KYBERNETIKA - VOLUME 16 (1980), NUMBER 2

## Optimal Control of Stabilizable Time-Varying Linear Systems with Time Delay

Jozef Komorník

The linear-quadratic problem on the infinite time interval is considered. Optimal control is derived from the smallest nonnegative continuous bounded solution of the known system of three Riccati-type equations.

In this paper we show that the optimal control of stabilizable time-varying linearquadratic systems with time delay on the infinite time interval is given by the formula similar to the known formula for the optimal feedback control of systems on a finite time interval. The main results are contained in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 1 describes the asymptotic behavior (in T) of the solution  $W^T$  of the Riccati-type system of equations in three variables (cf. [1], [2]) subject to the initial conditions  $W^T(T) = 0$ . The limit is the solution of the above system on the infinite interval. Theorem 2 contains the formulas for optimal control and minimal cost and a discussion of some properties of solutions of the mentioned Riccati-type system on infinite time interval. The functional of minimal cost corresponds to the smallest nonnegative bounded continuous solution. A sufficient condition for uniqueness of this solution is presented.

Consider the system described by the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0(t) \cdot x(t) + \int_{-h}^{0} A_1(t,\tau) \cdot x(t+\tau) \, d\tau + A_2(t) \cdot x(t-h) + B(t) u(t)$$

$$for \quad t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$$

with the initial condition

$$x(t_0 + \tau) = \varphi(\tau); \quad \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$$

where

(

x(t) is the *n*-dimensional state vector u(t) is the *p*-dimensional control function  $A_0(t), A_1(t, \tau), A_2(t), B(t)$  are matrix coefficients of appropriate types which are bounded and continuous on their domains.

Let  $Q_1(t)$  and  $Q_2(t)$  are bounded continuous matrix functions with nonnegative definite and positive definite values, respectively. Our aim is to minimize the loss function

(2) 
$$C_{t_0}^{\infty}(u, \varphi) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(t, x(t), u(t)) dt$$

where

(2a) 
$$c(t, x(t), u(t)) = x'(t) \cdot Q_1(t) \cdot x(t) + u'(t) \cdot Q_2(t) \cdot u(t)$$
.

It is well known (see [1], [2]) that for any  $T > s \ge t_0$  the optimal control of the system (1) with respect to the cost function

(2b) 
$$C_s^T(u, x) = \int_s^t c(t, x(t), u(t)) dt$$
,  $x(s + \tau) = \varphi(\tau)$  for  $\tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ 

can be written in the form

(3) 
$$u^{T}(t) = -Q_{2}^{-1}(t) \cdot B'(t) \cdot [W_{0}^{T}(t) \cdot x^{T}(t) + \int_{-h}^{0} W_{1}^{T}(t, \tau) \cdot x^{T}(t+\tau) d\tau]$$

and the corresponding minimal cost can be written in the form

(4) 
$$C_{s}^{T}(u^{T}, \varphi) = \varphi'(0) \cdot W_{0}^{T}(s) \cdot \varphi(0) + 2\varphi'(0) \cdot \int_{-h}^{0} W_{1}^{T}(s, \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau + \int_{-h}^{0} \int_{-h}^{0} \varphi'(\tau) \cdot W_{2}^{T}(s, \tau, \varrho) \varphi(\varrho) d\varrho d\tau = W^{T}(s) (\varphi)$$

where the triple  $W_0^T(t)$ ,  $W_1^T(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  of bounded continuous matrix functions of type  $n \times n$  defined for  $t \in \langle t_0, T \rangle$ ;  $\tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  is the unique solution of the Riccati-type system of equations:

(5.1) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_0(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + A_0'(t) \cdot W_0(t) + W_0(t) \cdot A_0(t) + W_1(t,0) + W_1'(t,0) + Q_1(t) - W_0(t) \cdot B_1(t) \cdot W_0(t) = 0$$

(5.2) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_1(t,s-t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + A_0'(t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) + W_0(t) \cdot A_1(t,s-t) + W_2(t,0,s-t) - W_0(t) B_1(t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) = 0$$

