KYBERNETIKA -- VOLUME 9 (1973), NUMBER 6

Weighting Function and State Equations of Linear Discrete-Time-Varying System

VÁCLAV SOUKUP

A linear nonstationary discrete-time system is considered in this work. The ways are presented for determination of system state equations if the weighting function is known.

State equations of a linear discrete-time system can be obtained from its inputoutput difference equation in stationary [1] as well as in nonstationary case [2]. It is the purpose of this paper to show the direct transformation of the system weighting function into the state space description.

I. FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONS

A single-input, single-output, linear discrete-time system can be described on definite time interval N by the state equations

(1a)
$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}(n) \, \mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b}(n) \, u(n) \, ,$$

(1b)
$$y(n) = \mathbf{c}(n) \mathbf{x}(n) + d(n) u(n)$$

where a system input and output are denoted by u(n) and y(n) respectively, $\mathbf{x}(n)$ is an s-vector of state variables; $\mathbf{A}(n)$, $\mathbf{b}(n)$, $\mathbf{c}(n)$ and d(n) are parameters of proper dimensions. The action period is assumed here to be T = 1 for simplicity, i.e., discrete values of time ranges over integers $n \in N \equiv [n_0, n_1]$.

Solving the equation (1a) we get [1]

(2)
$$\mathbf{x}(n) = \boldsymbol{\varPhi}(n, n_0) \, \mathbf{x}(n_0) + \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{\varPhi}(n, k+1) \, \mathbf{b}(k) \, u(k)$$

where the system transition (fundamental) matrix

(3)
$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,k) = \boldsymbol{A}(n-1) \boldsymbol{A}(n-2) \dots \boldsymbol{A}(k) \quad (n>k)$$

468 satisfies the equation

(4)
$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1,k) - \boldsymbol{A}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,k) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

under the initial condition

$$\Phi(k,k) = \mathbf{I}$$

(identity matrix).

The transition matrix possesses the following properties:

(6) a)
$$\Phi(n, n) = I;$$

(7) b)
$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,k) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,l) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(l,k); \quad n \ge l \ge k;$$

(8) c)
$$\Phi(k, n) = \Phi^{-1}(n, k) = A^{-1}(k) A^{-1}(k+1) \dots A^{-1}(n-1)$$

provided the inverses of A(n) exist.

Using the equations (2) and (1b) the output can be expressed as

(9)
$$y(n) = c(n) \Phi(n, n_0) \mathbf{x}(n_0) + c(n) \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \Phi(n, k+1) \mathbf{b}(k) u(k) + d(n) u(n).$$

Let us now consider the system weighting function (weighting sequence, impulse response) g(n, k). It can be defined as the response of initially relaxed system (1) to the discrete-time equivalent of Dirac impulse signal determined [3] by the relation

(10)
$$\sigma(n-k) = \begin{cases} 0 ; & n \neq k , \\ 1 ; & n = k . \end{cases}$$

Obviously with respect to physical realizability of the system

(11)
$$g(n,k) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < k \,.$$

Assuming a system that is fully relaxed at $n < n_0$, the output y(n) resulting from any input u(n), $n \ge n_0$, is determined by the summation

(12)
$$y(n) = \sum_{k=n_0}^{n} g(n, k) u(k).$$

Comparing the relations (12) and (9) with $\mathbf{x}(n_0) = \mathbf{0}$ we have

(13)
$$g(n, k) = \mathbf{c}(n) \Phi(n, k+1) \mathbf{b}(k); \quad n_0 \leq k < n$$

- and
- g(n, n) = d(n).

The weighting function of linear, finite-dimensional, discrete-time system can 4 always be written in the form

(15)
$$g(n, k) = \mathbf{q}(n) \mathbf{h}(k)$$

where

(16)
$$q(n) = [q_1(n) q_2(n) \dots q_s(n)]$$

is an $(1 \times s)$ row vector and

(17)
$$\mathbf{h}(k) = [h_1(k) h_2(k) \dots h_s(k)]^{\mathsf{T}}$$

is an $(s \times 1)$ column vector.

The order s of minimal system realization results directly from the form (15) of the weighting function.

