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#### Abstract

A linear nonstationary discrete-time system is considered in this work. The ways are presented for determination of system state equations if the weighting function is known.


State equations of a linear discrete-time system can be obtained from its inputoutput difference equation in stationary [1] as well as in nonstationary case [2]. It is the purpose of this paper to show the direct transformation of the system weighting function into the state space description.

## I. FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONS

A single-input, single-output, linear discrete-time system can be described on definite time interval $N$ by the state equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}(n+1) & =\boldsymbol{A}(n) \mathbf{x}(n)+\mathbf{b}(n) u(n),  \tag{1a}\\
y(n) & =\mathbf{c}(n) \mathbf{x}(n)+d(n) u(n) \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where a system input and output are denoted by $u(n)$ and $y(n)$ respectively, $\mathbf{x}(n)$ is an $s$-vector of state variables; $\mathbf{A}(n), \mathbf{b}(n), \boldsymbol{c}(n)$ and $d(n)$ are parameters of proper dimensions. The action period is assumed here to be $T=1$ for simplicity, i.e., discrete values of time ranges over integers $n \in N \equiv\left[n_{0}, n_{1}\right]$.

Solving the equation (1a) we get [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}(n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(n, n_{0}\right) \mathbf{x}\left(n_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k+1) \boldsymbol{b}(k) u(k) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the system transition (fundamental) matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)=\boldsymbol{A}(n-1) \boldsymbol{A}(n-2) \ldots \boldsymbol{A}(k) \quad(n>k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the equation
(4)

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1, k)-\boldsymbol{A}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)=\mathbf{0}
$$

under the initial condition
(5)

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(k, k)=I
$$

(identity matrix).
The transition matrix possesses the following properties:
(6) a) $\Phi(n, n)=I$;
b) $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, l) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(l, k) ; \quad n \geqq l \geqq k ;$
(8) $\quad$ c) $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(k, n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, k)=\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}(k) \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}(k+1) \ldots \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}(n-1)$
provided the inverses of $\mathbf{A}(n)$ exist.
Using the equations (2) and (1b) the output can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(n)=\mathbf{c}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(n, n_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{x}\left(n_{0}\right)+\mathbf{c}(n) \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}=n_{0}}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k+1) \boldsymbol{b}(k) u(k)+d(n) u(n) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider the system weighting function (weighting sequence, impulse response) $g(n, k)$. It can be defined as the response of initially relaxed system (1) to the discrete-time equivalent of Dirac impulse signal determined [3] by the relation

$$
\sigma(n-k)= \begin{cases}0 ; & n \neq k  \tag{10}\\ 1 ; & n=k\end{cases}
$$

Obviously with respect to physical realizability of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(n, k) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } \quad n<k \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming a system that is fully relaxed at $n<n_{0}$, the output $y(n)$ resulting from any input $u(n), n \geqq n_{0}$, is determined by the summation
(12)

$$
y(n)=\sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n} g(n, k) u(k)
$$

Comparing the relations (12) and (9) with $\boldsymbol{x}\left(n_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{g}(n, k)=\mathbf{c}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k+1) \boldsymbol{b}(k) ; \quad n_{0} \leqq k<n \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(14)

$$
g(n, n)=d(n)
$$

The weighting function of linear, finite-dimensional, discrete-time system can always be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(n, k)=\boldsymbol{q}(n) \boldsymbol{h}(k) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{q}(n)=\left[q_{1}(n) q_{2}(n) \ldots q_{s}(n)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $(1 \times s)$ row vector and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{h}(k)=\left[h_{1}(k) h_{2}(k) \ldots h_{s}(k)\right]^{\top} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $(s \times 1)$ column vector.
The order $s$ of minimal system realization results directly from the form (15) of the weighting function.
If we compare the equations (13) and (15) and respect the above properties of $\Phi(n, k)$, the following relations are valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{q}(n)=\boldsymbol{c}(n) \Phi(n, 0) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(19)

$$
\boldsymbol{h}(k)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(0, k+1) \boldsymbol{b}(k) .
$$

II. DETERMINATION OF THE STATE EQUATIONS

Using the relations (14)-(19) the weighting function can be found from the state equations (1) provided that the transition matrix $\Phi(n, k)$ is available.
We shall investigate the converted problem here, i.e., the formulation of state equations from given weighting function. A system described by its weighting function can be represented, of course, in variety of equivalent state-space forms. Only $d(n)$ is given unambiguously by the equation (14) while we must always choose $s^{2}$ elements to determine all other parameters.
Now several convenient ways will be given for obtaining the state equations of linear discrete-time-varying system represented by its weighting function $g(n, k)$.