(5.3) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_2(t,s-t,r-t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + A_1'(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,r-t) + A_2'(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) + A_2'(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) + A_2'(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) + A_2'(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) \cdot W_1(t,s-t) + A_2'(t,s-t) +$$

+ 
$$W'_1(t, s - t) \cdot A_1(t, r - t) - W'_1(t, s - t) \cdot B_1(t) \cdot W_1(t, r - t) = 0$$

where s,  $r \in \langle t - h; t \rangle$ ;  $B_1 = B'Q_2^{-1}$ . B

(5.4) 
$$W_1(t, -h) = W_0(t) \cdot A_2(t)$$

(5.5) 
$$W_2(t, -h, \tau) = A_2'(t) \cdot W_1(t, \tau)$$

(5.6) 
$$W_2(t, \tau, \varrho) = W'_2(t, \varrho, \tau)$$

with the initial conditions

(6) 
$$W_0^T(\tau) = W_1^T(T, \tau) = W_2^T(T, \tau, \varrho) = 0$$

We show that all the functions  $W_0^T(t)$ ,  $W_1^T(t, \tau)$  and  $W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  converge (under the condition of stabilizability of the system (1)) in T to a triple of continuous functions  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$  and  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  which is a solution of system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Moreover, the optimal control and minimal cost are given by (3) and (4) (with T omitted).

First we introduce some formalism. For any matrix A of type  $m \times n$  we consider the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ .

**Definition 1.** For any Lebesgue measurable subset **M** of the interval  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  we put

$$m(\mathbf{M}) = \lambda(\mathbf{M}) + \operatorname{card} (\mathbf{M} \cap \{-h, 0\})$$

and

$$m_0(\mathbf{M}) = \lambda(\mathbf{M}) + \operatorname{card}(\mathbf{M} \cap \{0\})$$

where  $\lambda$  is a standard Lebesgue measure on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.** a) We denote by  $L_1^n(m_0)$  the system of all finite *n*-dimensional measurable functions on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  satisfying the condition

$$\|\varphi\|_{1} = \|\varphi(0)\| + \int_{-h}^{0} \|\varphi(\tau)\| d\tau = \int \|\varphi(\tau)\| dm_{0}(\tau) < \infty.$$

b) Let  $QF(m_0)$  be the system of all matrix functions of type  $n \times n$  defined on the product set  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  and having the following properties:

i) 
$$W(\tau, \varrho) = W'(\varrho, \tau)$$
 for  $\tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ .

ii) If we put

(7a) 
$$W_0 = W(0,0)$$
,  $W_1(\tau) = W(0,\tau)$ ,  $W_2(\tau,\varrho) = W(\tau,\varrho)$  for  $\tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ 

then the functions  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are continuous and continuously prolongable on the sets  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ , respectively. Hence we can put

(7b) 
$$W_1(0) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} W_1(\tau), \quad W_2(0, \varrho) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} W_2(\tau, \varrho).$$

**Definition 3.** a) We say that the function

$$W: \langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \to \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$$

is continuous if all the functions

$$W_0(t)$$
,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$ 

are continuous on their domains.

b) For  $W \in QF(m_0)$  and  $\varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$  we define

$$W(\varphi) = \iint \varphi'(\tau) \cdot W(\tau, \varrho) \cdot \varphi(\varrho) \, \mathrm{d} m_0(\varrho) \, \mathrm{d} m_0(\tau)$$

c) We introduce a partial order on  $QF(m_0)$  by

$$W \leq V \Leftrightarrow \forall \varphi \in \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{n}(m_{0}) : W(\varphi) \leq V(\varphi)$$

 $W \in \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$  is said nonnegative if  $0 \leq W$ .

