

# A COLLECTOR FOR INFORMATION WITHOUT PROBABILITY IN A FUZZY SETTING

DORETTA VIVONA AND MARIA DIVARI

In the fuzzy setting, we define a collector of fuzzy information without probability, which allows us to consider the reliability of the observers. This problem is transformed in a system of functional equations. We give the general solution of that system for collectors which are compatible with composition law of the kind “inf”.

*Keywords:* information measure, system of functional equations

*AMS Subject Classification:* 93E12, 62A10, 62F15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the subjective theory of information without probability [9, 10, 11, 12, 15] and in the crisp setting, B. Forte and others [3, 7, 8] have supposed that each group of observers  $E$  provides an amount of information  $J(A, E)$  from the same event  $A$ . Moreover they supposed that, for each  $E$ , the information is compositive (in the sense of [13] with the same law with an additive reliability coefficient  $\lambda(E)$ ).

B. Forte has defined a *collector* as a function  $\Phi$ :

$$J(A, E_1 \cup E_2) = \Phi \left( \lambda(E_1), \lambda(E_2), J(A, E_1), J(A, E_2) \right)$$

for every event  $A$  and disjoint groups  $E_1, E_2$ .

Putting  $x = \lambda(E_1)$ ,  $y = \lambda(E_2)$ ,  $u = J(A, E_1)$ ,  $v = J(A, E_2)$ , Aczél, Forte and Ng in [1, 2] gave the solution in the Shannon case:

$$\Phi(x, y, u, v) = -c \log \left( \frac{x e^{-u/c} + y e^{-v/c}}{x + y} \right),$$

where  $c$  is the constant related to the Shannon information; when the information  $J$  is of the kind  $\wedge$ , Benvenuti, Divari and Pandolfi obtained a more general class of solutions (see [4]).

In a previous paper [16] we have defined collectors of  $\wedge$ -compositive information without probability for fuzzy sets of events, crisp sets of observers with a reliability coefficient defined in a probabilistic space.

In this paper we shall introduce fuzzy collectors for crisp groups of observers with a fuzzy  $\vee$ -additive measure of reliability.

Evidently, if we restrict our considerations to crisp sets, the collectors studied in [4] are recovered. One of the main aim of this paper is also to enlight interesting ideas from [4] which are not so known in the wider community.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the setting of *fuzzy sets* [17], we consider the following model:

1)  $\Omega$  is an abstract space,  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra of fuzzy sets such that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a fuzzy measurable space, the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are the *observable events*. Recall that for  $A$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , whose membership functions are  $f_A$  and  $f_B$ , respectively, it holds:  $f_{A \cup B} = f_A \vee f_B$ ,  $f_{A \cap B} = f_A \wedge f_B$ ,  $f_{A^c} = 1 - f_A$ ;

2)  $\mathcal{O}$  is another abstract space (space of observers),  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra contained in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$ , whose elements are groups of *observers*;

3) a fuzzy  $\vee$ -additive measure  $\mu$  is defined on the measurable space  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$ :  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{O}) = \bar{\mu} \in ]0, +\infty]$ ,  $\mu$  is non-decreasing with respect to the inclusion of the elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mu(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mu(E_1) \vee \mu(E_2) \forall E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ ; if  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mu(E)$  is called *fuzzy reliability coefficient*;

4) an information measure  $J$ , called *fuzzy information* (see [5, 6]), linked to the group of observers, is a map  $J : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, fixed  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $E \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\neq \mathcal{O}$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$

$$4i) \quad A \subset B \Rightarrow J(A, E) \geq J(B, E),$$

$$4ii) \quad J(\emptyset, E) = +\infty, \quad J(\Omega, E) = 0;$$

5) every information measure  $J(\cdot, E)$  is  $F_E$ -*compositive* i. e. for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $E \neq \emptyset$  there exists a map  $F_E : \Gamma_E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\Gamma_E = \{(x, y) / \exists A, B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } x = J(A, E), y = J(B, E), f_A \wedge f_B = 0\}$  such that

$$J(A \cup B; E) = F_E \left( J(A, E), J(B, E) \right). \quad (1)$$

Evidently  $F_E$  is commutative, associative and  $F_E(x, +\infty) = x$ , for all  $x \in \text{Ran } J(\cdot, E)$ .

