

# On the Core of an Incomplete $n$ -Person Game

ANTONÍN OTÁHAL

Analogously as Bondareva's theorem (cf [1]) we state that the core of a game is nonempty if and only if the game is balanced but general incomplete games are considered, i.e. some coalitions may be unfeasible.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Our method of studying incomplete games is that of an approximation of any incomplete game by some complete one.

Parts 1, 2 introduce basic concepts, the 3rd part contains the main results of the paper.

## 1. PREREQUISITIES

We suppose that  $I$  is a finite nonempty set and  $K$  is some system of subsets of  $I$ .  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the set of all real numbers.

A set function  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is superadditive if, whenever  $S$  is a system of disjoint sets from  $K$  such that  $\bigcup S \in K$ , then

$$\sum_{s \in S} v(s) \leq v(\bigcup S).$$

For  $K \subset \exp I$ ,  $s \subset I$  we denote

$$r(K, s) = \{R : R \subset K, \bigcup R = s, \text{ the elements of } R \text{ are disjoint sets}\}.$$

We write  $r(K)$  instead of  $r(K, I)$ .

Let  $r(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Then a game  $v = (v, K, I)$  is any superadditive set function  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . The game  $v$  is complete if  $K = \exp I$  and incomplete otherwise.

We make no difference between vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^I$  and additive set functions  $\mathbf{x} : \exp I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(s) = \sum_{i \in s} x_i$ .

The *core* of the game  $v = (v, K, I)$  is the set of all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^I$  for which

- (a)  $\mathbf{x}(s) \geq v(s)$  for all  $s \in K$ ,
- (b) there exists  $R \in r(K)$  such that  $\mathbf{x}(t) = v(t)$  for all  $t \in R$ .

We denote the core of the game  $v$  through  $C(v)$  (or  $C(v, K, I)$  if needed).

If  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is any set function and if  $R \subset K$ , we denote

$$\langle f, R \rangle = \sum_{s \in R} f(s).$$

To every game  $v = (v, K, I)$  we assign the number

$$m(v) = \max \{ \langle v, R \rangle : R \in r(K) \}.$$

**1.1. Lemma.** Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^I$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v, K, I)$  if and only if

- (a)  $\mathbf{x}(s) \geq v(s)$  for all  $s \in K$ ,
- (b')  $\mathbf{x}(I) = m(v)$ .

**Proof.** Under holding (a), any of (b), (b') is clearly equivalent to the condition

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = v(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in R,$$

where  $R$  is that element of  $r(K)$  for which  $m(v) = \langle v, R \rangle$ .

## 2. BALANCED GAMES

Let  $S$  be a nonempty subsystem of  $K$  such that  $\emptyset \notin S$ ,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^S$  be a vector whose coordinates are strictly positive real numbers.  $S$  is said to be a *balanced  $K$ -cover* with the *weight vector*  $\mathbf{c}$  if for every  $i \in I$

$$\sum_{s \in S_i} c_s = 1$$

holds, where  $S_i = \{s : s \in S, i \in s\}$ .

A game  $v = (v, K, I)$  is *balanced* if, whenever  $S$  is a balanced  $K$ -cover with the weight vector  $\mathbf{c}$ , we have

$$\sum_{s \in S} c_s v(s) \leq m(v).$$

It is natural to say that  $S$  is a *balanced  $K$ -cover* (write  $S \in \mathcal{B}_K$ ) if there exists  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^S$  such that  $S$  is the balanced  $K$ -cover with the weight vector  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Moreover,  $S$  is said to be the *balanced cover* (write  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ ) instead of saying  $S$  is the balanced  $\exp I$ -cover.

A balanced  $K$ -cover  $T$  is the *minimal balanced  $K$ -cover* if from  $S \subset T$  and  $S \in \mathcal{B}_K$  the equality  $S = T$  follows. In this case we write  $T \in \mathcal{M}_K$ . That is,  $\mathcal{M}_K$  is the set of all in inclusion sense minimal elements of  $\mathcal{B}_K$ . As previously omitting  $K$  means  $K = \exp I$ .

For  $S \in \mathcal{B}_K$  we denote

$$V(S) = \{c : c \text{ is the weight vector of } S\}.$$

**2.1. Lemma.** Let  $S$  be the balanced  $K$ -cover. Then

- (i)  $V(S)$  is a convex set in  $\mathbf{R}^S$ ,
- (ii)  $V(S)$  contains exactly one point if and only if  $S$  is minimal,
- (iii)  $\bigcup \{V(T) : T \subset S, T \in \mathcal{M}_K\}$  is the set of all extremal points of  $\text{cl } V(S)$  ( $\text{cl } X$  denotes the topological closure of a set  $X$ ).