Now we return to study the system (1) more closely. We can rewrite it in the form

(1a) 
$$\dot{x}(t) = \int A(t,\tau) \cdot x_t(\tau) dm(\tau) + B(t) u(t)$$

where

186

(8a) 
$$A(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} A_0(t) & \text{for } \tau = 0 \\ A_1(t, \tau) & \text{for } \tau \in (-h, 0) \\ A_2(t) & \text{for } \tau = -h \end{cases}$$

and

(8b) 
$$x_t(\tau) = x(t+\tau).$$

Lemma 1. (cf. [1], [4]). Consider the equation

(9) 
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \int A(t, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{x}_t(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}m(\tau)$$

with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ Let X(t, s) be the matrix solution of the equation

(9a) 
$$\frac{\partial X(t,s)}{\partial t} = \int_{-h}^{0} A(t,\tau) X(t+\tau,s) dm(s)$$

subject to the initial condition X(t, t) = I; X(t, s) = 0 for t < s. The solution x(t) of (9) can be written in the form:

(9b) 
$$x(t) = \int Y(t, s, \tau) \varphi(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

where

$$(9c) \quad Y(t, s, \tau) = \begin{cases} X(t, s) & \text{for } \tau = 0\\ X(t, s + \tau + h) \cdot A_2(s + \tau + h) + \\ + \int_{0}^{\tau+h} X(t, s + \varrho) A_1(s + \varrho, \tau - \varrho) \, \mathrm{d}\varrho & \text{for } \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \,. \end{cases}$$

The following quite simple result will be very useful.

**Proposition 1.** Consider the solution x(t) of (9) with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ . There exists a real function K(a, d) nondecreasing in both the real variables a and d such that for  $t - s \leq d$  and

$$\sup \{ \|A(r,\tau)\| : r \in \langle s,t \rangle, \tau \in \langle s-h,0 \rangle \} \leq a$$

the inequality

(10) 
$$\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \leq K(a, d) \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1}$$

holds.

Proof. Let the matrix function N be defined by

$$N(t,s) = -A_0(t) \cdot \theta(t-s) - \int_{t-h}^{t} A_1(t,\tau) \cdot \theta(\tau-s) \,\mathrm{d}\tau - A_2(t) \cdot \theta(t-h-s)$$

where  $\theta$  is the step function

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

The function X(t, s) is the solution of the integral equation (cf. [4])

$$X(t, s) + \int_s^t X(t, \tau) \cdot N(\tau, s) d\tau = I.$$

We have  $||I|| = \sqrt{n}$ . Using the inequality

$$||N(\tau, s)|| \leq (h + 2) \cdot a = a_1$$

and the Gronwal's lemma we get

(10a) 
$$\|X(t,s)\| \leq n^{1/2} \cdot e^{a_1(t-s)} \leq n^{1/2} \cdot e^{a_1d} = K_0(a,d)$$
.

Substituting into (9c) and (9b) we get

(10b) 
$$||Y(t, s, \tau)|| \leq \max(1, a_1) \cdot \max\{||X(t, \tau)|| : \tau \in \langle s, t \rangle\} \leq \\ \leq \max(1, a_1) \cdot K_0(a, d) = K(a, d)$$

hence

$$\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \leq K(a, d) \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1}$$

Further we concern with stable or stabilizable systems.

**Definition 4.** a) We say that the system (9) is stable if there exists a constant  $K_0$  such that for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  the inequality

(11) 
$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \|X(t,s)\|^{2} dt = K_{0}$$

holds.

b) We say that the system (1) is stabilizable if there exists a pair of continuous bounded functions  $L_0(t)$ ,  $L_1(t, \tau)$ ; for  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$   $\tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  such that the system

(1b) 
$$\dot{x}(t) = \int A(t,\tau) x_t(\tau) dm(\tau) + B(t) \int L(t,\tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

is stable.

The feedback control

(12) 
$$u(t) = \int L(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm(\tau)$$

where

$$L(t,\tau) = \begin{cases} L_0(t) & \text{for } \tau = 0\\ L_1(t,\tau) & \text{for } \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \end{cases}$$

is called stabilizing.

**Proposition 2.** Suppose that the function  $A(t, \tau)$  is bounded on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ . The system (9) is stable if and only if there exists a constant  $K_1$  such that for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and any solution x(t) with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$  the inequality

. .

(13) 
$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \leq K_1 \|\varphi\|_1^2$$

holds.

Proof. Put

(8c)  $a = \sup \{ \|A(t, \tau)\| : t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle; \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \}$ (8d)  $a_1 = (h+2) a$ .

