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It is shown that the class of $k$-multiple languages (see [1]) is closed under formation of finite unions and intersections. The two types of complements are $k$-multiple modulo $e$. The class of $k$-multiple modulo $e$ languages is closed under the formation of finite unions, not, however, under formation of intersections and complements.

The $k$-multiple automaton was introduced in [1] as a generalization of the concept of finite automaton and as a device for the recognition of the so called $k$-multiple languages. For our purposes we reformulate here some definitions from [1].

Definition 1 (C̆ulík). The $k$-multiple automaton $A$ is defined by the $(k+4)$ tuple $\left\langle V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \ldots, V^{(k)}, I, \Phi, i_{0}, F\right\rangle$ where
$V^{(i)}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, are finite nonvoid sets called alphabets, elements of $V^{(i)}$ are called symbols;
$I$ is a finite nonvoid set called the set of internal states of $A$;
$\Phi$, the transition function, is a transformation from $I \otimes V^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes V^{(k)}$ into $I$, $\otimes$ denotes the cartesian product;
$i_{0}$, the initial state, is an element of $I$;
$F$, the set of final states, is a subset of $I$.
$A$ is a device which can be in some internal state $i \in I$. This device has $k$ inputs. After reading $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ by inputs of $A$, the internal state $i$ of $A$ is changed to $i_{1}, i_{1} \doteq$ $=\Phi\left(i, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) . A$ can be therefore interpreted as a finite automaton with $k$ inputs instead of one.

Definition 2. We say that a string

$$
x=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{s} x_{s+1} \ldots x_{2 s} \ldots x_{k s}
$$

is acceptable by a $k$-multiple automaton $A$ if the expression

$$
\Phi\left(\Phi\left(\ldots \Phi\left(\Phi\left(i_{0}, w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right) \ldots\right), w_{s}\right)
$$

where $w_{i}=\left(x_{i}, x_{s+i}, x_{2 s+i}, \ldots, x_{(k-1) s+i}\right)$, has a meaning and defines some state from $F$. The string, the length of which is not the multiple of $k$, is not acceptable by the definition.

For a $k$-multiple automaton $A$ and for an $k$-tuple $x$ of symbols we shall use the terms such as " $x$ is read by $A$ ", " $x$ puts $A$ into state $i$ " and so on in the similar sense as for a finite automaton.

Definition 3. $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ is a set of all strings which are acceptable by some $k$-multiple automaton $A$. The automaton $A$ will be called the automaton of $L_{k}$.

Theorem 1. Intersection or union of two k-multiple languages is a k-multiple language.

This is proved by a slight modification of the proof that the union or intersection of two regular events is a regular event again; see [2] or [6].

Definition 4. Complement $\widetilde{L}_{k}$ of the $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ is the set

$$
\tilde{L}_{k}=\bar{V}^{*}-L_{k},
$$

where $\bar{V}^{*}$ is the set of all strings over $\bar{V}=V^{(1)} \cup V^{(2)} \cup \ldots \cup V^{(k)}$.
Example 1. Set $L_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} ; n \geqq 0\right\}$ is the two-multiple language (see [1]). But

$$
\tilde{L}_{2}=\{a, b\}^{*}-L_{2}
$$

and $L_{2}$ contains the set $\left\{a^{n} ; n>0\right\}$, i.e. the strings the lenghts of which are not even and we have at once:

Corollary 1. Complement of the $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ is not necessarily a $k$-multiple language.

Definition 4a. The component complement $\hat{L}_{k}$ of the $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ is the set of all strings $x \notin L_{k}$ of the form $d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}, d_{i} \in V^{(i) *}$ for $i=1,2,3, \ldots, k$.

Henceforward in this paper by $A=\left\langle V^{(1)}, \ldots, V^{(k)}, I, i_{0}, F\right\rangle$ an automaton of $L_{k}$ will be denoted.

Example 2. $\hat{L}_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{m} ; m \neq n ; m, n \geqq 0\right\}$ is component complement of $L_{2}=$ $=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} ; n>0\right\}$ and it follows.