Proof is given in [2].

**2.2. Theorem.** The game  $v = (v, K, I)$  is balanced if and only if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{t \in T} c_t v(t) \leq m(v)$$

for every  $T$  being a minimal balanced  $K$ -cover with the (unique) weight vector  $c$ .

Proof. The "only if" is obvious.

According to the previous lemma, if  $S \in \mathcal{B}_K$  and  $c \in V(S)$  then there exist  $T^1, \dots, T^m \in \mathcal{M}_K$  with weight vectors  $c^1, \dots, c^m$  (respectively) such that  $c$  is some convex linear combination of  $c^1, \dots, c^m$ . ( $c^i$  taken as an element of  $\mathbf{R}^{T^i} \times \{0\}^{S-T^i}$ ).

As

$$(1') \quad \sum_{t \in T^i} c_t^i v(t) \leq m(v)$$

holds for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  the same convex combination applied on  $(1^1), \dots, (1^m)$  implies

$$\sum_{s \in S} c_s v(s) \leq m(v).$$

**2.3. Remark.** Let  $v$  be a complete game. Then

- 1)  $v$  is superadditive if and only if for every disjoint pair  $s, t$  of subsets of  $I$

$$v(s) + v(t) \leq v(s \cup t),$$

- 2)  $m(v) = v(I)$ .

**3.1. Theorem.** Let  $v$  be a complete game. Then  $v$  is balanced if and only if the core of  $v$  is nonempty.

**Proof** cf. [1], [3].

We shall generalize this result now.

$\mathbf{R}^+$  denotes the set of all positive real numbers.

For the brevity we shall use this terminology: Let  $P(h)$  be a statement depending on  $h \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Then " $P(h)$  holds for  $h$  large" means the same as "there exists  $h_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that for every  $h \geq h_0$  the statement  $P(h)$  holds".

Let us denote  $\bar{K} = K \cup \{\{i\} : i \in I\}$  and for every  $h \in \mathbf{R}^+$  define set functions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}_h : \bar{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \bar{v}_h(s) &= \begin{cases} v(s) & \text{for } s \in K \\ -h & \text{for } s \in \bar{K} - K \end{cases} \\ v_h : \exp I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad v_h(s) &= \max \{ \langle \bar{v}_h, R \rangle : R \in r(K, s) \} \quad \text{for } s \neq \emptyset, \\ v_h(\emptyset) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for every  $h \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , the set function  $v_h$  is superadditive and so  $v_h$  is a complete game.

We denote  $d(K)$  the set of all  $s \in I$  for which  $r(K, s) \neq \emptyset$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** Let  $v = (v, K, I)$  be a game,  $s \in I$ .

(i) if  $s \in d(K)$  then

$$v_h(s) = \max \{ \langle v, R \rangle : R \in r(K, s) \}$$

holds for  $h$  large,

(ii) if  $s \notin d(K)$  then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} v_h(s) = -\infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s \in I$ ,  $R \in r(\bar{K}, s) - r(K, s)$ ,  $R \neq \emptyset$ . Then clearly  $\langle v_h, R \rangle \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $h \rightarrow \infty$ .

Both (i) and (ii) follow immediately.

**3.3. Lemma.** Let  $v = (v, K, I)$ . Then for  $h$  large

- (i)  $v_h/K = v$ ,
- (ii)  $m(v_h) = m(v)$

hold.

**Proof.** Let  $s \in K$ . Then  $\{\{s\}\} \in r(K, s)$ , i.e.  $v(s) = \max \{ \langle v, R \rangle : R \in r(K, s) \}$  ( $v$  is superadditive). So 3.2. (i) and the finiteness of  $K$  imply (i). (ii) follows from 3.2. (i) as  $r(K) \neq \emptyset$ .