From (10) we get

$$\int_{s}^{s+h} \|x(t)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \leq h \cdot K^2(a, h) \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2} = K'_{1} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2} \, .$$

For  $t \in \langle s + h, \infty \rangle$  we get from (9b) and (9c)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= X(t,s+h) \cdot \mathbf{x}(s+h) + \int_{s}^{s+h} \left[ X(t,\tau+h) \cdot A_2(\tau+h) + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{s+h}^{\tau+h} X(t,\varrho) \cdot A_1(\varrho,\tau-\varrho) \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \right] \cdot \mathbf{x}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{1} \cdot K(a, h) \cdot \|X(t, s + h)\| + \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\| \cdot \left[ \|A_{2}(\varrho)\| + \int_{\varrho-h}^{s+h} \|A_{1}(\varrho, \tau - \varrho)\| d\tau \right] d\varrho &\leq \|\varphi\|_{1} \cdot K(a, h) \cdot \\ & \cdot \left[ \|X(t, s + h)\| + a_{1} \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\| d\varrho \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{s+h}^{\infty} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \leq \|\varphi\|_1^2 \cdot K^2(a, h) \cdot \int_{s+h}^{\infty} \left[2\|X(t; s+h)\|^2 + 2a_1^2h \cdot \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\|^2 d\varrho \right] dt \leq 2K^2(a, h) \left(1 + a_1^2h^2\right) \cdot K_0 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 = K_1'' \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

Hence (13) is fulfilled for

$$K_1 = K_1' + K_1'' \, .$$

**Proposition 3.** Let the system (9) be stable and let the function  $A(t, \tau)$  be bounded on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ . Then for any solution x(t) of (9) we have

190 (13a)

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=0.$$

Proof. Let x(t) be a solution of (9) with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ . From (9) and (13) we deduce that there exists a positive constant  $D^2$  such that

$$\int_{s+h}^{\infty} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t < D^2 \,.$$

Suppose that (13a) is not valid. There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $||x(t_n)|| > \varepsilon$ . Put  $\Delta = \varepsilon^2/4D^2$ . The sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  can be choosen in such a way that  $s + h \leq t_1$ ,  $t_{n+1} > t_n + \Delta$ . For  $t \in \langle t_n; t_n + \Delta \rangle$  we have

$$\|x(t)\| \geq \|x(t_n)\| - \int_{t_n}^t \|\dot{x}(\tau)\| \, \mathrm{d}\tau \geq \varepsilon \cdot \Delta^{1/2} \left[ \int_{t_n}^t \|\dot{x}(\tau)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\tau \right]^{1/2} \geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2D \, . \, D = \varepsilon/2 \, .$$

Hence

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_n+\Delta} \|\mathbf{x}(t)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \varepsilon^2/4 \, . \, \Delta = \varepsilon^4/16D^2 \, .$$

Therefore

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_n}^{t_n+d} \|x(t)\|^2 dt = \infty$$

which contradicts to (13).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the system (1) is stabilizable. The system of functions  $W^{T}(t, \tau, \varrho)$  converges in T to the function  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  which has the following properties:

a)  $W(t, \tau, \varrho) \in \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$  for  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ 

b) The mapping

$$W:\langle t_0,\,\infty)\to \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$$

is continuous.

c) The triple  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  given by (7a), (7b) is the solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ .

Proof. Choose a stabilizing control

$$u_0(t) = \int L^0(t, \tau) x(\tau) \,\mathrm{d} m_0(\tau) \,.$$

Suppose that

$$x_s^0 = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0).$$

From the fact that the functions  $Q_1(t)$ ,  $Q_2(t)$  and  $L^0(t, \tau)$  are bounded we obtain that there exists a constant  $K_2$  such that

(14) 
$$c(t, x^{0}(t), u^{0}(t)) \leq K_{2} \cdot ||x_{t}^{0}||_{1}^{2}$$

Denote

 $A^{0}(t,\tau) = A(t,\tau) + B(t) \cdot L^{0}(t,\tau) \, .$ 

Let

$$a = \sup \left\{ \left\| A^{0}(t,\tau) \right\| : t \in \langle t_{0}, \infty); \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \right\}.$$