Corollary 2. Component complement $\hat{L}_{k}$ of $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ is not necessarily $k$-multiple language.
Definition 5. Let $V^{(1)}, \ldots, V^{(k)}$ be alphabets not containing $e$. A set $L_{k}$ of the strings of the form $d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}, d_{i} \in V^{(i)^{*}}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, is a $k$-multiple modulo $e$ language if and only if there exists a $k$-multiple language $L_{k}^{\prime}$ with alphabets $V^{(i)} \cup\{e\}$ so that for every $x \in L_{k}$ there is a $y \in L_{k}^{\prime}$ for which $x=y(\bmod e)$ (i.e. $x$ is equal to the $y$ in the sense of a free semigroup with the identity symbol $e$ generating $y$ ) and vice versa
for every $y \in L_{k}^{\prime}$ there exists $x \in L_{k}$ so that $y=x(\bmod e)$. In other words $L_{k}$ is $k$-multiple modulo $e$ if every string of $L_{k}$ belongs to a $k$-multiple language $L_{k}$ if a suitable insertion of $e^{\prime}$ s is done and vice versa by erasing $e^{\prime}$ s in arbitrary $y \in L_{k}^{\prime}$ a string $x \in L_{k}$ is obtained.

Theorem 2. $\tilde{L}_{k}$ is a $k$-multiple modulo e language.
Proof. We shall construct a $k$-multiple automaton

$$
A^{0}=\left\langle V^{0}, V^{0}, \ldots, V^{0}, I^{0}, \Phi^{0}, i_{0}^{0}, F^{0}\right\rangle, V^{0}=\bar{V} \cup\{e\}
$$

which accepts $\tilde{L}_{k}$. Each string $x \in L_{k}$ is expressible in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \ldots d_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}$ are strings over $\bar{V}=V^{(1)} \cup V^{(2)} \cup \ldots \cup V^{(k)}$ and if $x$ has the length $s k+j, j<k$ then $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{j}$ have the length $s+1$ and $d_{j+1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ have the length $s$. We shall construct $A^{0}$ so that $A^{0}$ accepts only the strings $x$ of the form $(i=0,1,2,3, \ldots)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=d_{1} e^{i} d_{2} e^{i} \ldots d_{j} e^{i} d_{j+1} e^{i+1} \ldots d_{k} e^{i+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{i+1}=e^{i} e, i>0, e^{0}$ is an empty string and $d_{i}$ has the same meaning as in (2.1). It follows that the alphabets $V^{(i)}$ of $A^{0}$ are for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ equall to $V^{0}=$ $=\bar{V} \cup\{e\}$. The construction of $\Phi^{0}, I^{0}$ and $F^{0}$ is now straightforward although rather cumbersome.

If an automaton $A$ of $L_{k}$ is given by $\left\langle V^{(1)}, \ldots, V^{(k)}, I, \Phi, i_{0} F\right\rangle$ we put $i_{0}^{0}=i_{0}$,

$$
I^{0}=I \cup\left\{i_{w}^{*} ; w=2,3, \ldots, k-1\right\} \cup\left\{i_{D}\right\} \cup\left\{i_{l}\right\}
$$

where all $i_{w}^{*}, w=2,3, \ldots, k-1, i_{l}$ do not belong to $I$. $\Phi^{0}$ coincides with $\Phi$ on $I \otimes V^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes V^{(k)} . \Phi^{0}\left(i, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=i_{l}$ for $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k} \neq e$ and either $i=$ $=i_{l}$ or $i \in I$ and $\Phi^{0}\left(i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ is undefined, i.e. $A^{0}$ is in the state $i_{l}$ if a symbol not belonging to $V^{(i)}$ has already been read by $i$-th input and the symbol $e$ has not been read yet.
$\Phi^{\circ}\left(i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{w}, e, e, \ldots ., e\right)=i_{w}^{*}$ for $w=2,3, \ldots, k-1$ and $i \in I$ or $i=i_{l}$ (i.e. the reading of the last but one $k$-tuple of symbols is realized);
$\Phi^{0}(i, e, e, \ldots, e)=i$ for all $i \in I^{0}$ (i.e. reading of $(e, e, \ldots, e)$ causes no change of the internal state of $A^{\circ}$ ).