Throughout this paper we deal with universal composition rule  $F = \wedge$ ,

$$J(A \cup B, E) = F[J(A, E), J(B, E)] = J(A, E) \wedge J(B, E). \quad (2)$$

Note that due the idempotency of the operator  $\wedge$  we need not to require the disjointness  $f_A \wedge f_B = 0$  in the above equality (2).

We call  $\wedge$ -*compositive fuzzy information* a fuzzy information  $J$  which satisfies (2) for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

### 3. COLLECTOR OF $\wedge$ -COMPOSITIVE FUZZY INFORMATION

In the previous paper [16] we have defined a collector for crisp sets.

Here, in the fuzzy setting, we give the definition of collector which we shall call *fuzzy collector*.

**Definition 3.1.** A *fuzzy collector* for a given reliability measure  $\mu$  is a continuous function  $\Psi$

$$\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+$$

where  $\Sigma \subset \left( [0, \overline{\mu}] \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+ \right)^2$ ,  $\overline{\mu} = \mu(\mathcal{O})$ , such that for every pair of two disjoint groups  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  of observers with reliability coefficients  $\mu(E_1)$  and  $\mu(E_2)$  it holds

$$J(A, E_1 \cup E_2) = \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1), \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2) \right). \quad (3)$$

### 4. PROPERTIES OF A FUZZY COLLECTOR $\Psi$

In this section we present the properties if a fuzzy collector is expressed by  $\Psi$ . They are:

(i) (commutativity):

$$\Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1), \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2) \right) = \Psi \left( \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2), \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1) \right),$$

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ as } J(A, E_1 \cup E_2) = J(A, E_2 \cup E_1);$$

(ii) (associativity):

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi \left( \mu(E_1) \vee \mu(E_2), \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1), \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2) \right), \mu(E_3), J(A, E_3) \right) \\ &= \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1), \mu(E_2) \vee \mu(E_3), \Psi \left( \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2), \mu(E_3), J(A, E_3) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, E_1, E_2, E_3 \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ as } J(A, (E_1 \cup E_2) \cup E_3) = J(A, E_1 \cup (E_2 \cup E_3));$$

(iii) (universal value  $J(\emptyset, E) = +\infty$ ):

$$\Psi \left( \mu(E_1), +\infty, \mu(E_2), +\infty \right) = +\infty,$$

$$\text{as } J(\emptyset, E_1 \cup E_2) = +\infty;$$

(iv) (universal value  $J(\Omega, E) = 0$ ):

$$\Psi \left( \mu(E_1), 0, \mu(E_2), 0 \right) = 0,$$

$$\text{as } J(\Omega, E_1 \cup E_2) = 0.$$

If the information of the group of observers is  $\wedge$ -compositive in the sense of (2) we can add another property:

(v) (compatibility condition between the  $\wedge$ -compositivity of  $J$  and the collector  $\Psi$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), \left[ J(A, E_1) \wedge J(B, E_1) \right], \mu(E_2), \left[ J(A, E_2) \wedge J(B, E_2) \right] \right) \\ &= \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A, E_1), \mu(E_2), J(A, E_2) \right) \wedge \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(B, E_1), J(B, E_2), \mu(E_2), \right) \\ & \quad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}, E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, from (2) it is  $J(A \cup B, E_1 \cup E_2) = J(A, E_1 \cup E_2) \wedge J(B, E_1 \cup E_2)$ , and, on the other hand, from (3), we get  $J(A \cup B, E_1 \cup E_2) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), J(A \cup B, E_1), \mu(E_2), J(A \cup B, E_2) \right) \\ &= \Psi \left( \mu(E_1), \left[ J(A, E_1) \wedge J(B, E_1) \right], \mu(E_2), \left[ J(A, E_2) \wedge J(B, E_2) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