For  $t \in \langle s, s + h \rangle$  we have

$$||x^{0}(t)|| \leq K(a, d) \cdot ||\varphi||_{1}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{x}_{t}^{0}\|_{1} &= \int_{t-h}^{0} \|\varphi(\tau)\| \, \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|\mathbf{x}^{0}(\tau)\| \, \mathrm{d}\tau + \|\mathbf{x}^{0}(t)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{1} + (1+h) \cdot K(a,h) \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1} \end{split}$$

when

(15a) 
$$\int_{s}^{s+h} \|x_{t}^{0}\|_{1}^{2} dt \leq K'_{3} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2}.$$

For  $t \ge s + h$  we have

$$||x_t||_1^2 \leq (1 + h) [||x(t)||^2 + \int_{-h}^0 ||x(\tau)||^2 d\tau].$$

Therefore

(15b) 
$$\int_{s+h}^{\infty} \|x_t^0\|_1^2 dt \le (1+h)^2 \cdot \int_s^{\infty} \|x^0(t)\|^2 dt \le$$
$$\le (1+h)^2 \cdot K_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 = K_3'' \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

Combining (14) with (15a) and (15b) we get

(15) 
$$\int_{s}^{\infty} c(t, x^{0}(t), u^{0}(t)) dt \leq K_{3} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2}.$$

For  $T \ge t_0$  we put

(16a) 
$$L^{T}(t, \tau) = -Q_{2}^{-1}(t) \cdot B'(t) \cdot W^{T}(t, 0, \tau)$$

and

$$A^{T}(t,\tau) = A(t,\tau) + B(t) \cdot L^{T}(t,\tau)$$

where  $W^T$  is the solution of (5) and (6).

Let  $x^{T}(t)$  be the solution of (1) for the control function

(16c) 
$$u^{T}(t) = \int_{-n}^{0} L^{T}(t, \tau) x_{t}(\tau) dm_{0}(\tau)$$

and the initial condition

$$x_s^T = \varphi \in \mathbf{L}_1^{r'}(m_0)$$

Then we have

(16d)

$$W_{(s)}^{T}(\varphi) = \int_{s}^{T} c(t, x^{T}(t), u^{T}(t)) dt \leq \int_{s}^{T} c(t, x^{0}(t), u^{0}(t)) dt \leq K_{3} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2}.$$

Let  $T_1 \leq T_2$ . We denote by  $x^i$  and  $u^i$  the functions  $x^{T_i}$ ,  $u^{T_i}$ , i = 1; 2. We have

$$\begin{split} W_{(s)}^{T_1}(\varphi) &= \int_s^{T_1} c(t, x^1(t), u^1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \int_s^{T_1} c(t, x^2(t); u^2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \\ &\leq \int_s^{T_2} c(t, x^2(t), u^2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = W_{(s)}^{T_2}(\varphi) \, . \end{split}$$

Thus for  $t_0 \leq s \leq T_1 \leq T_2$  we have the following inequalities in  $QF(m_0)$ :

(17) 
$$W_{(s)}^{T_1} \leq W_{(s)}^{T_2} \leq K_3 . I$$

where  $K_3$ . *I* is the constant matrix function on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ . We denote by *In* the class of initial functions of the types

(18a) 
$$\varphi_i = e_i \cdot \chi_{\{0\}}$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n$ 

(18b) 
$$\psi_{\tau,j}^m = m \cdot e_j \cdot \chi_{\langle \tau-1/m, \tau+1/m \rangle}$$
 for  $j = 1, ..., n; \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle; m = 1, 2, ...$ 

(18c) 
$$\varphi = \varphi' \pm \varphi''; \varphi' \text{ and } \varphi'' \text{ are of the type (18a) or (18b)}$$

where  $e_i$  is the *i*-th member of the standard orthonormal base in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\chi_{\mathbf{M}}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Choosing suitable initial functions from the class In we derive the inequality

(17a) 
$$||W^{T}(s, \tau, \varrho)|| \leq K_{3} \cdot n \text{ for } s \leq T; \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle.$$