**3.4. Lemma.** A game  $v$  is balanced if and only if  $v_h$  is balanced for  $h$  large.

Proof. The “if” is obvious with regard to 3.3. For the proof of the “only if” it is sufficient to prove (cf. 2.2, 3.3. (ii)): whenever  $T \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\{c\} = \mathbf{V}(T)$  then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{t \in T} c_t v_h(t) \leq m(v)$$

holds for  $h$  large. So let  $T \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\{c\} = \mathbf{V}(T)$ . There are two possibilities.

a)  $T \subset \mathbf{d}(K)$ . Then we define

$$S = \bigcup_{t \in T} R_t, \\ b_s = \sum_{\{t: s \in R_t\}} c_t \quad \text{for } s \in S,$$

where  $R_t (t \in T)$  are defined by the relation

$$\langle v, R_t \rangle = \max \{ \langle v, R \rangle : R \in \mathbf{r}(K, t) \},$$

Obviously  $S \in \mathcal{B}_K$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{V}(S)$ . According to 3.2. (i)

$$\sum_{t \in T} c_t v_h(t) = \sum_{s \in S} b_s v(s)$$

holds for  $h$  large.

Now (1) follows from  $v$  being balanced.

b)  $T - \mathbf{d}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t \in T} c_t v_h(t) = -\infty$$

(from 3.2. (ii)). That is, (1) holds for  $h$  large.

**3.5. Lemma.** Let  $h, k \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $h \leq k$ ,  $v_h, v_k$  be defined as previously. Then for  $h$  large

- (i) if  $v_h$  is balanced then  $v_k$  is also balanced,
- (ii)  $C(v_h) \subset C(v_k)$ .

Proof. If  $h$  is large enough then  $v_h(I) = v_k(I) = m(v)$  and  $v_h/K = v_k/K = v$ . Both (i), (ii) follow from obvious relation

$$v_h(s) \geq v_k(s) \quad \text{for all } s \subset I$$

now.

**3.6. Theorem.** Let  $v = (v, K, I)$  be a game (complete or incomplete). Then the core of  $v$  is nonempty if and only if  $v$  is the balanced game.

154      Proof. 1) Let  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v)$ . With regard to 3.3 there exists  $h \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{x}(I) = m(v_h) = v_h(I),$$

$$(3) \quad v_h/K = v$$

and

$$(4) \quad h \geq -x_i \quad \text{for all } i \in I$$

hold. Let  $s \subset I$ . It is  $v_h(s) = \langle \bar{v}_h, R \rangle$  where  $R \in r(\bar{K}, s)$ . If  $t \in R$  then  $t \in K$  or  $t \in \bar{K} - K$ , i.e.  $\bar{v}_h(t) = v(t) \leq \mathbf{x}(t)$  or  $\bar{v}_h(t) = -h \leq \mathbf{x}(t)$  (respectively). Consequently

$$(5) \quad v_h(s) \leq \mathbf{x}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \subset I$$

is valid.

(3), (5) mean  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v_h)$ . According to 3.1 the complete game  $v_h$  is balanced, (2), (3) imply that  $v$  is a balanced game, too.

2) Let  $v$  be a balanced game. From 3.3, 3.4 it follows the existence of  $h$  for which (3) and

$$(6) \quad m(v) = v_h(I)$$

hold and, moreover,  $v_h$  is also balanced. So there exists  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v_h)$ . We define

$$(7) \quad k = \max(h, \max_{i \in I} (-x_i)).$$

According to 3.5 it is  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v_k)$  and with regard to (7) we obtain (analogously as in 1))

$$(8) \quad v(s) \leq \mathbf{x}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in K.$$

As  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v_k)$ , the relation (6) is the same as

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{x}(I) = m(v).$$

(8) and (9) establish  $\mathbf{x} \in C(v)$ .

**3.7. Remark.** Let  $v = (v, K, I)$  be a game,  $v_h$  be as above. We denote  $C_h = C(v_h)$ . Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} C_h = C$$

is true in the following sense:

(i)  $(C_h)_{h \geq h_0}$  is a monotone increasing system of sets and

(ii)  $\bigcup_{h \geq h_0} C_h = C$

hold for  $h_0$  large.

(Received December 1, 1978.)

- [1] О. Н. Бондарева: Теория ядра в игре  $n$  лиц, Вестник Ленинградского университета 17 (1962), 13, 141–142.
- [2] J. Rosenmüller: Kooperative Spiele und Märkte. Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1971.
- [3] L. S. Shapley: On balanced sets and cores. Naval Res. Logist. Quart. 14 (1967), 4, 453–460.

*Antonín Otáhal, matematicko-fyzikální fakulta University Karlovy (Mathematical and Physical Faculty — Charles University), Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8. Czechoslovakia.*