## 5. SYSTEM OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

Put  $\mu(E_1) = x, \mu(E_2) = y, \mu(E_3) = z$ , with  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ . The function  $\Psi$  given in (3) is defined in the domain  $\Sigma^2 = ([0, \bar{\mu}] \times \mathbb{R}^+)^2$ . Moreover we set  $J(A, E_1) = u$ ,  $J(A, E_2) = v$ ,  $J(B, E_1) = u'$ ,  $J(B, E_2) = v'$ ,  $J(A, E_3) = w$ .

Now we rewrite the conditions [(i) – (v)] in order to obtain a system of functional equations. The equations are:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i') \quad \Psi(x, u, y, v) = \Psi(y, v, x, u) \\ (ii') \quad \Psi(x, u, y \vee z, \Psi(y, v, z, w)) = \Psi(x \vee y, \Psi(x, y, u, v), z, w) \\ (iii') \quad \Psi(x, +\infty, y, +\infty) = +\infty \\ (iv') \quad \Psi(x, 0, y, 0) = 0 \\ (v') \quad \Psi(x, u \wedge u', y, v \wedge v') = \Psi(x, u, y, v) \wedge \Psi(x, u', y, v'). \end{array} \right.$$

In the setting of crisp sets, an analogous system was studied and solved by Benvenuti–Divari–Pandolfi in [4]. We study the system [(i') – (v')] and we give the general solution step by step.

**Theorem 5.1. Main Theorem.** The function  $\Psi(x, u, y, v)$  is solution of the system [(i') – (v')] if and only if

$$\Psi(x, u, y, v) = g(x, y, u) \wedge g(y, x, v) \quad (4)$$

where the function  $g : [0, \bar{\mu}]^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfills the following properties:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $g$  is non decreasing with respect to  $u$  and continuous,
- ( $\beta$ )  $g(x, y, +\infty) = +\infty$ ,
- ( $\gamma$ )  $g(x, y, 0) \wedge g(y, x, 0) = 0$ ,
- ( $\delta$ )  $g[x \vee z, y, g(x, z, u)] = g(x, y \vee z, u)$ .

**Proof.** Putting  $g(x, y, u) = \Psi(x, u, y, +\infty)$ , from  $(v')$  for  $u' = v$ , we have the (4). It is easy to verify that every function  $\Psi$  with the form (4) and the properties  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$  is a solution of the system  $[(i') - (v')]$ .  $\square$

For every function  $g(x, y, u)$  which satisfies the properties  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ , we can prove the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 5.2.** For every function  $g(x, y, u)$  which satisfies the properties  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ , we have  $g(x, y, 0) = 0$ .

**Proof.** From  $(\delta)$ , for  $u = 0$  it is

$$g(x \vee z, y, g(x, z, 0)) = g(x, y \vee z, 0), \quad (5)$$

and then, changing  $x$  with  $z$

$$g(z \vee x, y, g(z, x, 0)) = g(z, y \vee x, 0). \quad (6)$$

Because of  $(\gamma)$ , either  $g(x, z, 0) = 0$  or  $g(z, x, 0) = 0$ , from  $(\alpha)$ , (4) and (5) we get