Substituting it into (16a) and (16b) we conclude that there exists a constant  $\alpha$  such that for any T

(17b) 
$$\sup \{ \|A^{T}(t,\tau)\| : (t,\tau) \in \langle t_{0},T \rangle \times \langle -h,0 \rangle \} \leq \alpha.$$

Therefore for the solution  $x^{T}$  of (1) determined by (16c) and (16b) we have

(17c) 
$$\|x^{T}(t)\| \leq K(\alpha, (t-s)) \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1} \quad \text{for} \quad t \leq T$$

Considering once more suitable functions of the class In (cf. [1], [2]) we get that for any given  $(s, \tau, \varrho) \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  there exists a limit

(19a) 
$$W(s, \tau, \varrho) = \lim_{T \to \infty} W^{T}(s, \tau, \varrho)$$

We show that this convergence is uniform on  $\langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  for any  $t_1 \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Put  $t_2 = t_1 + h$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_0 > t_2$  such that for  $T_0 < T_1 < T_2$  the inequality

(19b) 
$$\iint \|W^{T_2}(t,\tau,\varrho) - W^{T_1}(t,\tau,\varrho)\| \,\mathrm{d} m_0(\tau) \,\mathrm{d} m_0(\varrho) < \varepsilon/n \cdot K^2(\alpha,d)$$

holds.

Put  $d = t_2 - t_0$ . For i = 1, 2 we consider the solution  $x^i = x^{T_i}$  determined by (16c) and (16d). For  $s \in \langle t_0, t_1 \rangle$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(s)}^{T_i}(\varphi) &= \min_{u} \left\{ \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x(t), u(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, W_{(t_2)}^{T_i}(x_{t_2}) \right\} = \\ &= \int_s^{t_2!} c(t, \, x^i(t), \, u^i(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, W_{(t_2)}^{T_i}(x_{t_2}^i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $u^i = u^{T_i}$  is given by (16a) and (16c). Therefore

$$0 \leq W_{(s)}^{T_2}(\varphi) - W_{(s)}^{T_1}(\varphi) = \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x^2(t); u^2(t)) dt + W_{(t_2)}^{T_2}(x_{t_2}^2) - \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x^1(t), u^1(t)) dt - W_{(t_2)}^{T_1}(x_{t_2}^1) \leq W_{(t_2)}^{T_2}(x_{t_2}^1) - W_{(t_2)}^{T_1}(x_{t_2}^1) \leq \\ \leq \iint \|x^1(t_2 + \tau)\| \cdot \|W^{T_2}(t_2, \tau, \varrho) - W^{T_1}(t_2, \tau, \varrho)\| \cdot \|x^1(t_2 + \varrho)\| dm_0(\tau) dm_0(\varrho) \leq \\ \leq K^2(\alpha, d) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 \cdot \varepsilon/(n \cdot K^2(\alpha, d)) = \varepsilon/n \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

Choosing suitable initial functions from In we derive

$$\|W^{T_2}(s, \tau, \varrho) - W^{T_1}(s, \tau, \varrho)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

194 uniformly on

$$\langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$$

Thus the components  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  of the limit function are continuous on their domains.

For a given t and  $T \ge t + h$  the triple  $W_0^T(t)$ ,  $W_1^T(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  is the solution of the system of integral equations which we obtain by the integration of the system (5):

(20a) 
$$W_0(t) = W_0(t+h) + \int_t^{t+h} [A'_0(s) \cdot W_0(s) + W_0(s) A_0(s) + W_1(s, 0) + W'_1(s, 0) - W'_0(s) \cdot B_1 \cdot W_0(s) + Q_1(s)] ds$$

(20b)

$$+\int_{-\hbar}^{\tau} \left[ W_0(t+\tau-\varrho) \cdot A_1(t+\tau-\varrho;\varrho) + A'_0(t+\tau-\varrho) \cdot W_1(t+\tau-\varrho;\varrho) + W_2(t+\tau-\varrho;0;\varrho) - W_0(t+\tau-\varrho) \cdot B_1(t+\tau-\varrho) \cdot W_1(t+\tau-\varrho;\varrho) \right] d\varrho$$

