m b^{n-1} D B^{m-n} c^n \Rightarrow a^m b^n B^{m-n} c^n \Rightarrow a^m b^n C B^{m-n-1} c^n \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow a^m b^n D B^{m-n-1} c^n \Rightarrow a^m b^{n+1} B^{m-n-1} c^n \xrightarrow{*} a^m b^n c^n. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (1), we need the following

**Assertion 2.** If

$$(3) \quad S = x_0 \Rightarrow x_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow x_p \in V_T^*,$$

then there are  $m, n$  ( $1 < n < m$ ) such that  $x_p \approx a^m b^n c^n$ .

Proof. Let a derivation (3) of grammar  $G$  be given. There are  $i$  and  $j$  ( $0 < i < p$ ,  $2 < j$ ) such that  $x_i$  in (3) is of the form  $a^i b B^{j-1} c^2$ . Actually, the only rule which can be applied to  $x_0$  is the rule 1 whose application results in  $x_1 = a^2 A B^2 c^2$ . To  $x_1$  only

the rules 2 or 3 can be applied. The application of the rule 3 yields  $x_2$  of the desirable form ( $i = 2$ ), the rule 2 results in  $x_2 = a^3AB^3c^2$  to which only rules 2 or 3 may be applied again. The repeated application of the rule 2 yields strings of the form  $a^nAB^n c^2$  ( $n > 3$ ) and cannot result in the terminal string  $x_p \in V_T^*$ , hence the rule 3 has to be applied at least once. The first (and only possible) application of the rule 3 results in  $x_i$  of the desirable form.

**Lemma.** *If  $j > 2$  and  $a^j b B^{j-1} c^2 \xrightarrow{*} \eta$ , then either there is an occurrence of the string  $cB$  resp.  $cC$  in  $\eta$ , or*

$$(4) \quad \eta = a^j b^k \bar{D} \varphi c^l,$$

where  $k > 0$ ,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $\bar{D}$  is either empty or  $\bar{D} = D$ ,  $\varphi$  is a string (maybe empty) built of  $B$  and  $C$ ,  $|b^k \bar{D} \varphi| = j$  and if we denote by  $\gamma(\bar{D} \varphi)$  the number of distinct occurrences of strings  $BC$  and  $DC$  in  $\bar{D} \varphi$  (with the only exception: we put  $\gamma(DC) = 0$ ), then

$$(5) \quad \text{Max}(|\varphi| - 2, 0) + \gamma(\bar{D} \varphi) + l < j.$$

*Note.* Assertion 2 can be easily derived from the lemma: in (3) we have

$$S = x_0 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow x_i = a^j b B^{j-1} c^2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow x_p.$$

There are no occurrences of  $cB$  (resp.  $cC$ ) in  $x_p$ ,  $\bar{D}$  and  $\varphi$  are empty, therefore  $x_p = a^j b^j c^l$ ; (5) yields  $l < j$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove the lemma by induction on the length of the derivation of  $\eta$ .

I. A string  $a^j b B^{j-1} c^2$  is obviously of the needed form.

II. Suppose  $a^j b B^{j-1} c^2 \xrightarrow{*} \eta \Rightarrow \eta'$ ; we shall prove the statement of the lemma for  $\eta'$  assuming it valid for  $\eta$ .

If there are occurrences of  $cB$  or  $cC$  in  $\eta$ , then such occurrences are in  $\eta'$ , too (this may be easily seen from the set of rules). Suppose that  $\eta$  is of the form (4); let us investigate all possible cases generating  $\eta'$  from  $\eta$ :

a) the rule 4 is applied to  $\eta$ ; in this case  $\bar{D} = \Lambda$ ,  $\varphi = B\varphi_1$ , hence  $\eta' = a^j b^k C \varphi_1 c^l$ ,  $|b^k C \varphi_1| = j$  and (5) holds, since  $\gamma$  did not increase;

b) the rule 6 is applied to  $\eta$  ( $\bar{D} = \Lambda$ ,  $\varphi = C\varphi_1$ );  $\eta' = a^j b^k D \varphi_1 c^l$ , the length of  $\varphi$  decreased  $(-1)$ ,  $\gamma$ , if changed, increased  $(+1)$ , hence the inequality (5) remains valid. The case  $\varphi = CC$  requests a special consideration:  $\gamma(DC) = 0$  and (5) holds;

c) the rule 7 is applied to  $\eta$ , then  $\bar{D} = D$ , (5) holds;

d) the rule 5 is applied to  $\eta$ ; it does not affect  $|\varphi|$ ,  $\gamma$  does not increase, (5) holds, too.

It may be easily seen that  $\eta'$  is of the desirable form also when the rule 8 or 9 is applied to  $\eta$ .

e) The rule 10 is applied to  $\eta$ ; there are two possibilities to be considered. Either it is applied to an occurrence of  $BC$  which is immediately followed by  $B$  or  $C$ , then  $\eta'$  contains an occurrence of  $cB$  resp.  $cC$ ; or the rule 10 is applied to the last two symbols of the string  $\varphi$ ,  $\gamma$  decreases  $(-1)$ ,  $l$  (i.e. the number of  $c$ 's) increases  $(+1)$ .

No rule of 1–3 may be applied to  $\eta$ . The lemma, Assertion 2 and also (1) are proved.

The main problem concerning one-sided context-sensitive grammars is that of comparison of generative power of such grammars and context-sensitive grammars in a usual sense.

(Received July 24th, 1968.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Friš I.: Oral communication.
- [2] Ginsburg S.: The mathematical theory of context-free languages. McGraw-Hill, New York 1966.
- [3] Havel I.: Doctoral thesis. Prague 1967.
- [4] Samoilenko L. G.: Doctoral thesis, Kiev 1968 (in Russian).
- [5] Самойленко Л. Г.: Об одном классе грамматик непосредственно составляющих. Кибернетика (1968) 2, 102–103.

#### VÝTAH

### Poznámka o jednostranně kontextových gramatikách

IVAN HAVEL

V práci se dokazuje, že tzv. jednostranně kontextové gramatiky, které jsou v Chomského klasifikaci mezi typy 2 a 1 (tj. mezi gramatikami bezkontextovými a gramatikami kontextovými), mohou generovat více než jen bezkontextové jazyky. Všechna pravidla jednostranně kontextové gramatiky jsou tvaru  $\varphi A \rightarrow \varphi \omega$ , kde  $\varphi \in V^*$ ,  $A \in V_N$ ,  $\omega \in V^* - \{\Lambda\}$ . Sestrojuje se jednostranně kontextová gramatika o 10 pravidlech a dokazuje se o ní, že generuje jazyk  $\{a^m b^n c^n; 1 < n < m\}$ , který není bezkontextový.

*Ivan Havel, Matematický ústav ČSAV, Žitná 25, Praha 1.*