In all other cases $\Phi^{0}\left(i, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=i_{D}$.
Putting $i_{0}^{0}=i_{0}$ and

$$
F^{0}=(I-F) \cup\left\{i_{l}\right\} \cup\left\{i_{w}^{*} ; w=2,3, \ldots, k-1\right\}
$$

we see that $A^{0}$ has all desired properties.

Theorem 3. $\hat{L}_{k}$ is a $k$-multiple modulo e language.
Proof. We shall construct a $k$-multiple automaton

$$
A^{c}=\left\langle V^{0}, V^{0}, \ldots, V^{0}, I^{c}, \Phi^{c}, i_{0}^{c}, F^{c}\right\rangle
$$

which accepts $\hat{L}_{k}$. (For the meaning of $V^{0}$ see the proof of the previous theorem.)
First we shall construct a $k$-multiple automaton $\bar{A}$ which accepts the set $L_{k}^{\text {ord }}$ of strings being expressible in the form

$$
x=d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}
$$

$d_{i}$ is a string over $V^{(i)}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}=\left\langle V^{0}, V^{0}, \ldots, V^{0}, \bar{I}, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{i}_{0}, \bar{F}\right\rangle \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{A}$ is constructed in order to accept only the strings of the form (2.2). The construction of $\bar{A}$ is a simple matter if alphabets $V^{(i)}$ are mutually disjoint or if all $V^{(i)}$ coincide. In the general case the construction is more difficult. As the construction of $\bar{A}$ is rather cumbersome its main ideas will only be indicated. All alphabets of $\bar{A}$ are identical and equal to $V^{0}$. If $x \in L_{k}^{\text {ord }}$ is expressed in the form $x=d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}$ where the lenghts of $d_{i}$ are $s$ or $s+1$, then $x^{j}=d_{1}^{j} d_{2}^{j} \ldots d_{k}^{j} \in L_{k}^{\text {ord }}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, s+1$, where (as well as below) $d_{i}^{j}$ denotes the string formed by the first $j$ symbols of $d_{i}$. If follows that after reading $x^{j}$ there exists a finite set $B_{j}$ of vectors $b=\left(b_{i}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ where $b_{i}=q_{i}$ if symbols from $V^{\left(q_{i}\right)}$ can be read by the $i$-th input, $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, so that

$$
x^{j+1}=d_{1}^{j+1} d_{2}^{j+1} \ldots d_{k}^{j+1}
$$

remains a member of $L_{k}^{\text {ord }}$.
Obviously $B_{j+1}$ having the same meaning for $x^{j+1}$ as $B_{j}$ for $x^{j}$ is a subset of $B_{j}$. Now let $I$ contain the states of the form $i_{B}$ where $B$ is one of the above mentioned sets. Let $\Phi\left(i_{B_{j}}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)=i_{B_{j+i}}$ where $x^{j+1}=d_{1}^{j} v_{1} d_{2}^{j} v_{2} \ldots d_{k}^{j} v_{k}, B_{j}$ containing a vector $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ so that $v_{i} \in V^{(t i)}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. We note that these relations have a meaning as $B_{j+1}$ is uniquely determined by $B_{j}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$. If $B_{j}$ does not contain any vector of such a property some "absorbent" state $i_{D}$ is reached i.e. for $i_{D}$ it is true that $\Phi\left(i_{D}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=i_{D}$ for all $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$. The set of all $i_{B}$ is finite and it can be shown that adding some auxiliary states and putting $i_{0}=i_{B_{0}}, B_{0}=$ $=\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) ; 1 \leqq t_{1} \leqq t_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq t_{k} \leqq k\right\}$ it is possible to construct $\bar{A}$ of all desired properties.

Let us now construct the automaton $A^{c}$. The set of its states is formed by the set of pairs of the form $\left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}\right\rangle$ where $i_{1} \in \bar{I}$ and $i_{2} \in I$ and by some additional states (i.e. the states of $A^{c}$ are ,,pairs of states" of $\bar{A}$ and an automaton $A$ of $L_{k}$ and some aditional states).