$$g(x \vee z, y, 0) = g(x, y \vee z, 0) \wedge g(z, y \vee x, 0), \quad (7)$$

and from (7) for  $y = 0$

$$g(x \vee z, 0, 0) = g(x, z, 0) \wedge g(x, z, 0) \quad (8)$$

i. e., due to  $(\gamma)$ ,

$$g(x \vee z, 0, 0) = 0 \quad \forall x, z. \quad (9)$$

Finally, from (8) and (9), for  $x = z$ , we get

$$g(z, z, 0) = 0. \quad (10)$$

For  $x \leq z$

$$g(z, x, 0) = g(x, z, 0) \wedge g(z, x, 0),$$

so we obtain, due to  $(\gamma)$ ,

$$g(z, x, 0) = 0 \quad \forall x \leq z. \quad (11)$$

Putting in (7)  $y = x$  and for  $x > z$

$$g(x, x, 0) = g(x, x, 0) \wedge g(z, x, 0),$$

$$g(x \vee z, x, 0) = g(x, x \vee z, 0) \wedge g(z, x \vee x, 0).$$

From  $(\delta)$ , for  $u = 0$

$$g(x \vee z, y, g(x, z, 0)) = g(x, y \vee z, 0).$$

By contradiction we suppose  $g(z, x, 0) = \lambda > 0$ , i. e.  $g(x, y, \lambda) = g(x, y \vee z, 0)$ . For  $y > z$ , we get  $g(x, y, \lambda) = g(x, y, 0)$ : this is impossible as  $g$  is non-decreasing with respect to  $u$ , then

$$g(z, x, 0) = 0 \quad \forall x, z. \quad (12)$$

$\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** For every function  $g$  which enjoys  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ , we have

$$g(x, 0, u) = u \text{ in } [0, \bar{\mu}] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+. \quad (13)$$

**Proof.** As, from  $(\gamma)$  and  $(\delta)$ ,  $g(x, 0, 0) = 0$  and  $g(x, 0, +\infty) = +\infty$ , for every  $v \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+$  there exists  $u$  such that  $g(x, 0, u) = v$ .

From  $(\gamma)$ , for  $y = z = 0$ , we have  $g(x, 0, g(x, 0, v)) = g(x, 0, u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** Every function  $g$  which satisfies  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$  has the following representation:

$$g(x, y, u) = h[x \vee y, h^{-1}(x, u)] \quad (x, y, u) \in [0, 1]^2 \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+ \quad (14)$$

with  $h : [0, 1] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+$ , continuous, non decreasing with respect to  $u$  and  $h^{-1}$  its pseudo-inverse [14], defined by  $h^{-1}(x, v) = \text{Inf}\{\xi / h(x, \xi) = v\}$ .

**Proof.** Putting  $h(x, u) = g(0, x, u)$ , for  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  the function  $h$  is continuous, monotone and  $h(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $h(x, +\infty) = +\infty$ , therefore its pseudo-inverse  $h^{-1}$  is defined on  $[0, 1] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+$ . From  $(\delta)$ , for  $x = 0$  and  $u = h^{-1}(z, v)$ , we have  $g(z, y, g(0, z, h^{-1}(z, v))) = g(0, y \wedge z, h^{-1}(z, v))$ , i.e.  $g(z, y, g(0, z, h^{-1}(z, v))) = h(y \wedge z, h^{-1}(z, v))$ . The thesis follows from  $h(z, h^{-1}(z, v)) = v$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** We observe that continuity of  $g$  and condition  $(\beta)$  imply that  $h(x, u) = g(0, x, u)$  is not (definitely) null or constant (unless  $= +\infty$ ). Indeed, if we hold the following situation:  $g(x, y, u) = \frac{xu}{x \vee y}$  (with  $0 \cdot +\infty = 0$ ), then we couldn't find  $h^{-1}$ , but clearly  $g(0, x, u) = 0$ , contrary to  $(\beta)$ .