1. Writing $g(n, k)$ in the form (15) and choosing

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, 0)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
q_{1}(n) & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{20}\\
0 & q_{2}(n) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & q_{s}(n)
\end{array}\right]
$$

we have from the equation (18)

$$
c(n)=\boldsymbol{c}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \tag{21}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1,0) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

having the diagonal structure

$$
\mathbf{A}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{q_{1}(n+1)}{q_{1}(n)} & 0 & 0 \ldots & 0  \tag{23}\\
0 & \frac{q_{2}(n+1)}{q_{2}(n)} & 0 \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 \ldots \frac{q_{s}(n+1)}{q_{s}(n)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and at last from the equation (19) we get
(24) $\quad \boldsymbol{b}(n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(0, n+1) \boldsymbol{h}(n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1,0) \boldsymbol{h}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{c}q_{1}(n+1) h_{1}(n) \\ q_{2}(n+1) h_{2}(n) \\ \vdots \\ q_{s}(n+1) h_{s}(n)\end{array}\right]$.
2. The matrix $\boldsymbol{A}(n)$ can be taken in the diagonal form as

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{25}\\
0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \lambda_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where all $\lambda_{i}$ are arbitrary real constants.
The according to the relations (3) and (6)

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)=\boldsymbol{A}^{n-k}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\lambda_{1}^{n-k} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{26}\\
0 & \lambda_{2}^{n-k} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \lambda_{s}^{n-k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using the equations (18) and (19) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}(n)=\boldsymbol{q}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0)=\left[q_{1}(n) \lambda_{1}^{-n} ; q_{2}(n) \lambda_{2}^{-n} ; \ldots ; q_{s}(n) \lambda_{s}^{-n}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{b}(n)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1,0) \boldsymbol{h}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{n}^{n+1} & h_{1}(n)  \tag{28}\\
\lambda_{2}^{n+1} & h_{2}(n) \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{s}^{n+1} & h_{s}(n)
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively.
3. The special case of the previous way may be formed by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then obviously
(30)

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)=\mathbf{I}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n)=\boldsymbol{q}(n) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(32)

$$
\mathbf{b}(n)=\boldsymbol{h}(n)
$$

The following theorem summarizes these results.
Theorem. Every linear, finite-dimensional, discrete-time system, given by the weighting function $g(n, k)=\boldsymbol{q}(n) \boldsymbol{h}(k)$, can be described in the state-space form

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{x}(n+1) & =\mathbf{x}(n)+\boldsymbol{h}(n) u(n),  \tag{33}\\
y(n) & =\boldsymbol{q}(n) \boldsymbol{x}(n)+g(n, n) u(n) .
\end{align*}
$$

The system matrix $\boldsymbol{A}(n)$ and the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)$ are unit matrices.
Note. The analogous form with $\boldsymbol{A}(t)=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t, \xi)=I$ given for continuoustime system by Kalman [4] is called the normalized canonical form.
4. The widely used canonical form of state equations possesses the parameters

$$
\boldsymbol{A}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{34}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
a_{0}(n) & a_{1}(n) & a_{2}(n) & \ldots & a_{s-1}(n)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and
(35)

$$
\boldsymbol{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Obviously just $s^{2}$ elements are fixed in advance by the relations (34) and (35) provided a vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a}(n)=\left[a_{0}(n) a_{1}(n) \ldots a_{s-1}(n)\right] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

is required to be stated.