If we compare the equations (13) and (15) and respect the above properties of $\Phi(n, k)$, the following relations are valid:

(18) $\boldsymbol{q}(n) = \boldsymbol{c}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, 0)$

and

(19)
$$h(k) = \Phi(0, k+1) b(k)$$
.

II. DETERMINATION OF THE STATE EQUATIONS

Using the relations (14)-(19) the weighting function can be found from the state equations (1) provided that the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, k)$ is available.

We shall investigate the converted problem here, i.e., the formulation of state equations from given weighting function. A system described by its weighting function can be represented, of course, in variety of equivalent state-space forms. Only d(n) is given unambiguously by the equation (14) while we must always choose s^2 elements to determine all other parameters.

Now several convenient ways will be given for obtaining the state equations of linear discrete-time-varying system represented by its weighting function g(n, k).

1. Writing g(n, k) in the form (15) and choosing

(20) $\boldsymbol{\varPhi}(n,0) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1(n) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & q_2(n) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & q_s(n) \end{bmatrix}$

we have from the equation (18)

(21)
$$c(n) = c = [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1].$$

469

470 According to (4) we determine

(22)
$$\mathbf{A}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}(n+1,0) \, \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(n,0)$$

having the diagonal structure

(23)
$$\mathbf{A}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q_1(n+1)}{q_1(n)} & 0 & 0 \dots & 0\\ 0 & \frac{q_2(n+1)}{q_2(n)} & 0 \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \dots & \frac{q_s(n+1)}{q_s(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and at last from the equation (19) we get

(24)
$$\mathbf{b}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(0, n+1) \mathbf{h}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}(n+1, 0) \mathbf{h}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1(n+1) h_1(n) \\ q_2(n+1) h_2(n) \\ \vdots \\ q_s(n+1) h_s(n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. The matrix A(n) can be taken in the diagonal form as

(25)
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_s \end{bmatrix}$$

where all λ_i are arbitrary real constants.

The according to the relations (3) and (6)

(26)
$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,k) = \boldsymbol{A}^{n-k} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{n-k} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{n-k} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_s^{n-k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the equations (18) and (19) we obtain

(27)
$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \mathbf{q}(n) \, \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0) = \left[q_1(n) \, \lambda_1^{-n}; \, q_2(n) \, \lambda_2^{-n}; \, \dots; \, q_s(n) \, \lambda_s^{-n} \right]$$

and

(28)
$$\mathbf{b}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}(n+1,0) \mathbf{h}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{n+1} h_1(n) \\ \lambda_2^{n+1} h_2(n) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_s^{n+1} h_s(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively.

3. The special case of the previous way may be formed by putting

$$(29) A = I$$

Then obviously

$$\Phi(n,k) = \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \mathbf{q}(n)$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}(n) = \mathbf{h}(n) \,.$$

The following theorem summarizes these results.

Theorem. Every linear, finite-dimensional, discrete-time system, given by the weighting function g(n, k) = q(n) h(k), can be described in the state-space form

(33)
$$x(n+1) = x(n) + h(n) u(n)$$
,

$$y(n) = \mathbf{q}(n) \mathbf{x}(n) + g(n, n) u(n)$$

The system matrix $\mathbf{A}(n)$ and the transition matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}(n, k)$ are unit matrices.

Note. The analogous form with $\mathbf{A}(t) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t, \xi) = \mathbf{I}$ given for continuoustime system by Kalman [4] is called the *normalized canonical form*.

4. The widely used canonical form of state equations possesses the parameters

(34)
$$\mathbf{A}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ a_0(n) & a_1(n) & a_2(n) & \dots & a_{n-1}(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(35) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Obviously just s^2 elements are fixed in advance by the relations (34) and (35) provided a vector

(36)
$$a(n) = [a_0(n) a_1(n) \dots a_{s-1}(n)]$$

is required to be stated.

471

Substituting (34) into the equation (4) we get 472

(37

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n+1,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1(n+1) \\ \varphi_2(n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{s-1}(n+1) \\ \varphi_s(n+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_2(n) \\ \varphi_3(n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_s(n) \\ \boldsymbol{a}(n) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,0) \end{bmatrix}$$

٦

)

where $\varphi_j(n)$ is the *j*-th row of $\Phi(n, 0)$.