 $W_1(t, \tau) = W_0(t + \tau + h) \cdot A_2(t + \tau + h) +$ 

(20c) 
$$W_{2}(t,\tau,\varrho) = A'_{2}(t+\tau+h) \cdot W'_{1}(t+\tau+h;\varrho-\tau+h) + \\ + \int_{-h}^{\tau} \left[ A'_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\xi) \cdot W_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\varrho-\tau+\xi) + \\ + W'_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\xi) \cdot A_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\varrho-\tau+\xi) - \\ - W'_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\xi) \cdot B_{1}(t+\tau-\xi) \cdot W_{1}(t+\tau-\xi,\varrho-\tau+\xi) \right] d\xi \\ \quad \text{for} \quad -h \leq \tau \leq \varrho \leq 0$$

and

(20d) 
$$W_2(t, \tau, \varrho) = W_2'(t, \varrho, \tau) \quad \text{for} \quad -h \leq \varrho \leq \tau \leq 0.$$

Taking the limits with respect to T we obtain that the triple  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  is the solution of (20) and of (5) as well.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that the system (1) is stabilizable and W is the function constructed above. Then the following statements hold.

a) The control

(21) 
$$u^{*}(t) = \int_{-h}^{0} L^{*}(t, \tau) x^{*}_{t}(\tau) dm_{0}(\tau)$$

where

(21a) 
$$L^*(t, \tau) = -B'(t) \cdot Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot W(t, 0, \tau)$$

is the optimal control for (1) and the value of minimal cost is given by

(22) 
$$C_s^{\infty}(u,\varphi) = W_s(\varphi).$$

b) The function W is the smallest nonnegative bounded continuous solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  (in view of Definition 3).

c) Suppose that V is any nonnegative continuous solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Then for any stabilizing feedback control

$$u(t) = \int_{-h}^{0} L(t, \tau) \cdot x_t(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}m_0(\tau)$$

the inequality

(23) 
$$C_s^{\infty}(u, \varphi) \ge V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

holds for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and  $\varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ .

d) Suppose that there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the inequality

$$(24) x' \cdot Q \cdot x \ge \delta \cdot \|x\|^2$$

holds. Then W(t) is the only nonnegative bounded continuous solution of the system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ .

Proof. Let  $t_0 \leq s \leq T < \infty$ . Suppose that V(t) is the continuous nonnegative solution of (5) and that x(t) is a solution of (1) for a control function u(t) and initial condition  $x_n = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ . Calculating as in [1] or [2] we get

(25) 
$$c(t, x(t), u(t)) + \frac{d[V_{(t)}(x_t)]}{dt} = \left[u(t) - \int_{-h}^{0} U(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau)\right]'.$$
  

$$\cdot Q_2(t) \left[u(t) - \int_{-h}^{0} U(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau)\right] \ge 0$$
where  

$$U(t, \tau) = -B'(t) \cdot Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot V(t, 0, \tau)$$

$$U(l, t) = -B(l) \cdot Q_2(l) \cdot V(l, 0, t)$$

hence (26)

$$C_s^T(u, x) \geq V_{(s)}(\varphi) - V_{(T)}(\varphi)$$
.

If we suppose that V(t) is bounded and u is a stabilizing feedback control we get that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{T\to\infty} V_{(T)}(x_T) = 0.$$

## 196 Hence the statement c) is proved.

Now we prove a). Let x(t) be a solution of (1) for some control function u and initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L^{\alpha}_1(m_0)$  For any  $T \ge s$  we have

$$C_s^T(u, x) \geq W_{(s)}^T(\varphi)$$

hence

$$C_s^{\infty}(u, x) \geq W_{(s)}(\varphi)$$
.

From (25) we get

$$C_s^T(u^*, \varphi) = W_{(s)}(\varphi) - W_{(T)}(\varphi) \leq W_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

and (22) is fulfiled.

b) For

$$u(t) = \int_{-h}^{0} U(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau) ,$$

where U is as above, we have from (25)

$$C_s^T(u, \varphi) = V_{(s)}(\varphi) - V_{(T)}(x_T) \leq V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$
.