This situation corresponds to the following example:

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the set of observers,  $\mu(E) = \max E$  and  $J(A, E) = \frac{-\log \inf f_A}{\mu(E)}$ . So, we have:  $g(x, y, u) = \frac{xu}{x \vee y}$ ,  $h(x, u) = 0$  and the collector is:  $\Psi(x, u, y, v) = \frac{xu \wedge yv}{x \vee y}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** For every function  $g$  which satisfies  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ , the corresponding function  $h$  given by (14) enjoys the following properties:

$$h(0, v) = v \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+ \quad (15)$$

and

$$h(x, u) = h(x, v) \Rightarrow h(y, u) = h(y, v) \quad \forall y > x. \quad (16)$$

**Proof.** The condition (15) follows from the definition of the function  $h$  and from Lemma 5.4. Now, we shall prove the (16): in  $(\delta)$  setting  $x = 0$  it is  $g(z, y, g(0, z, u)) = g(0, y \wedge z, u)$  and for (14) we get  $h(z \vee y, h^{-1}(z, h(z, h^{-1}(0, u)))) = h(y \vee z, h^{-1}(0, u))$ , i.e.  $h(z \vee y, h^{-1}(z, h(z, u))) = h(y \vee z, u)$ .

If  $h(z, v) = h(z, u)$  with  $v < u$ , from definition of  $h^{-1}$ , we have  $h^{-1}(z, h(z, u)) = \text{Inf}\{\xi / h(z, \xi) = h(z, u)\} = v' \leq v$  and therefore  $h(y \wedge z, v') = h(y \wedge z, u)$ .

If  $v > u$ , for the monotonicity of the function  $h$  and the arbitrary of  $y$ , we obtain the (16).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** The expression (14) with the function  $h(x, u)$  satisfying the conditions of the Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 gives the general form of the continuous solutions of the system  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ .

**Proof.** We shall, now, verify that every function  $g(x, y, u)$  defined by (14)

$$g(x, y, u) = h(x \vee y, h^{-1}(x, u))$$

with  $h(x, u)$  satisfying the conditions of the Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 is solution of the system  $[(\alpha) - (\delta)]$ . In fact, for the properties of  $h$  in Lemma 5.5, the properties  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  are verified. The  $(\delta)$  becomes  $g(x \vee z, y, g(x, z, u)) = g(x, y \vee z, u)$  and then

$$h \left( x \vee z \vee y, h^{-1}(x \vee z, h(x \vee z, h^{-1}(x, u))) \right) = h \left( x \vee z \vee y, h^{-1}(x, u) \right). \quad (17)$$

Putting  $h^{-1}(x, u) = v$ , the (17) becomes  $h(x \vee z \vee y, h^{-1}(x \vee z, h(x \vee z, v))) = h(x \vee z \vee y, v)$ . Moreover  $h^{-1}(x \vee z, h(x \vee z, v)) = \inf\{\xi / h(x \vee z, \xi) = h(x \vee z, v)\} = v' \leq v$ , with  $h(x \vee z, v') = h(x \vee z, v)$ . For the (16), as  $x \vee z \vee y \geq x \vee z$  and  $h(x \vee y \vee z, v') = h(x \vee y \vee z, v)$ , we have the  $(\delta)$ .  $\square$

Summarizing the previous Lemmas, we obtain the following main result:

**Theorem 5.7.** The general solution of the system  $[(i') - (v')]$  is the function

$$\Psi(x, y, u, v) = h \left( x \vee y, h^{-1}(x, u) \wedge h^{-1}(y, v) \right)$$

where  $h : [0, 1] \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $h(x, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing, continuous,  $h(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $h(x, +\infty) = +\infty$ ,  $\forall x \in (0, \overline{\mu}]$ ,
- $h(x, u) = h(x, v) \Rightarrow h(y, u) = h(y, v)$  for every  $y > x$ .

**Example:** Let  $h(x, u) = e^x u$ , this function satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem above; its pseudo-inverse is  $h^{-1}(x, v) = \frac{v}{e^x}$ . Then the function  $g$  is

$$g(x, y, u) = h(x \vee y, h^{-1}(x, u)) = e^{x \vee y} h^{-1}(x, u) = e^{x \vee y} u e^{-x} = u e^{(x \vee y) - x}.$$

Then the collector  $\Psi$  has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x, y, u, v) &= g(x, y, u) \wedge g(y, x, v) \\ &= u e^{(x \vee y) - x} \wedge v e^{(y \vee x) - y} = e^{x \vee y} \left( \frac{u}{e^x} \wedge \frac{v}{e^y} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Let  $J$  be an information measure on crisp sets such that  $J(E) = e^{-\lambda(E)}$  with  $\lambda$  a fuzzy measure  $\vee$ -additive and  $J(A, E)$  an information depending on the set of observers.

