

An Invariant for Continuous Mappings

J. S. CHAWLA

The purpose of this work is to show that the Topological Entropy given by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1] is not the only invariant for continuous mappings, but, there also exists another invariant which we call here the Topological δ -entropy.

1. δ -ENTROPY

In what follows, we shall assume that X is a compact topological space. For any open cover \mathfrak{A} of X , let $N(\mathfrak{A})$ denote the number of sets in a subcover of minimal cardinality. A subcover of a cover is minimal if no other subcover contains fewer members. Since X is compact and \mathfrak{A} is an open cover, therefore there always exists a finite subcover.

Definition 1.1. The expression $H_\delta(\mathfrak{A}) = [\log N(\mathfrak{A})]^\delta$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$; is defined as the δ -entropy of the open cover \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 1.2. For any two open covers \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} of X , $\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B} = \{A \cap B \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ is defined as the join of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} .

Definition 1.3. An open cover \mathfrak{B} is said to be a refinement of an open cover \mathfrak{A} ; denoted as $\mathfrak{A} < \mathfrak{B}$, if every member of \mathfrak{B} is a subset of some member of \mathfrak{A} .

The following theorem shows that δ -entropy is sub-additive.

Theorem 1.1. If \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are open covers of X , then

$$H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) \leq H_\delta(\mathfrak{A}) + H_\delta(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Proof. Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{N(\mathfrak{A})}\}$ be a minimal subcover of \mathfrak{A} and $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N(\mathfrak{B})}\}$ (here from typographical reasons $N(\mathscr{U})$, resp. $N(\mathscr{B})$, is used instead of $N(\mathfrak{A})$, resp.

316 $N(\mathfrak{B})$ be a minimal subcover of \mathfrak{B} . Now, $\{A_i \cap B_j / i = 1, 2, \dots, N(\mathfrak{A}); j = 1, 2, \dots, \dots, N(\mathfrak{B})\}$ is a subcover of $\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) &\leq N(\mathfrak{A}) N(\mathfrak{B}) \Rightarrow \log N(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) \leq \log N(\mathfrak{A}) + \log N(\mathfrak{B}) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow [\log N(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B})]^\delta \leq [\log N(\mathfrak{A})]^\delta + [\log N(\mathfrak{B})]^\delta \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) \leq H_\delta(\mathfrak{A}) + H_\delta(\mathfrak{B}). \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Hardy [2] — p. 32)

2. TOPOLOGICAL δ -ENTROPY

Let Φ be a continuous mapping of X into itself. If \mathfrak{A} is an open cover of X , then, the family $\Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} = \{\Phi^{-1}A / A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ is also an open cover.

Definition 2.1. The Topological δ -entropy $h_\delta(\Phi)$ of a continuous mapping Φ is defined as

$$h_\delta(\Phi) = \text{Sup } h_\delta(\Phi, \mathfrak{A})$$

where Sup is taken over all open covers \mathfrak{A} of X and $h_\delta(\Phi, \mathfrak{A})$ is given by

$$h_\delta(\Phi, \mathfrak{A}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A}) \mid n^\delta.$$

In the following note we justify that this limit exists and is finite.

Note 2.1. Let the number of members in a minimal subcover of $\mathfrak{A} \vee \Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A}$ be denoted by $N_n(\mathfrak{A})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(\Phi, \mathfrak{A}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A}) \mid n^\delta = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\log N_n(\mathfrak{A})]^\delta}{n^\delta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\log N_n(\mathfrak{A})}{n} \right]^\delta = \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_n(\mathfrak{A})}{n} \right]^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

From [1]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\mathfrak{A})$$

exists and is finite. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A})}{n^\delta}$$

exists and is finite.

Theorem 2.1. Topological δ -entropy is an invariant in the sense that $h_\delta(\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1}) =$ 317
 $= h_\delta(\Phi)$ where Φ is a continuous mapping of X into itself and Ψ is a homeomorphism
of X onto some X' ; where X and X' both are compact topological spaces.

Proof. For an open cover \mathfrak{A} of X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1}, \Psi\mathfrak{A}) &= \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\Psi\mathfrak{A} \vee (\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1})^{-1} \Psi\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee (\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1})^{-(n-1)} \Psi\mathfrak{A})/n^\delta = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\Psi\mathfrak{A} \vee \Psi\Phi^{-1}\Psi^{-1}\Psi\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Psi\Phi^{-(n-1)}\Psi^{-1}\Psi\mathfrak{A})/n^\delta = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\Psi\mathfrak{A} \vee \Psi\Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Psi\Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A})/n^\delta = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(\mathfrak{A} \vee \Phi^{-1}\mathfrak{A} \vee \dots \vee \Phi^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{A})/n^\delta = h_\delta(\Phi, \mathfrak{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Since Ψ is a homeomorphism; therefore, as \mathfrak{A} ranges over all open covers of X ,
 $\Psi\mathfrak{A}$ ranges over all open covers of X' . Hence,

$$h_\delta(\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1}) = h_\delta(\Phi).$$

(Received September 21, 1977.)

REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, M. H. McAndrew: Topological entropy. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1965), 2, 309—319.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya: *Inequalities*. 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1956.

Dr. J. S. Chawla, Department of Mathematics, Aitkinson College, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Downsview, Ontario, Canada.