But

$$W_{(s)}^{T}(\varphi) \leq C_{s}^{T}(u, \varphi) \leq V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$
.

Therefore

$$(27) W_{(s)} \leq V_{(s)}$$

d) We show that (24) implies that the control  $u^*(t)$  is stabilizable. For the solution  $x^*(t)$  with  $x_s^* = \varphi$  we have (making use of (18))

$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \|x^{*}(t)\|^{2} dt \leq 1/\delta \int_{s}^{\infty} x^{*'}(t) \cdot Q_{1}(t) \cdot x^{*}(t) dt \leq$$
$$\leq 1/\delta \cdot \int_{s}^{\infty} c(t, x^{*}(t), u^{*}(t)) dt = 1/\delta \cdot W_{(s)}(x) \leq 1/\delta \cdot K_{3} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1}^{2}.$$

According (23)

$$W_{(s)}(x) = C_s^{\infty}(u^*, x) \ge V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

Combining with (27) we get  $V_{(s)} = W_{(s)}$ .

**Remark.** a) If all the functions  $A(t, \tau)$ , B(t),  $Q_1(t)$ ,  $Q_2(t)$  are periodic in t with the same period d the functions  $W^{T}(t)$  fulfil the equations

$$W^{T+d}(t+d) = W^{T}(t).$$

Therefore the functions  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  and  $L^*(t, \tau)$  are periodic in t with the period d

b) If all the functions A, B,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  are constant in t then  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  and  $L^*(t, \tau)$  are constant in t. The function  $W(\tau, \varrho) : \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  is the solution of the simplified system

(28a) 
$$A'_0 \cdot W_0 + W_0 \cdot A_0 + W_1(0) + W'_1(0) - W_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot W_0 + Q_1 = 0$$

(28b) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_1(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = W_0 \cdot A_1(\tau) + A_0 \cdot W_1(\tau) + W_2(0,\tau) - W_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot W_1(\tau)$$

(28c) 
$$\frac{dW_2(\tau, d + \tau)}{d\tau} = A'_1(\tau) \cdot W_1(d + \tau) + W'_1(\tau) \cdot A_1(d + \tau) -$$

$$-W_1'(\tau) \cdot B_1 \cdot W_1(d+\tau)$$
 for  $-h \leq \tau \leq \tau + d \leq 0$ 

(28d) 
$$W_1(-h) = W_0 \cdot A_2$$

(28e) 
$$W_2(-h, \tau) = A'_2 \cdot W_1(\tau)$$

(28f) 
$$W_2(\tau, \varrho) = W'_2(\varrho, \tau)$$

The function W can be obtained in the form

(29) 
$$W(\tau, \varrho) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} V(t, \tau, \varrho)$$

where V is the solution of the system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  with the initial condition V(0) = 0.

(Received May 5, 1979.)

RNDr. Jozef Komornik, CSc., Katedra teórie pravdepodobnosti a matematickej štatistiky Prirodovedeckej fakulty Univerzity Komenského (Department of Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, Faculty of Science – Comenius University), Mlynská dolina, 816 31 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.

REFERENCES

Y. Alekal, P. Brunovsky, H. Ch. Dong, E. B. Lee: The Quadratic Problem for Systems with Time Delay. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control AC-16 (1971), 6, 673-687.

<sup>[2]</sup> V. B. Kolmanovskij, T. L. Majzenberg: Optimal'noje upravlenije stochastičeskimi sistemami s posledejstvijem. Avtomatika i telemechanika 34 (1973), 1, 47-60.

<sup>[3]</sup> V. Kučera: A Review of the Matrix Riccati Equation. Kybernetika 9 (1973), 1, 42-61.

<sup>[4]</sup> A. A. Lindquist: Theorem on Duality Between Estimation and Control for Linear Stochast c

Systems with Time Delay. Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. 37 (1972), 2, 516-536.

<sup>[5]</sup> V. J. Zubov: Lekcii po teoriji upravlenija. Nauka, Moskva 1976.