From (3) and (18), we get

$$J(A, E_1 \cup E_2) = \frac{J(A, E_1)J(E_1) \wedge J(A, E_2)J(E_2)}{J(E_1 \cup E_2)}.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors warmly thank to Professor Radko Mesiar the useful conversations and advice in Lecce. This research was supported by GNFM of MIUR (Italy).

(Received November 24, 2004.)

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, B. Forte, and C. T. Ng: L'equation fonctionnelle et la théorie de l'Information sans probabilité. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 275 (1972), 727–729.
- [2] J. Aczél, B. Forte, and C. T. Ng: On a triangular functional equation and some application, in particular to the generalized theory of information. *Aequationes Math.* XI (1974), 11–30.
- [3] J. A. Baker, B. Forte, and L. F. Lam: On the existence of a collector for a class of information measures. *Utilitas Math.* 1 (1972), 219–239.
- [4] P. Benvenuti, M. Divari, and M. Pandolfi: Su un sistema di equazioni funzionali proveniente dalla teoria soggettiva della informazione. *Rend. Mat.* 3 (1972), 5, 529–540.
- [5] P. Benvenuti, D. Vivona, and M. Divari: A general information for fuzzy sets. In: *Uncertainty in Knowledge Bases* (B. Bouchon-Meunier, R. R. Yager, and L. A. Zadeh, eds., *Lectures Notes in Computer Sciences* 521), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1999, pp. 307–316.
- [6] C. Bertoluzza: Compositive information measures of a fuzzy set. In: *Fuzzy logic and soft computing 4* (B. Bouchon-Meunier, R. R. Yager, and L. A. Zadeh, eds.), World Scientific 1995, pp. 353–359.
- [7] B. Forte: Information and probability: Collectors and Shannon compositivity. *J. Deutsch. Math.-Verein* 75 (1973), 42–49.
- [8] B. Forte: Information and probability: Collectors and compositivity. *Sympos. Math.* IX (1972), 121–129.
- [9] B. Forte: Measures of information: the general axiomatic theory. *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.* 3 (1969), 63–90.
- [10] J. Kampé de Fériet and B. Forte: Information et probabilité. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 265 (1967), 110–114, 142–146, 350–353.
- [11] J. Kampé de Fériet: Mesures de l'information par un ensemble d'observateurs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 269 (1969), 1081–1085, 271 (1970), 1017–1021.
- [12] J. Kampé de Fériet: La Theorie Generale de L'Information et La Mesure Subjective de L'Information. In: *Lectures Notes in Mathematics* 398, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1974, pp. 1–35.
- [13] J. Kampé de Fériet, B. Forte, and P. Benvenuti: Forme générale de l'opération de composition continue d'une information. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 269 (1969), 529–534.
- [14] E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap: *Triangular Norms*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 2000.
- [15] G. Maschio: Note di teoria dell'informazione. In: *Quaderno dei gruppi di ricerca del C.N.R.* 97 (1972).
- [16] D. Vivona and M. Divari: On a collector of  $\wedge$ -compositive informations. In: *Proc. IPMU'04, 2004*, pp. 1199–1203.
- [17] L. A. Zadeh: Fuzzy sets. *Inform. and Control* 8 (1965), 338–353.

*Doretta Vivona and Maria Divari, Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici per le Scienze Applicate, Università "La Sapienza", Via A. Scarpa n. 16 – 00161 Roma. Italy.  
e-mail: vivona@dmnm.uniroma1.it*