Let $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{c}\left(\left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}\right\rangle, v\right)=\left\langle\bar{\Phi}\left(i_{1}, v\right), \Phi\left(i_{2}, v\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if both $\Phi$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ are defined;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{c}(i, e, e, \ldots, e)=i \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in I^{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{c}\left(\left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}\right\rangle, v\right)=\left\langle\bar{\Phi}\left(i_{1}, v\right), i_{F}\right\rangle \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Phi\left(i_{2}, v\right)$ is not defined;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{c}=\left\{\left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}\right\rangle ; i_{1} \in \bar{F}, i_{2} \notin F\right\} \cup\left\{\left\langle i_{1}, i_{F}\right\rangle ; i_{1} \in \bar{F}\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $A^{c}$ has the desired properties as a state from $F^{c}$ cannot be reached if $x \in L_{k}$ (see (3.3) and (3.4)) or if $x$ is not expressible in the form $d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}$ where $d_{i}$ is a string over $V^{(i)}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ (see properties of $\bar{A}$ ).
Theorem 5. The union of two $k$-multiple modulo e languages is a k-multiple modulo e language.
The proof is similar to the proof of the theorem 1. The only difference is that instead of considering strings $x$ we consider the strings $x^{\prime}$ obtaining from $x$ by convenient insertion of $e^{\prime} s$.

Example 3. Let us have two-multiple modulo $e$ languages:

$$
L_{1}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{m} ; m, n>0\right\}
$$

which is accepted by the two multiple automaton $\left\langle\{a\} \cup\{e\},\{b, c, e\},\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\}\right.$, $\left.\Phi, S_{1},\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}\right\rangle$ where $\Phi\left(S_{1}, a, b\right)=S_{1}, \Phi\left(S_{1}, e, c\right)=\Phi\left(S_{2}, e, c\right)=S_{2}, \Phi(S, e, c)=$ $=S$ for all $S, \Phi(,)=,S_{3}$ in all other cases and

$$
L_{2}=\left\{a^{m} b^{n} c^{n} ; m, n>0\right\}
$$

which is accepted by the similar automaton. But then

$$
L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} ; n>0\right\}
$$

is a three-multiple modulo $e$ language, not a two-multiple modulo $e$ language. It follows

Corollary 3. The intersection of two languages which are $k$-multiple modulo $e$ is not necessarily a $k$-multiple modulo $e$ language.

Corollary 4. The complement of $k$-multiple modulo $e$ language is not necessarily a $k$-multiple modulo $e$. By the complement of $L_{k}$ we mean the set

$$
\tilde{L}_{k}=C-L_{k}
$$

where $C$ is the set of all strings over $\bar{V}$.
Proof. We note that for every two sets $A, B$

$$
A \cap B=\left(A^{c} \cup B^{c}\right)^{c},
$$

where ( $)^{c}$ denotes the complement and that the assertion of the theorem follows from corollary 3 and theorem 5 .

Corollary 5. The component complement $\hat{L}_{k}$ of $k$-multiple modulo $e$ language, i.e. the set

$$
\hat{L}_{k}=C-L_{k},
$$

where $C=\left\{d ; d=d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}, d_{i}\right.$ is for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ a string over $\left.V^{(i)}\right\}$ is not necessarily a $k$-multiple modulo $e$ language.
The proof is the same as the proof of the previous corollary.
(Received June 1st, 1966.)
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VYTAH
Množinové operace nad $k$-násobnými jazyky

## Jaroslav Král

V článku jsou zkoumány tak zvané násobné jazyky tj. jazyky akceptovatelné tzv. násobnými automaty (viz [1]), jež jsou zobecněním tzv. regulárních výrazù. Je dokázáno, že třída násobných jazyků je uzavřena vůči průniku a sjednocení, ale nikoliv vůči doplňku. Třida $k$-násobných modulo $e$ jazykủ je uzavřena vůči sjednocení, ale nikoliv vůči průniku a tedy ani doplňku.