Substituting (34) into the equation (4) we get

$$
\Phi(n+1,0)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{1}(n+1)  \tag{37}\\
\varphi_{2}(n+1) \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{s-1}(n+1) \\
\varphi_{s}(n+1)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{2}(n) \\
\varphi_{3}(n) \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{s}(n) \\
a(n) \Phi(n, 0)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\varphi_{j}(n)$ is the $j$-th row of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, 0)$.
Simply writing $\varphi(n)$ instead of $\varphi_{1}(n)$ it follows from (37)

$$
\Phi(n, 0)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varphi(n)  \tag{38}\\
\varphi(n+1) \\
\vdots \\
\varphi(n+s-1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and
(39)

$$
\varphi(n+s)=\boldsymbol{a}(n) \Phi(n, 0)
$$

In accordance with (19) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1+i, 0) \boldsymbol{h}(n+i)=\boldsymbol{b}(n+i)=\boldsymbol{b} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ stands in (35).
Then substituting (38) into (40) the following equations are valid for the rows of $\Phi(n, 0)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(n+i) & =[10 \ldots 0] H^{-1}(n-s+i),  \tag{41}\\
i & =0,1, \ldots, s .
\end{align*}
$$

The $(s \times s)$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(n)=[h(n) ; \boldsymbol{h}(n+1) ; \ldots ; \boldsymbol{h}(n+s-1)] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is always nonsingular if minimal $s$ in (16) and (17) is taken.
Then $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, 0)$ is stated and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a}(n)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}(n+s) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (39) and using (18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}(n)=\boldsymbol{q}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

We want to formulate state equations of a system characterized by the weighting function

$$
g(n, k)=1-n \mathrm{e}^{-(2 n-k)}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}(n)=1, & q_{2}(n)=-n \mathrm{e}^{-2 n} \\
h_{1}(k)=1, & h_{2}(k)=\mathrm{e}^{k}
\end{array}
$$

According to (14) we have

$$
d(n)=1-n \mathrm{e}^{-n}
$$

The other parameters will be gradually found by applying all above derived equivalent ways.

1. Substituting determined $q_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ into the relations (20)-(24) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(n, 0) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -n \mathrm{e}^{-2 n}
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{c}(n) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{A}(n) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{n^{\prime}+1}{n} \mathrm{e}^{-2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{b}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-(n+1) \mathrm{e}^{-(n+2)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

2. If we choose

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{e}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{-2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

the remaining parameters are determined by $(26)-(28)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(n, 0) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{e}^{-n} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{-2 n}
\end{array}\right], \\
c(n) & =\left[\mathrm{e}^{n} ;-n\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{b}(n)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{e}^{-(n+1)} \\
\mathrm{e}^{-(n+2)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

3. Choosing $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{I}$ the normalized canonical form of state equations is given by (33):

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\mathbf{x}(n)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\mathrm{e}^{n}
\end{array}\right] u(n)
$$

$$
y(n)=\left[1 ;-n \mathrm{e}^{-2 n}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)+\left(1-n \mathrm{e}^{-n}\right) u(n)
$$

4. In accordance with (42)

$$
H(n)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
\mathrm{e}^{n} & \mathrm{e}^{n+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
H^{-1}(n)=\frac{1}{e-1}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\mathrm{e} & -\mathrm{e}^{-n} \\
-1 & \mathrm{e}^{-n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then using (41), (38), (43) and (44)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(n+2) & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}-1}\left[\mathrm{e} ;-\mathrm{e}^{-n}\right], \\
\Phi(n, 0) & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{e}^{-(n-2)} \\
\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)}
\end{array}\right], \\
\boldsymbol{a}(n)=\boldsymbol{a} & =\left[-\mathrm{e}^{-1} ; 1+\mathrm{e}^{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
c(n)=\left[\mathrm{e}^{-1}\left(n \mathrm{e}^{-n}-1\right) ; 1-n \mathrm{e}^{-(n+1)}\right]
$$

respectively.
The results are completed by

$$
\boldsymbol{A}(n)=\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cl}
0 & 1 \\
-\mathrm{e}^{-1} & 1+\mathrm{e}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$
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