Simply writing $\varphi(n)$ instead of $\varphi_1(n)$ it follows from (37)

(38)
$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(n) \\ \varphi(n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(n+s-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

(39)
$$\varphi(n+s) = a(n) \Phi(n,0)$$

In accordance with (19) we can write

(40)
$$\Phi(n+1+i,0) h(n+i) = b(n+i) = b$$

where i = 0, 1, ..., s - 1 and **b** stands in (35).

Then substituting (38) into (40) the following equations are valid for the rows of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(n, 0)$:

(41)
$$\varphi(n+i) = [1 \ 0 \dots 0] H^{-1}(n-s+i),$$
$$i = 0, 1, \dots, s.$$

The $(s \times s)$ matrix

(42)
$$H(n) = [h(n); h(n + 1); ...; h(n + s - 1)]$$

is always nonsingular if minimal s in (16) and (17) is taken. Then $\Phi(n, 0)$ is stated and we obtain

(43)
$$a(n) = \varphi(n+s) \Phi^{-1}(n,0)$$

according to (39) and using (18)

(44)
$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \mathbf{q}(n) \, \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(n, 0) \, .$$

EXAMPLE

We want to formulate state equations of a system characterized by the weighting function

$$g(n, k) = 1 - n e^{-(2n-k)}$$
.

Using (15)-(17) we put at first

$$q_1(n) = 1$$
, $q_2(n) = -ne^{-2n}$,
 $h_1(k) = 1$, $h_2(k) = e^k$.

According to (14) we have

$$d(n)=1-n\mathrm{e}^{-n}\,.$$

The other parameters will be gradually found by applying all above derived equivalent ways. 1. Substituting determined q_i and h_i into the relations (20)—(24) we get

$$\boldsymbol{\varPhi}(n,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -ne^{-2n} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{c}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{n^2 + 1}{n}e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{b}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -(n+1) e^{-(n+2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. If we choose

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

the remaining parameters are determined by (26)-(28) as

$$\Phi(n, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2n} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{n}; -n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{b}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-(n+1)} \\ e^{-(n+2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

and

3. Choosing A = I the normalized canonical form of state equations is given by (33):

$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{x}(n) + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ e^n \end{bmatrix} u(n),$$
$$y(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1; -ne^{-2n} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(n) + (1 - ne^{-n}) u(n).$$

4. In accordance with (42)

$$\boldsymbol{H}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ e^n & e^{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

473

474 and

$$H^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{e-1} \begin{bmatrix} e & -e^{-n} \\ -1 & e^{-n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then using (41), (38), (43) and (44)

$$\varphi(n+2) = \frac{1}{e-1} [e; -e^{-n}],$$

$$\Phi(n,0) = \frac{1}{e-1} \begin{bmatrix} e & -e^{-(n-2)} \\ e & -e^{-(n-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a(n) = a = [-e^{-1}; 1 + e^{-1}]$$

and

and

$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \left[e^{-1} (n e^{-n} - 1); 1 - n e^{-(n+1)} \right]$$

respectively.

The results are completed by

$$\mathbf{A}(n) = \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -e^{-1} & 1 + e^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(Received February 9, 1973.)

REFERENCES

[2] V. Soukup: On Formulation of State Equations for Linear Nonstationary Discrete-Time System. Kybernetika 8 (1972), 5, 419-429.

Ing. Václav Soukup, katedra řídicí techniky elektrotechnické fakulty ČVUT (Czech Technical University, Department of Automatic Control), Karlovo nám. 13, 121 35 Praha 2. Czechoslovakia.

^[1] H. Freeman: Discrete-Time Systems. John Wiley, New York 1965.

^[3] P. D. Krutko: Diskretnyj analog δ-funkcii Diraka. Avtomatika i telemechanika XXIII (1962), 7, 985-986.

^[4] R. E. Kalman, P. L. Falb, M. A. Arbib: Topics in Mathematical System Theory. Mc Graw-Hill, New York 1969.